Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
2-3-2014	08-CV-1730	(W.D. Pa.)	Reardon, et al. v. ClosetMaid Corporation  Plaintiffs alleged that ClosetMaid Corporation  ("ClosetMaid") violated the Fair Credit  Reporting Act (the "FCRA"), 15 U.S.C.  §1681(b)(3), by refusing to hire certain job  applicants based on what was in their consumer  report (background check), without giving the  applicant a copy of the report and a notice of  FCRA rights within a reasonable time before  taking that action.  Class Members are all employees or prospective  employees of Defendant or Doable Products, Inc.  in the United States who were the subject of a  consumer report that may have been used by  Defendant to make an adverse employment  decision regarding such employee or prospective  employee form 12-19-2006 through 9-30-2012 for  whom defendant did not provide that applicant a  copy of their consumer report at least five  business days before it took such adverse  action and a copy of the FCRA summary of rights  at least five business days before it took such  adverse action.	Not set yet	For more information visit:  www.ClosetMaidFCRAClassAction.com
2-3-2014	11-CV-05226	(N.D. Cal.)	Amber Kristi Marsh and Stacie Evans, et al. v. ZaaZoom Solutions, LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that ZaaZoom solutions, LLC, Zaza Pay LLC, Discount Web Member Sites LLC, Unlimited Local Savings LLC, Web Discount Club, Web Credit Rpt. Co., MegaOnlineClub LLC,	6-25-2014	For more information write or call:  Mike Arias Arias, Ozzello & Gignac, LLP
			RaiseMoneyForAnything, MultiEcom, LLC, Online Discount Membership, Web Discount Company,		6701 Center Drive West Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			Liberty Discount Club, Online Resource Center, LLC, Web Coupon Site, USave Coupon, UClip, and/or any other entity offering discount coupon subscriptions controlled and operated by ZaaZoom Solutions, LLC and/or Zaza Pay LLC (collectively "ZaaZoom") along with First Bank of Delaware ("FBD") and other defendants, violated state and federal laws by attempting to withdraw money from consumers' bank accounts for Internet-based Membership Programs without the consumers' authorizations.		310 670-1600 (Ph.)
			Class Members are: 1) subscribers who enrolled in an online membership program for discount coupon subscriptions, through use of a remotely created check ("RCC") created in subscribers' names, offered by ZaaZoom; 2) subscribers believe that authorization was not given to ZaaZoom to create and deposit an RCC in subscribers' names; and 3) subscribers' banking accounts were drawn on by way of an RCC and deposited at FBD, or membership fees were collected from banking accounts and deposited at FBD, or banking account fees, such as overdraft fees, in connection with a collection or attempted collection of membership fees by any instrument deposited at FBD, between 5-6-2007 and 1-15-2014.		
2-3-2014	07-CV-4296	(E.D. Pa.)	Moore v. GMAC Mortgage, LLC, et al. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants GMAC Mortgage, LLC ("GMAC Mortgage"), GMAC Bank (now known, and hereinafter referred to, as Ally Bank), and Cap Re of Vermont, LLC ("Cap Re")	Not set yet	For more information write:  Edward W. Ciolko Terence S. Ziegler Kessler Topaz Meltzer &

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			(collectively, "Defendants") are in violation of Section 8 of the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, 12 U.S.C. §§ 2601 et seq. Name Plaintiffs allege that the portions of the mortgage insurance premiums that certain non-party private mortgage insurance providers ceded to Cap Re were disguised kickbacks paid for the referral of private mortgage insurance business.  Class Members are all persons who obtained residential mortgage loans originated and/or acquired by GMAC Mortgage, Ally Bank, and/or their affiliates on or after 1-1-2004, with private mortgage insurance which was reinsured by Cap Re.	Date	Check, LLP 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087
2-4-2014	08-CV-01689	(S.D. Cal.)	In re: Novatel Wireless, Inc., ("NWI") Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the federal securities laws, including §§ 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In their amended complaint, filed on 1-9-2009, Lead Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants made materially false and misleading statements about NWI's financial condition and business prospects and sold stock based on material non-public information. Lead Plaintiffs alleged that when the market learned the truth about NWI's financial condition, NWI's stock dropped in value and caused damage to all persons who purchased NWI common stock between 2-27-2007 and 9-15-2008.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Jones Day Thomas R. Jackson 2727 North Harwood Street Dallas, TX 75201

