| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **9-1-2016** | **13-CV-01533** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **Booth, et al. v. Appstack, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by making calls to cellular telephones with a prerecorded message. The class representatives claim that Appstack did not have the recipients’ permission to make these calls. | **1-11-2017** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley  **For more information call or visit:**  **1 844 801-5968**  [**WWW.APPSTACKCLASSACTION.COM**](http://WWW.APPSTACKCLASSACTION.COM) |
| **9-1-2016** | **04-CV-9866** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Pfizer Inc. Securities Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Henry A. McKinnell, Karen L. Katen, Joseph M. Feczko, and Gail Cawkwell (Defendants)**  Plaintiff claims that Pfizer and certain of its officers and directors allegedly violated Sections 10(b), 20(a) and 20A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5. By Order dated 10-21-2005, the Court consolidated these actions and appointed Teachers’ Retirement System of Louisiana as Lead Plaintiff pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **JOSEPH HAGE & AARONSON**  **LLC**  **Gregory P. Joseph**  **Douglas J. Pepe**  **Sandra M. Lipsman**  **485 Lexington Avenue**  **30th Floor**  **New York, New York 10017**  **212 407-1200 (Ph.)**  **212 407-1299 (Fax)** |
| **9-1-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **14-CV-14451**  **14-CV-00107** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **(In re: Wire Harness Cases)**  **Re Defendants: Tokai Rika Co., Ltd. And TRAM, Inc., a/k/a Tokai Rika U.S.A. Inc. (collectively “Tokai Rika”)**  Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants in each lawsuit agreed to unlawfully raise the price of certain motor vehicle component parts. As a result, dealers of Trucks and/or Equipment who purchased new Trucks and/or Equipment containing those parts or who indirectly purchased those parts as replacement parts, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant or any subsidiary, affiliate, or co-conspirator of a Defendant, may have paid more than they should have. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1-866-742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **9-2-2016** | **14-CV-1573** | **(M.D. Tenn.)** | **WinSouth Credit Union v. MAPCO Express, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiff alleges the following action against MAPCO: 1) mis-representation; 2) violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act; 3) negligence; 4) breach of contract; and 5) negligence *per se* (WinSouth Credit Union action only.) The claims were based on, among other things, the allegation that MAPCO negligently failed to prevent the MAPCO Data Breach and those banks or other financial institutions suffered losses as a result, such as card replacement costs, fraudulent transaction costs, and other costs and expenses. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Scott+Scott**  **Attorneysat Law, LLP**  **The Helmsley Building**  **230 Park Avenue**  **17th Floor**  **New York, New York 10169**  **Attn: Joseph P. Guglielmo** |
| **9-2-2016** | **12-CV-00103** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Wire Harness systems End-Payor Plaintiff Cases)**  **Re Defendants: G.S. Electech, Inc., G.S. Wiring Systems, Inc., and G.S.W. Manufacturing, Inc. (together, “G.S. Electech”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants unlawfully fixed prices, rigged bids, and allocated the supply of Automotive Wire Harness Systems, and then sold those products at supra-competitive prices to automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Hollis Salzman**  **Bernard Persky**  **William V. Reiss**  **LABATON SUCHAROW LLP**  **140 Broadway**  **New York, NY 10005**  **212 907-0700 (Ph.)**  **212 883-7058 (Fax)** |
| **9-2-2016** | **13-CV-00092** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Lillehagen, et al. v. Alorica, Inc.**  Plaintiffs claimed that Alorica violated federal and state law by failing to pay Customer Services Representatives (“CSRs”) properly for work done before and after their shifts and for compensable break time. The lawsuit asks the Court to require Alorica to pay money for (1) the time during which CSRs allege they were not paid for work performed before and after their shifts and for compensable break time; (2) meal and rest breaks that CSRs allege were not provided in California; and (3) penalties, interest, and other amounts. | **10-17-2016** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **Allen R. Vaught**  **BARON & BUDD, P.C.**  **3102 Oak Lawn Ave**  **Suite 1100**  **Dallas, TX 75219**  **214 521-3605 (Ph.)**  **214 520-1181 (Fax)**  [**avaught@baronbudd.com**](mailto:avaught@baronbudd.com) |
| **9-6-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00102**  **12-CV-00103**  **14-CV-14451**  **14-CV-00107** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Automotive Wire Harness Systems**  **Re Defendants: Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd and American Furukawa, Inc. (together, “Furukawa”)**  Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants in each lawsuit conspired to fix, maintain, and artificially raise the price of component parts at issue in that lawsuit. The lawsuits claim that, as a result of the relevant Defendants’ conduct, Dealers paid more than they should have for the parts at issue in the lawsuit and paid more for the vehicles in which those parts are contained. The lawsuits also allege that Dealers were unable to pass on all of these increased costs to their customers. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Gerard V. Mantese**  **MANTESE HONIGMAN, P.C.**  **1361 E. Big Beaver Road**  **Troy, Michigan 48083**  **248 457-9200 (Ph.)**  [**gmantese@manteselaw.com**](mailto:gmantese@manteselaw.com) |
