| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information**  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **7—1-2016** | **15-CV-01243** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Moore v. Angie’s List, Inc.**A proposed settlement has been reached with Angie’s List, Inc. (“Angie’s List”) in connection with three putative class action lawsuits focusing on Angie’s List’s acceptance of advertising payments from service providers, and whether those payments affect service providers’ letter-grade ratings, reviews, and place in search-result rankings. | **12-5-2016** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley**For more information visit or call:**[**www.MoorevALsettlement.com**](http://www.MoorevALsettlement.com)**1-888-293-9919 (Ph.)** |
| **7-1-2016** | **15-CV-03461** | **(D. Md.)** | **Sampson, et al. v. Spartan Electric**Former Employees of Spartan allege violations of the overtime requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) and seek penalties under 26 U.S.C. § 7434 for Spartan’s alleged violations of the Internal Revenue Code. | **10-6-2016** | **For more inforamtion write, call, fax or e-mail:****Richard Neuworth Lebau & Neuworth, LLC****606 Baltimore Avenue****Suitre 201****Towson, MD 21204****443 273-1202 (Ph.)****443 296-8660 (Fax)****rn@joblaws.net** |
| **7-5-2016** | **11-CV-7298** | **(D. N.J.)** | **Alphonse A. DeMaria, D.C., T Leonard Probe, D.C., and James Proodian, D.C. v. Horizon Healthcare Services, Inc. d/b/a Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of New Jersey, and Horizon Healthcare of New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a Horizon HMO**Plaintiffs allege that Horizon “bundled” (i.e., did not pay separately) claims submitted by New Jersey chiropractors for certain evaluation and management services or physical therapy modalities provided on the same day as chiropractic manipulative therapy. | **10-13-2016** | **For more inforamtion visit:**[**www.NJChiroClassAction.com**](http://www.NJChiroClassAction.com)**.** |
| **7-5-2016** | **14-CV-00751** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Jane Roe v. Frito-Lay, Inc.** Plaintiff alleges that Frito-Lay violated 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(3) by failing to provide job applicants with a copy of their background check report and summary of their rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act before rejecting their employment application based on information disclosed on the report. | **12-8-2016** | **For more inforamtion visit:**[**www.fritolayfcrasettlement.com**](http://www.fritolayfcrasettlement.com) |
| **7-5-2016** | **15-MD-02672** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation**The class action alleges that Volkswagen programmed the computers in the cars to detect when the cars were undergoing official emissions testing. The Class action alleges the cars turned on their full emissions control systems only during testing, but that they were not turned on during normal road use, which caused the cars to emit significantly more pollutants that permitted, in violation of US clean air laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or visit:****Michael D. Hausfeld****Hausfeld LLP****1700 K Street N.W.****Suite 650****Washington, DC 20006****1 844 982-5246 (Ph.)**[**www.VWCourtSettlement.com**](http://www.VWCourtSettlement.com) |
| **7-6-2016** | **13-CV-02905** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: Honey Transshipping Litigation**The complaints allege violations of the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), along with other claims, including violations of the Lanham Act and state laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:****Adam J. Levitt****GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.****30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2350****Chicago, Illinois 60602****312 214-0000 (Ph.)****alevitt@gelaw.com** |
| **7-7-2016** | **13-MD-02437** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **In re: Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation**Direct purchaser alleges that Defendants conspired, in violation of the federal antitrust laws, to raise, fix, maintain or stabilize the price of Wallboard and, to help further this price-fixing conspiracy, abolish the industry’s long-standing practice of limiting price increases for the duration of a construction project through the use of “job quotes.” | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****H. Laddie Montague, Jr.****Ruthanne Gordon****Michael C. Dell’Angelo****Candice J. Enders****BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.****1622 Locust Street****Philadelphia, PA 19103****215 875-3000 (Ph.)** |
| **7-7-2016** | **15-CV-01435** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Harvey Kalan, M.D., et al. v. Farmers & Merchants Trust Company, et al.**Stakeholders allege that the Koresko Parties misappropriated millions of dollars of the Trusts’ assets, and made illegal payments from those funds to various law firms and other entities, including the Settling Defendants. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****David I. Lefkowitz****Wilshire Palisades Law Group, P.C.****1337 Ocean Avenue****Suite A****Santa Monica, CA 90401** |