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue  Class Members are all persons who purchased NWI common stock between 2-27-2007 and 9-15-2008, inclusive, and were damaged thereby.	Date	
2-6-2014	11-CV-02400	(N.D. Ga.)	In re: Ebix, Inc. Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Ebix, its Chief Executive Officer, and its Chief Financial Officer violated the federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements in certain press releases and public filings issued during the Class Period or controlling the persons who made those statements.  Class Members are all persons who purchased the common stock of Ebix between 5-6-2009 and 6-30- 2011, inclusive.	6-5-2014	For more information call or visit:  1 855 731-7490 (Ph.)  www.EBIXSecuritiesLitigation.com
2-7-2014	12-CV-01172	(N.D. Cal.)	Jason Trabakoolas, et al. v. Watts Water Technologies, Inc., et al. The lawsuit claims that Watts: 1) designed and sold toilet connectors with defective acetal coupling nuts, 2) knew of the defective condition of the coupling nuts for years before the product was redesigned, 3) provided inadequate installation instructions, and 4) failed to provide warnings to prevent failure of the coupling nuts.  Class Members are all persons who own or owned (or lease or leased) a residence or other structure in the United States containing a Watts toilet connector with an acetal coupling nut. This includes any person or entity that	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Simon Paris Patrick Howard Saltz, Mongeluzzi, Barrett & Bendesky, P.C. 1650 Market Street 52 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue  suffered property damaged caused by the failure of an acetal coupling nut on a Watts toilet connector.	Date	
2-7-2014	09-CV-190	(D. Vt.)	Christine Bauer-Ramazani and Carolyn B. Duffy v. Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America - College Retirement Equities Fund, Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, College Retirement Equities Fund, TIAA-CREF investment Management, LLC, Teachers Advisors, Inc., and TIAA-CREF Individual and Institutional Services, LLC.  Plaintiffs allege certain Plan members requested a transfer or withdrawal of funds invested in a CREF or TIAA variable annuity account covered by ERISA and the funds were not transferred or distributed within seven days of the date the account was valued (the "Effective Date") and the members were not paid the investment gains, if any, during the delay period in violation of ERISA regulations.  Class Members are all persons who at any time during the Class Period requested a transfer or distribution of funds held in a CREF or TIAA variable annuity account covered by ERISA whose funds were not transferred or distributed within seven days of the date the account was valued and were denied the investment gains.	Not set yet	For more information visit or write:  www.TIAA-CREF-lawsuit.com  Gravel & Shea P.C. 76 St Paul Street 7th Floor Burlington, VT 05401

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
2-7-2014	12-CV-06878  13-CV-02372 13-CV-02499 13-CV-02425 13-CV-09700	(C.D. Cal.) (N.D. Ill.)	United Desert Charities, et al. v. Sloan Valve Company, et al. Berube v. Flushmate Brettler v. Flushmate Kubat, et al. v. Flushmate Patel v. Flushmate Dimov, et al. v. Sloan Valve Company ("Related Action") Defendants are: Sloan Valve Company ("Sloan"), Flushmate, a division of Sloan Valve Company ("Flushmate"), AS America, Inc., doing business as American Standard Brands ("American Standard"), Kohler Co. ("Kohler"), Gerber Plumbing Fixtures, LLC ("Gerber"), Mansfield Plumbing Froducts, LLC ("Manfield") and Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. ("Home Depot") (collectively, the Settling Defendants").  Plaintiffs allege that the Flushmate system consists of a pressurized tank, made of two plastic halves that are welded together. The tank is placed inside a traditional porcelain toilet fixture and forces water into the toilet bowl when flushed. In some cases, the pressurized air within the tank exerts such pressure that the plastic halves separate at the seam, causing leaks and bursts that can damage the toilet and potentially cause property damage or personal injury.  Class Members are all persons who own or owned a Flushmate System or Flushmate Toilet installed in the United States.	8-25-2014	For more information write:  Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street 29 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Attn: Kristen Law Sagafi

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
2-10-2014	13-CV-00370	(S.D. Ill.)	Jemeliah Smith, et al. v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.  Plaintiffs allege that there was a defect that caused some wiring harnesses imported and distributed by Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. ("VWGoA") part number 1K5971120H or 1K5971120G installed on the left front driver's side door in Settlement Class Vehicles to not operate properly, requiring repair or replacement.  Class Members are all present and former purchasers or lessees of model year 2005 or 2006 (A5) Volkswagen Jetta Vehicles, VIN from 1K_5M000001 to 1K_6M759703, imported and distributed by Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. for sale or lease in the United States of America and Puerto Rico.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Eric D. Holland R. Seth Crompton Holland, Groves, Schneller & Stolze, LLC 300 N Tucker Suite 801 St. Louis, MO 63101  John R. Climaco Climaco, Wilcox, Peca, Tarantino & Garofoli Co., L.P.A. 55 Public Square Suite 1950 Cleveland, Ohio 44113
2-10-2014	11-CV-01646	(S.D.N.Y.)	In re: Weatherford International Ltd., et al. Securities Litigation The Amended complaint alleges, among other things, that during the relevant time period, Defendants misled investors about the effectiveness of Weatherford's internal controls over accounting and financial reporting for income taxes. On 3-1-2011 Weatherford announced that it would restate its publicly-reported financial results for 2007, 2008 and 2009, and the first three quarters of 2010, and that the Company's financial results for those periods should no longer be relied on. Weatherford also announced that it had	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Kessler Topaz Meltzer& Check, LLP Eli R. Greenstein One Sansome Street Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94104

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			identified a material weakness in its internal controls over financial reporting for income taxes.  Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Weatherford common stock between 4-27-2007 and 3-1-2011, inclusive, and who were allegedly damaged thereby.		
2-10-2014	09-CV-00042	(E.D.N.C.)	Horne, et al. v. Smithfield Packing Company The lawsuit alleges that Smithfield non-exempt hourly production and support employees at the Kinston and Wilson facilities were not paid all wages to which they are entitled under federal and North Carolina law, including for the time the employees spent putting on and taking off gear and equipment, sanitizing, walking to and from the production floor, and other off-the- clock work activities. The Employees have said that they work off-the-clock, both pre- and post-shift. The Lawsuit asked for damages from Smithfield Packing for the alleged unpaid regular and overtime wages, liquidated damages, interest and other applicable penalties.  Listed below are three descriptions of Class Members:  All current and former non-exempt, hourly production and support employees who worked at Smithfield Packing Company, Inc.'s Wilson, North Carolina facility at any period of time from 2-2-2007 to the present and who were paid on a "gang-time" or "scheduled-time" basis.	5-15-2014	For more information write or call:  Martin & Jones 410 Glenwood Avenue Suite 200 Raleigh, NC 27603  800 662-1234 (Ph.)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			All former production and support employees who were employed by Smithfield Packing Company, Inc. as non-exempt, hourly employees at the "K-1" Kinston, North Carolina facility at any period of time from 10-24-2005 through 5-31-2008, who were paid on a "gang-time" or "scheduled-time" basis.  All current and former non-exempt, hourly production and support employees employed at Smithfield Packing Company, Inc.'s Kingston, North Carolina K-2 facility at any period of time from 3-19-2007 to the present and who were paid on a "gang-time" or "scheduled-time" basis.		