| **7-8-2016** | **12-CV-00125** | **(E.D. Cal.)** | **Dei Rossi, et al. v. Whirlpool Corporation**Purchasers allege that Whirlpool misrepresented the refrigerators’ energy efficiency by labeling them with the Energy Star logo when, in fact, they did not met the Energy Star program’s standards for energy efficiency. | **5-18-2017** | **For more inforamtion visit or e-mail:**[**www.RefrigeratorSettlement.com**](http://www.RefrigeratorSettlement.com) |
| **7-8-2016** | **10-MD-02143** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Optical Disk Drive Products Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America (collectively “Panasonic”): (ii) NEC Corporation (“NEC”); (iii) Sony Corporation; Sony Optiarc Inc. (formerly known as Sony NEC Optiarc Inc.); and Sony Optiarc America Inc. (formerly known as Sony NEC Optiarc America Inc.) (collectively “Sony”); and (iv) Hitachi-LG Data Storage, Inc. and Hitachi-LG Data Storage Korea, Inc. (collectively “HLDS”)** Indirect purchasers allege that the Panasonic, NEC, Sony, and Hitachi-LG Data Storage (“HLDS”) entities participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, or stabilize the price of Optical Disk Drives (“ODDs”) at artificially high levels in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state laws. | **12-8-2016** | **For more information visit or call:**[**www.OpticalDiskDriveAntitrust.com**](http://www.OpticalDiskDriveAntitrust.com)**1 877 368-9020 (Ph.)** |
| **7-8-2016** | **15-CV-0** |  | **Markos v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.**Consumer alleges that Wells Fargo violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice to call or text cell phones without the prior express consent of the recipients. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Lieff Cabraser Heimann &** **Bernstein, LLP****Embarcadero Center West****275 Battery Street****29th Floor****San Francisco, CA 94111** |
| **7-8-2016** | **13-CV-4836**  | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Jennifer Ossola, Joetta Callentine and Scott Dolemba v. American Express Company, et al.**Consumers allege that American Express and its vendor, Alorica, violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by having Alorica place calls on behalf of American Express to cellular telephones through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system, predictive dialer or an artificial or prerecorded voice without prior express consent. | **11-30-2016** | **For more information write to:****Lieff Cab raser Heimann Bernstein, LLP****Daniel M. Hutchinson****275 Batter Street****29th Floor****San Francisco, CA 94111** |
| **7-11-2016** | **13-CV-00987****14-CV-1061****09-CV-00852** | **(E.D. Wisc.)** | **Fireman’s Fund Insurance Company v. Jui Li**  **Enterprise Company, Ltd., et al.****National Trucking Financial Reclamation**  **Services, LLC.** **Fond du Lac Bumper Exchange Inc.**Indirect purchasers allege Defendants violated federal and state antitrust laws and other state laws by agreeing to fix prices and limit supply for after-market sheet metal products.  | **11-8-2016** | **For more information visit:**[**www.AfterMarketSheetMetalIndirectPurchaserSettlement.com**](http://www.AfterMarketSheetMetalIndirectPurchaserSettlement.com) |
| **7-11-2016** | **16-CV-00380** | **(N.D. Ga.)** | **Freeman v. A.R.M. Solutions, Inc.**Consumer alleges that Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by sending form letters which overshadowed and contradicted the 30 day notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g and by attempting to collect a collection fee not permitted by laws. | **10-13-2016** | **For more information wirte to:****James M. Feagle****Skaar & Feagle, LLP****2374 Main Street****Suite B****Tucker, GA 30084** |
| **7-12-2016** | **14-CV-00165** | **(D. Utah)** | **Andrea Katzx v. Garmin Ltd. And Garmin International, Inc.**Purchaser alleges that Garmin International, Inc. marketed and sold the forerunner 610 watch with a defective watchband, in violation of Illinois and Utah law. | **11-3-2016** | **For more information visit or call:**[**www.forerunnersettlement.com**](http://www.forerunnersettlement.com)**1 855-585-1129 (Ph.)** |
| **7-13-2016** | **14-CV-3878** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Desrocher v. Covisint Corp., et al.****Re Defendants: Covisint Corporation, Compuware Corporation, David A. McGuffie, Enrico Digirolamo, Robert C. Paul, Berenard M. Goldsmith, William O. Grabe, Ralph J. Szygenda, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Evercore Group L.L.C., and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. (formerly known as Pacific Crest Securities LLC) (the latter three being the “Underwriter Defendants”** Purchaser alleges that the Registration Statements for Covisint’s IPO contained material misstatements and omitted material facts required to be stated therein to make the statements therein not misleading and violated the Federal Securities Laws.