2-10-2014	13-CV-01797	(N.D. Cal.)	Jessie Chavez v. PVH Corporation Plaintiff alleges that PVH Corporation, PVH Retail Stores, LLC and Tommy Hilfiger Retail, LLC ("Defendants") are in violation of California Labor Code section 201 202, and 203 (wages not timely paid upon termination), and section 226 (noncompliant wage statements).  Class Members are all current and former employees who worked for the Defendants anywhere within the State of California at any time from 3-10-2009 through Date of Preliminary Hearings.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Diversity Law Group, P.C. Larry W. Lee 550 S. Hope Street Suite 2655 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
2-11-2014	12-CV-05086	(N.D. Cal.)	St. Louis Police Retirement System v. Clinton H. Severson, Alberto R. Santa Ines, Kenneth P. Aron, Vladimir E. Ostoich, Donald P. Wood, Martin V. Mulroy, Prithipal Singh, Veron E. Altman, ("Defendants") and Richard J. Bastiani, Michael D. Casey, Henk J. Evenhuis, and Ernest S. Tucker ("Grantor Defendants")  Plaintiff alleges that certain members of its Board of Directors (the "Board") and certain of its executive officers breached their fiduciary duties as directors of Abaxis, Inc.; the Grantor Defendants wrongfully caused and allowed Abaxis, Inc. to issue excessive and improper shares of common stock in settlement of restricted stock units granted to Abaxis, Inc. employees. Defendants are in violation of the Abaxis, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan and at the expense of Abaxis, Inc. and its shareholders.  Class Members are all persons or entities who held shares of the common stock of Abaxis, Inc. as of the close of business of 8-31-2012, either of record or beneficially, including their respective successors in interest, successors, predecessors in interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them and each of them, together with their predecessors and successors.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Eric L. Zagar Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, LLP 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087  Jonathan M. Stein Saxena White, P.A. 2424 N. Federal Highway Suite 257 Boca Raton, FL 33431

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
2-11-2014	12-CV-23064	(S.D. Fla.)	In re: Global Concepts Limited, Inc. ("Global") The lawsuit alleged that Global advertising and marketing claims regarding the effectiveness and coverage of certain Riddex® brand devices violated Florida consumer law, that Global breached the products' warranties, and that Global was unjustly enriched by consumers' purchases of the Riddex devices.  Class Members are all persons who purchased a Riddex® brand electromagnetic pest repelling aid—Riddex Plus, Riddex Power Plus, Riddex Quad, or Ridde Power Guardian between 1-1-2006 and 8-31-2013.	5-14-2014	For more information visit:  http://www.riddexclasssettlement.com/
2-11-2014	13-CV-6836	(N.D. Ill.)	G. Neil Garrett DDS, P.C. v. Communitect, Inc. d/b/a Solution Reach Plaintiff alleges that Solution Reach violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. ("TCPA") and various state laws by causing unsolicited facsimile advertisements to be transmitted to a nationwide class of individuals and entities.  Class Members are all persons and entities that, during the period 1-1-2013 through 12-31-2013, were sent unsolicited advertisements via facsimile by or on behalf of Solution Reach promoting its goods or services.	Not set yet	For more information write, email, call or fax:  Julie Clark Edelman, Combs, Latturner & Goodwin, LLC 120 S. LaSalle Street Suite 1800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 jclark@edcombs.com 312 739-4200 (Ph.) 312 419-0479 (Fax)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
2-13-2014	10-CV-00689	(S.D. W. Va.)	In re: Massey Energy Co., Securities Litigation Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Federal Securities Laws on 3-11-2011 (the "Complaint"). The complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by making alleged misstatements and omissions during the Class Period regarding Massey's health and safety practices, policies, and results. The Complaint further alleges that Plaintiffs and other Settlement Class Members purchased or acquired Massey common stock during the Class Period at artificially inflated prices and were damaged thereby.  Class Members are all who purchased or otherwise acquired shares of the common stock of Massey Energy Company ("Massey" or the "Company") during the period between 2-1-2008 and 7-27-2010, inclusive, and were damaged thereby.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Joel H. Bernstein Ira A. Schochet Labaton Sucharow LLP 140 Broadway New York, NY 10005
2-13-2014	12-CV-2414	(M.D. Fla.)	Wojcik v. Buffalo Bills, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §277, et seq. (the "TCPA") for the receipt of text messages in a number allegedly exceeding the weekly maximum of five text messages per week.  Class Members are all persons in the United States and its territories who, from the period	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Keith Keogh Keogh Law, LTD 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 3390 Chicago, IL 60603

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			9-12-2008 until the date of a final Settlement Agreement (the "Class Period"), subscribed to the Text Services by texting the word "BILLS" from their cellular telephone to SMS short code 64621 in order to receive SMS text message alerts from Defendant and who received text messages from Defendant within a Weekly Period of seven days measured from 12:00:01 am. Sunday to 11:59:59 pm. Saturday during the Class Period, where the number of text messages sent as part of the Text Service exceeded five text messages in that Weekly Period.		