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN &**  **DOWD LLP****ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART****655 West Broadway****Suite 1900****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **7-13-2016** | **10-CV-00345** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Reyes v. Zions First National Bank, NetDeposit, LLC, MP Technologies d/b/a Modern Payments, and Teledraft, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that the Zions Defendants violated federal law by initiating unauthorized debits to consumers’ bank accounts that were originated by telephone and Internet marketing entities that Plaintiff alleges to be fraudulent. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Howard I. Langer****John Grogan****Peter Leckman****LANGER, GROGAN & DIVER,**  **P.C.****1717 Arch Street****Suite 4130****Philadelphia, PA 19103** |
| **7-13-2016** | **15-CV-02348** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Kline v. Dymatize Enterprises, LLC**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated California and New York state consumer protection and food labeling laws by selling Protein Products in containers comprised of significant amounts of undisclosed non- functional slack fill. “A container that does not allow the consumer to fully view its contents shall be considered to be filled as to be misleading if it contains nonfunctional slack fill. Slack fill is the difference between the actual capacity of a container and the volume of product contained therein.” Bus. & Prof. Code, § 12606.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, e-mail, call or fax:****HYDE & SWIGART****Joshua B. Swigart****2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101****San Diego, CA 92108****josh@westcoastlitigation.com****619 233-7770 (Ph.)****619 297-1022 (Fax)** |
| **7-13-2016** | **12-CV-3070** | **(D. Minn.)** | **Första AP-Fonden and Danske Invest Management A/S v. St Jude Medical, Inc. et al.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants issued false and misleading statements during the Class Period that understated and misrepresented the severity of known, undisclosed problems with St. Jude’s older generation of silicone-insulated cardiac leads, electrical malfunctions and that the silicone-insulated leads suffered from premature wear and protruding wires, with defects that would inhibit the leads’ ability to deliver life-saving electric shocks. Plaintiffs also allege that Defendants issued false and misleading statements touting the purported improvements and successes of St. Jude’s newer generation of high-voltage cardiac leads, particularly a lead named Durata, which was coated with a proprietary insulation called “Optim,” instead of silicone. Plaintiffs further allege that Defendants’ false and misleading statements caused the price of St. Jude common stock to be artificially inflated during the Class Period. | **11-9-2016** | **For more information visit:**[**www.stjudesecuritieslitigation.com**](http://www.stjudesecuritieslitigation.com)**.** |
| **7-15-2016** | **16-CV-2653** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Podiatry in Motion, Inc., et al. v. CoverMyMeds, LLC**Plaintiffs allege that they received unsolicited facsimile advertisements sent by CoverMyeds promoting its goods or services. Two general categories of faxes were sent by CoverMyMeds, which Plaintiffs contend violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Edelman, Combs, Latturner**  **& Goodwin, LLC.****20 S. Clark Street****Suite 1500****Chicago, IL 60603****312 739-4200 (Ph.)****312 419-0379 (Fax)**  |
| **7-15-2016** | **08-CV-05322** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Bergman v. Thelen LLP**The lawsuit alleges that Thelen’s failure to provide notification as required by the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 29 U.S.C. Section 2101 et seq. (the “Federal WARN Act”) and the California Relocations, Terminations and Mass Layoffs Act, Cal. Labor Code Section 1400 et seq. (the “California WARN Act”), and to recover damages for accrued but unused vacation time due and owing at the time their Thelen employment was involuntarily terminated. | **12-8-2016** | **For more information write to:****Craig M. Collins****BLUM COLLINS, LLP****707 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 4880****Los Angeles,CA 90017** |
| **7-15-2016** | **14-CV-6009** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Amy Friedman v. Guthy-Renker LLC and WEN by Chaz Dean, Inc.**The lawsuit alleges that Defendants designed,manufactured and sold WEN® Hair Care Products (“WEN®”) which allegedly caused certain users to suffer personal injury including hair loss, hair damage or scalp irritation. Plaintiffs also asserted that statements made in connection with the marketing of WEN® were untrue and misleading. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Brian Warwick****Varnell & Warwick, PA****P.O. Box 1870****Lady Lake, FL 32158****William Anderson****Cuneo, Gilbert & LaDuca,** **LLP****507 C Street, NE****Washington, D.C. 20002** |