2-18-2014	12-CV-28	(S.D. Ohio)	In re: Chemed Corp. Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege violations of the Securities Exchange Act. In particular, it was alleged Defendants issued overly optimistic statements about the performance of Chemed VITAS units and the Company's compliance with Medicare rules and regulations but failed to disclose that VITAS achieved positive financial statements by systematically enrolling and admitting ineligible, non-terminally ill patients into it hospice care program (in violation of Medicare coverage rules) and making misleading statements that caused Chemed's capitol stock to trade at inflated prices.  Class Members are all persons or entities that purchased or otherwise acquired Chemed capital stock during the period from 2-15-2010, through 5-2-2013, inclusive and who were damaged thereby.	Not set yet	For more information write, call or fax:  Timothy G. Cameron Worldwide Plaza 825 Eight Avenue New York, NY 10019  212 474-1000 (Ph.) 212 474-3700 (Fax)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
	12-CV-06058	(N.D. Ill.)	Reid, et al. v. Unilever United States, Inc., et al.  Plaintiffs allege that Unilever United States, Inc. ("Unilever U.S.") misled consumers into purchasing and using the Smoothing Kit by making false and misleading statements concerning the safety of the Smoothing Kit, and by failing to disclose that the Smoothing Kit posed an unreasonable risk of hair and/or scalp injury when used by consumers in accordance with the product warnings and instructions, or when misused by consumers in ways that were foreseeable. Plaintiffs also sued Conopco, Inc., which distributed the Smoothing Kit. Conopco, Inc. is an affiliate of Unilever U.S. Plaintiffs also sued Les Emballages Knowlton, Inc. ("LEK"), the company that manufactured and packaged the Smoothing Kit.  Class Members are all persons who purchased or used the Smoothing Kit in the United States before 2-17-2014, excluding (a) any such person who purchased for resale and not for personal or household use, (b) any such person who signed a release of any Defendant in exchange	Hearing	For more information call or visit:  1 888 848-9961 (Ph.)  www.Suave30DaySmooth ingKitLawsuit.com
			for valid consideration, (c) any officers, directors or employees, or immediate family members of the officers, directors, or employees, of any Defendant or any entity in which a Defendant has a controlling interest, (d) any legal counsel or employee of legal		
			counsel for any Defendant, and (e) the presiding judges in the Smoothing Kit Lawsuits and their immediate family members.		

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
2-21-2013	12-CV-03577	(N.D. Cal.)	Danette M. Moore, et al. v. PetSmart, Inc. Plaintiffs sought payment for alleged wage and hour violations under state law and the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), including: unpaid "unproductive time," reimbursement of reasonably incurred work-related expenses (including grooming tools and equipment), compensation for meal and rest period violations, miscalculation of vacation pay, failure to timely and properly pay wages due upon termination of employment, failure to provide suitable seats, unfair competition, statutory penalties, interest and attorneys' fees and costs.  Class Members are all individuals who were employed by PetSmart, Inc. as a Pet Stylist, Groomer, Grooming Trainee and/or Salon Manager in California at any period of time during 5-23-2008 through [date of preliminary approval].	Not set yet	For more information write, e-mail or call:  GrahamHollis APC Graham S.P. Hollis Marta Manus 3555 Fifth Avenue San diego, CA 92103  ghollis@grahamhollis.com  mmanus@grahamhollis.com  619 546-4373 (Ph.)