| **7-15-2016** | **13-CV-00042** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Toney v. Quality Resources, Inc., et al.****Re Defendants: Sempris, LLC d/b/a Budget Savers, et al.**Consumer alleges that telemarketing calls made by Quality Resources to telephone numbers listed on the National Do Not Call Registry as well as to cellular telephone numbers violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (the “TCPA”), and the regulations promulgated by the Federal Communications Commission under that statute. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Edward A. Broderick****Anthony I. Paronich****Broderick & Paronich,**  **P.C.****99 High Street****Suite 304****Boston, MA 02110** |
| **7-18-2016** | **11-CV-7866** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Joseph Deangelis, et al. v. Jon S. Corzine, et al.****Re Defendants: Bradley Abelow, Jon Corzine, David Dunne, Vinay Mahajan, Edith O’Brien and Henri Steenkamp**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants were statutorily liable for false and misleading statements in the offering materials for certain MF Global securities; as well as claims under § 15 of the Securities Act and §§ 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder against some or all of the Individual Defendants. The claims asserted against the Remaining Senior Notes Underwriter Defendants are claims for violations of the Securities Act with respect to the offering of the 6.25% Senior Notes. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Bernstein Litowitz Berger** **& Grossmann LLP****Salvatore J. Graziano****1251 Ave., of the Americas****New York, NY 10020****Bleichmar Fonti & Auld LLP****Javier Bleichmar.****7 Times Square, 27th Floor****New York, NY 10036** |
| **7-18-2016** | **15-CV-00252** | **(E.D. Mo.)** | **Jenkins v. The City of Jennings**Plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated constitutional rights by incarcerating them and others similarly situated in the City of Jennings Jail because they could not afford to pay debts allegedly owed from traffic and other minor offenses and by causing the Plaintiffs and others similarly situated to be allegedly subjected to inhumane conditions of confinement in the City of Jennings Jail.  | **2-16-20-16** | **For more information write to:****Alec Karakatsanis****Equal Justice Under Law****601 Pennsylvania Ave, NW****South Building****Suite 900****Washington, D.C. 20004** |
| **7-18-2016** | **14-MD-2504** | **(W.D. Ky.)** | **David C. Saldana, Ladaisja Brewster and Monica Carlin v. Amazon.com, LLC, Staff Management, LLC**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the wage and hour laws for: (1) failure to pay hourly wages; (2) failure to pay overtime; (3) failure to provide meals and/or rest breaks or to pay for missed meals and/or rest breaks; (4) failure to timely pay all wages of terminated or resigned employees; (5) failure to provide properly itemized wage statements;(5) failure to provide properly itemized wage statements; and (6) based on the alleged violations, unfair business practices, and violations of Private Attorneys General Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Mark R. Thierman****Joshua D. Buck****THIERMAN BUCK LLP****7287 Lakeside Drive****Reno, NV 89511****775 284-1500 (Ph.)** |
| **7-19-2016** | **15-CV-02005** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Santiago Raya v. Amazon.com. LLC and Golden State FC, LLC**See notice above for more information. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Sahag Majarian, II****Law Offices of Sahag**  **Majarian II****18250 Ventura Blvd.****Tarzana, CA 91356****818 609-0807 (Ph.)****818 609-0892 (Fax)** |
| **7-21-2016** | **05-CV-07393** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **In re: Plumbers & Pipefitters National Pension Fund et al. v. Burns et al.****Re: Defendants: Michael J. Burns and Robert C. Richer**Plaintiffs allege that Dana Corporation’s former Chief Executive Officer Michael J. Burns and former Chief Financial Officer Robert C. Richter violated Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by causing Dana Corporation to: (i) issue false financial results for fiscal year 2004 and the first two quarters of 2005; (ii) issue false disclosures concerning Dana Corporation’s internal financial controls; (iii) issue false and misleading statements concerning Dana Corporation’s ability to maintain its over $700 million Deferred Tax Asset (“DTA”); (iv) issue false and misleading statements concerning the impact rising costs were having on Dana Corporation; and (v) announce and reaffirm Earnings Per Share (“EPS”) guidance that was not reasonably attainable. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Debra J. Wyman****Jeffrey D. Light****ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN**  **& DOWD LLP****655 West Broadway, Suite 1900****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **7-22-2016** | **13-CV-03091** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Villalpando, et al. v. Exel Direct Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Exel/MXD misclassified their delivery drivers as independent contractors when they were actually employees under the law. Earlier in the case, the Court ruled that the delivery drivers were employees, not independent contractors. The lawsuit further alleges that Exel/MXD failed to reimburse the delivery drivers for work-related expenses such as fuel, maintenance, uniforms, insurance, tools, and the cost of paying helpers, among other costs, failed to pay them overtime pay for hours worked past 40 per week and 8 per day, and failed to provide them with compliant meals and rest periods. | **12-9-2016** | **For more information call:****1 844-360-2769 (Ph.)** |
| **7-22-2016** | **14-CV-4086** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **National Federation of the Blind of California, et al. v. Uber Technologies, Inc.**The lawsuit alleges that Defendant violated the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101, et seq., by failing to take the necessary steps to ensure that transportation providers using the Uber mobile software application (“Drivers”) do not discriminate against blind or visually disabled riders who travel with service animals (“Riders”). |  | **For more information write or call:****Laurence Paradis****Disability Rights**  **Advocates****2001 Center Street****Fourth Floor****Berkeley, CA 94704****510 665-8644 (Ph.)** |
| **7-22-2016** | **14-CV-00158****14-CV-2475** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Williamson v. McAfee, Inc.****Kirby v. McAfee, Inc.**The lawsuits claim that McAfee violated certain California statutes and common law with regard to its auto-renewal practices and its advertisements of discounts and reference prices.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Roger N. Heller****Lieff Cabraser Heimann &**  **Bernstein LLP****275 Battery Street****29th Floor****San Francisco, CA 94111** |
| **7-25-2016** | **15-CV-23294** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Beber v. Branch Banking and Trust Co., (“BB&T”) and American Security Insurance Company**This lawsuit involves lender-placed insurance ("LPI"), which is insurance (hazard, flood,flood-gap, or wind-only) that is placed on a borrower's property to protect the borrower and mortgage lender when the borrower's insurance policy lapses, or when the borrower does not maintain a homeowner's insurance policy that is acceptable to the mortgage lender. | **Not set yet** | **For more informatin write, call or fax:****Adam M. Moskowitz****Kozyak Tropin &**  **Throckmorton, P.A..****2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd. 9th Floor****Coral Gables, FL 33134****305 372-1800 (Ph.)****305 372-3508 (Fax)** |
| **7-26-2016** | **07-CV-2536** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Amgen Inc. Securities Litigation****Re Defendants: Kevin W. Sharer, Richard D. Nanula, George J. Morrow, Dr. Roger M. Perlmutter**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the federal securities laws in connection with statements made about the drugs Aranesp® and Epogen®. It is also alleged that Defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange by making false and misleading statements or omissions about the safety and marketing of Aranesp®, including related clinical trials. It is further alleged that these false statements and omissions caused the price of Amgen securities to be artificially inflated during the Class Period and that the price of Amgen securities declined when the alleged truth was disclosed and the alleged risks materialized. | **Note set yet** | **For more information write to:****Christopher J. McDonald****LABATON SUCHAROW LLP****140 Broadway****New York, NY 10005** |
| **7-28-2016** | **15-CV-8174** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Kison Patel v. AT&T Services, Inc. and Illinois Bell Telephone Company**Plaintiff alleges violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227. Specifically, Plaintiff claims that AT&T placed calls regarding its U-verse service that utilized an automated telephone dialing system or a prerecorded or artificial voice message to the cellular telephone numbers of individuals who did no consent to receive such calls.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:****Curtis Warner****WARNER LAW FIRM, LLC****350 S. Northwest Highway Suite 300** **Park Ridge, IL 60068****847 701-5290 (Ph.)****info@warnerlawllc.com** |
| **7-28-2016** | **16-CV-00151** | **(N.D. Tex.)** | **Ortiz, et al. v. American Airlines, Inc., et al.****Re Defendants: The American Airlines Pension Asset Administration Committee, and Jackson Walker LLP represents American Airlines Federal Credit Union**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), by imprudently failing to include a stable value fund either in place of or in addition to the American Airlines Credit Union Demand Deposit Option (the “Credit Union Option”) amount the designated Investment alternatives offered by the Plan. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Todd S. Schneider****Schneider Wallace Cottrell** **Konecky WotkynsLLP****2000 Powell Street****Suite 1400****Emeryville, CA. 94608** |