2-21-2014	12-MD-2407 12-CV-1093 12-CV-1788 12-CV-1808 12-CV-1811 13-CV-48 13-CV-556	(D. Conn.)	In re: Higher One OneAccount Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation Price v. Higher One Holdings, Inc., Parker v. Higher One Holdings, Inc., Massey v. Higher One Holdings, Inc., Lanham v. Higher One Holdings, Inc., Kent v. Higher One Holdings, Inc., DeClue v. Higher One Holdings, Inc.,(collectively "the Defendants") Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants' marketing did not adequately disclose the refund disbursement methods available to	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Hassan Zavareei Tycko & Zavareei LLP 2000 L Street, NW Suite 808 Washington, DC 20036

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			students for their tuition and financial aid refunds and that Defendants charged inadequately disclosed and otherwise improper fees, such as PIN Based Transaction Fees and Non-Higher One ATM Fees, for use of OneAccounts. Plaintiffs assert that Defendants' practices breached the Account Terms and Conditions, unjustly enriched Defendants, and violated various state consumer protection laws.  Class Members are all persons who opened an OneAccount between 7-1-2006 and 8-2-2012 and incurred a Fee.		
2-24-2014	04-CV-3341	(N.D. Cal.)	Shirley "Rae" Ellis, et al. v. Costco Wholesale Corporation Plaintiffs allege that Costco unlawfully discriminated against female employees based on their sex, by denying them, opportunities for promotion to Assistant General Manager or General Manager.  Class Members are all current or former female Costco employees employed at any U.S. Costco warehouse at anytime on or after 1-3-2002 who have ever been Senior Staff Managers or Assistant General Managers.	5-22-2014	For more information write or visit:  Jocelyn Larkin The Impact Fund 125 University Avenue Suite 102 Berkeley, CA 94720  www.GenderClassActionA gainstCostco.com
2-24-2014	11-cv-2072	(D. Kan.)	Better, et al. v. YRC Worldwide Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that YRC Worldwide Inc. ("YRCW") and certain of its officers and directors violated the Securities Exchange Act	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Lewis S. Kahn Kahn Swick & Foti, LLC

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			of 1934 by making materially false and misleading statements and omissions with knowledge or reckless disregard of the false and misleading nature of the statements and omissions.  Class Member are all who purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly-traded common stock of YRCW (trading symbol NASDAQ: YRCW) between 4-24-2008 and 11-2-2009.		206 Covington Street Madisonville, LA 70447  Frank J. Johnson Johnson & Weaver, LLP 110 West A Street Suite 750 San Diego, CA 92101
2-24-2014	02-CV-2917	(D.N.J.)	Brady v. Air Lines Pilots Association, International Plaintiff alleges American Airlines ("AA") defrauded former TWA pilots into waiving (through their collective bargaining representatives) certain provisions in the TWA Airline Pilot Association ("APA") collective bargaining agreement and that the APA "colluded" in that alleged fraud in order to "railroad" the TWA pilots into unfair and impartial placement on the pilots' seniority list.  Class Members are former Pilots of TWA, Inc. and who became employed as a Pilot by TWA LLC as a result of the 4-2001 asset sales between American Airlines and TWA, Inc.	Not set yet	For more information write, call or fax:  Lisa J. Rodriguez Nicole M. Acchion Trujillo Rodriguez & Richards, LLC 8 Kings Highway West Haddonfield, NJ 08033  856 795-9002 (Ph.)  856 795-9887 (Fax)
2-25-2014	05-CV-1602	(D.N.J.)	In re: Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation The Court has issued an Amended Order approving the amended Notice Plan. Beacon now notifies all parties that Judge Linares has	3-12-2014	For more information call: 1 800 248-2618 (Ph.)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			(re)scheduled the Fairness Hearing (see CAFA Notice dated 10-14-2013).		