| **7-28-2016** | **14-CV-81323** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **The City of Los Angeles, Acting Through it Fire and Police Pension System, Acting by Order of and through its Board of Fire, and Police Pension Commissioners v. Bankrate, Inc., Edward J. DiMaria, Kenneth S. Esterow, Goldman Sachs & Co., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, RBC Capital Markets, LLC, and Stephens, Inc.**Lead Plaintiff alleges that, during the Settlement Class Period, Defendants, in violation of the federal securities laws, made materially false and misleading statements and failed to disclose certain material facts about accounting issues. Lead Plaintiff further alleges that these statements and omissions caused the price of Bankrate common stock to be artificially inflated, that the price declined when, among other things, investigations by the federal government and Bankrate’s Audit Committee were disclosed publicly, and that the price decline reflected harm to prior purchasers of Bankrate common stock. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call e-mail:****Kessler Topaz Meltzer &**  **Check, LLP****Attn:** **Johnston de F. Whitman, Jr****280 King of Prussia Road****Radnor, Pennsylvania 19087****610 667-7706 (Ph.)****jwhitman@ktmc.com** |
| **7-29-2016** | **16-CV-00999** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Dr. Charles Shulruff, DDS v. Inter-Med, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that it received an unsolicited fax advertisement from Defendant promoting its goods or services that did not contain a proper opt out notice. Plaintiff alleged that these faxes violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and state law. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or fax:****Edelman, Combs, Latturner** **& Goodwin, LLC****20 S. Clark Street****Suite 1500****Chicago, IL 60603****312 419-0379 (Fax)** |
| **7-29-2016** | **14-CV-010138** | **(D. Mass.)** | **Allman v. American Airlines, Inc., Pilot Retirement Benefit Program Variable Income Plan**Plaintiff alleges that the earnings that were used under the policy to calculate employer contributions for periods of Long-Term Military Leave were based on, for example, the monthly minimum flight hours guaranteed under the pilots’ collective bargaining agreement, and that instead the Plan should have used earnings that were based on each pilot’s own average monthly hours from the 12 months prior to military leave. The lawsuit also claims that the Plan’s fiduciaries violated the Employee Retirement Income Security Act by failing to make sure that the Plan received proper amounts of employer contributions and by failing to take action to collect such amounts. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Cohen Milstein Sellers** **& Toll PLLC****R. Joseph Barton****Connor Grant-Knight****1100 New York Ave., N.W.****Suite 500****Washington, DC 20005****202 408-4600 (Ph.)** |
| **7-29-2016** | **13-CV-00079** | **(W.D.N.C.)** | **Brown, et al. v. Lowe’s Companies, Inc., et al.**Plaintiffs allege that Lowe’s violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3) because Lowe’s failed to provide Plaintiffs with a copy of their background report or a summary of their rights under the FCRA before taking an adverse action against them.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Michael A. Caddell****Cynthia B. Chapman****Craid C. Marchiando****Caddell & Chapman****1331 Lamar****Suite 1070****Houston, TX 77010****713 751-0400 (Ph.)****713 751-0906 (Fax)** |
| **7-29-2016** | **15-CV-01385****15-CV-01343****15-CV-01361** | **(S.D. Ind.)** | **Garcia v. International, Inc., et al.****Philips v. Remy International, Inc., et al.****Bushansky v. Remy International, Inc., et al.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are in violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), 15.U.S.C. §§ 78n(a), 78t(a), and SEC Rule 14a-9, 17 C.F.R. 240.14a-9, in connection with the proposed sale of the Company BorgWarner Inc. (“Parent”) through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Band Merger Sub, Inc. (“Merger Sub” and together with Parent, “Borg Warner”) (the “Proposed Transaction”). Plaintiffs further allege that the Defendants violated the above Sections of the Exchange Act by causing a materially incomplete and misleading Form Schedule 14A Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC.  | **11-2-2016** | **For more information write or call:****Weisslaw LLP****Richard A. Acocelli****Michael A. Rogovin****Kelly C. Keenan****1500 Broadway****16th Floor****New York, NY 10036****212 682-3025 (Ph.)** |
| **7-29-2016** | **14-CV-00113** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Caren Ehret v. Uber Technologies, Inc.**Plaintiff alleged that from 4-2012 to 3-2013, Uber represented to users of Uber’s application that a “gratuity” would be automatically added at a set percentage of the metered fare. Plaintiff alleged that this representation was misleading because Plaintiff claims Uber retained a portion (typically 40%) of what was represented as a “gratuity.”  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Myron M. Cherry****Jacie C. Zolna****Myron M. Cherry &**  **Associates, LLC****30 North LaSalle Street****Suite 2300****Chicago, IL 60602** |