2-25-2014	10-CV-2262	(E.D. Pa.)	Thomas Carroll, Kimberly Baker v. Stettler Plaintiffs and the Class were victims of a Ponzi scheme orchestrated by Lizette Morice ("Morice") and her company Gaddel Enterprises, Inc. ("Gaddel"), formerly JPLM. Gaddel represented that it purchased properties in tax foreclosure at a discount and sold them to large corporations at a substantial profit. Plaintiffs bring this class action to recover the net profits that Defendants received. As of the Notice Date, Plaintiffs have reached proposed settlements with twenty-six Defendants and one non-defendant investor who profited.  Class Members are all persons or entities who invested with Gaddel since 4-1-2006 and incurred a net lost.	Not set yet	For more information write to:
2-26-2014	11-CV-0043	(N.D. Cal.)	Jessica Lee v. Stonebridge Life Insurance Company Plaintiff alleges that Stonebridge authorized Trifecta to send unsolicited text messages that stated "Thanks 4 visiting our website please call 877-711-5429 to claim your \$100 Walmart gift card voucher reply stop 2 unsub." The lawsuit alleges that consumers who called the number in the text message would be offered Stonebridge life insurance products by Trifecta. The lawsuit alleges that Stonebridge and Trifecta violated the federal Telephone	Not set yet	For more information write or call:  Ryan D. Andrews John C. Ochon Edelson PC 350 North LaSalle Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60654  1 866 354-3015 (Ph.)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			Consumer Protection Act because consumers did		
			not agree to receive these text messages.		
			Class Members are "all individuals that		
			received a text message from telephone number		
			650-283-0793 from 11-28-2010 through 12-2-2010".		
2-28-2014	12-CV-01714	(D. Ariz.)	Nivea Ritchie, et al., v. Van Ru Credit Corporation, Palisades Collection, L.L.C., and	Not set yet	For more information write to:
			Asta Funding, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the		Michael L. Greenwald
			Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47		Greenwald Davidson
			U.S.C. § 227, et seq., by utilizing an		PLLC
			automatic telephone dialing system and/or an		5550 Glades Road
			artificial or prerecorded voice to make and/or		Boca Raton, FL 33431
			place telephone calls to cellular telephone		
			numbers without consent to do so.		
			Class Members are all persons and entities		
			throughout the United States to whom Van Ru		
			made or caused to be made one or more calls		
			between 1-1-2012 through 5-31-2012 directed to		
			a number assigned to a cellular telephone		
			service, by using an automatic telephone		
			dialing system where Van Ru's records show the		
			person or entity's cellular telephone number was obtained from a skip trace vendor.		
			was obtained from a skip trace vendor.		
1-2-2014 (Received	13-CV-01528	(S.D. Tex.)	In re: Crestwood Midstream Partners Unitholder Litigation	Not set yet	For more information write to:
3-14-2014)			Plaintiffs allege that on 5-5-2013, Crestwood,		The Bilek Law
			Crestwood Holdings LLC, and Crestwood Gas		THE BITEK TW

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			Services GP LLC entered into a merger agreement (the "Merger Agreement") with Inergy Midstream, L.P. ("Inergy") Inergy, L.P., NRGM GP, LLC, and Intrepid Merger Sub, LLC, pursuant to which among other things, Inergy would acquire Crestwood, and Crestwood Unitholders would receive 1.07 units of Inergy and \$1.03 for each common union of Crestwood they owned (the "Merger"), subject to a vote in favor of the Merger Agreement by the holders of a majority of units of Crestwood entitled to vote to approve the Merger account.		Firm, L.L.P. Thomas E. Bilek 700 Louisiana Street Suite 3950 Houston, TX 77002
			Class Members are all record and beneficial owners of any unit(s) of Crestwood Midstream Partners LP ("Crestwood") at any time during the period beginning on and including 5-5-2013, through 10-7-2013, including any and all of their respective successors-in-interest, predecessors, legal representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assignees, or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under, any of them, and each of them.		