| **9-7-2016** | **15-cv-00180** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **A.D. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. Employee Benefit Plan, T-Mobile USA, Inc., and United Healthcare Services, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants excluded coverage of medically necessary Applied Behavior Analysis to treat Autism. Plaintiff also alleges that this exclusion violated the Plan and the Paul Wellstone and Pete Domenici Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 (“Federal Mental Health Parity Act”). | **1-5-2015** | **For more information write or call:**  **Eleanor Hamburger**  **Richard Spoonemore**  **Sirianni Youtz Spoonemore Hamburger**  **999 Third Avenue**  **Suite 3650**  **Seattle, WA 98104**  **206 223-0303 (Ph.)** |
| **9-7-2016** | **16-CV-03902**  **16-CV-12849** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Power Window Switches (Automobile Dealership Action)**  **Re Defendants: Toyo Denso Co. Ltd., Weastec, Inc., (together, “Toyo Denso”) and Omron Automotive Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Omron”) (collectively, “Defendants”)**  This lawsuit is brought as a proposed class action against Defendants, for engaging in a long-running conspiracy to unlawfully fix, artificially raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices, rig bids for, and allocate the market and customers in the United States for power window switches. According to the U.S. Department of Justice, Defendants’ conspiracy successfully targeted the long-standing United States automotive industry, raising prices for power window switches to car manufacturers and automotive dealers. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information write to:**  **Barrett Law Group, P.A.**  **P.O. Box 927**  **404 Court Square**  **Lexington, MS 39095**  **Cuneo Gilbert &**  **LaDuca, LLP**  **507 C Street, N.E.**  **Washington, DC 20002** |
| **9-7-2016** | **16-CV-03903**  **16-CV-12772** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Power Window Switches (End-Payor Action)**  **Re Defendant: Omron Automotive Electronics Co. Ltd. (“OAE”)**  See CAFA notice dated 9-7-2016 above for more information. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information write or call:**  **The Miller Law Firm, P.C.**  **E. Powell Miller**  **Devon P. Allard**  **950 W. University Drive Suite 300**  **Rochester, Michigan 48307**  **248 841-2200 (Ph.)** |
| **9-7-2016** | **13-CV-02503** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Valve Timing Control Devices (End-Payor Action)**  **Re Defendants: Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd. and Aisin Automotive Casting, LLC (collectively, “Aisin Seiki”)**  See CAFA notice dated 9-7-2016 above for more information. | **11-17-2016** | **For more inforamtion write to:**  **Cotchett, Pitre, &**  **McCarthy LLP**  **840 Malcolm Road**  **Burlingame, CA 94010** |
| **9-8-2016** | **13-CV-2502** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Valve Timing Control Devices (Automobile Dealership Action)**  **Re Defendants: Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd. and Aisin Automotive Casting, LLC (collectively, “Aisin Seiki”)**  See CAFA notice dated 9-7-2016 above for more information. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information write to:**  **BARRETT LAW GROUP, P.A.**  **P.O. Box 927**  **404 Court Square**  **Lexington, MS 39095** |
| **9-8-2016** | **12-CV-00402** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Wire Harness (In re: Heater Control Panels)**  **Re Defendants: Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd., Sumitomo Wiring Systems, Ltd., Sumitomo Electric Wiring Systems, Inc. (incorporating K&S Wiring Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.) (collectively, “Sumitomo”)**  See CAFA notice dated 9-6-2016 In re: Wire Harness Cases above for more information. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information write to:**  **Gerard V. Mantese**  **(Michigan Bar No. P34424)**  **MANTESE HONIGMAN, P.C.**  **1361 E. Big Beaver Road**  **Troy, Michigan 48083**  **Telephone: (248) 457-9200**  [**gmantese@manteselaw.com**](mailto:gmantese@manteselaw.com) |
| **9-8-2016** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (the Bearings Action)(end-payor indirect purchasers)**  **Re Defendant: Schaeffler Group USA Inc.**  See CAFA notice dated 9-6-2016 above for more information. | **11-17-2016** | **For more inforamtion write to:**  **Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy LLP**  **San Francisco Airport Office Cengter**  **840 Malcolm Road**  **Suite 200**  **Burlingame, CA 94010** |
| **9-8-2016** | **12-MD-2358** | **(D. Del.)** | **In re: Google Inc. Cookie Placement Consumer Privacy Litigation**  Plaintiffs allege that when Plaintiffs and Class Members visited a website containing an advertisement placed by certain Defendants in this case, tracking cookies were placed on Plaintiffs’ computers that circumvented Plaintiffs’ and Class Members’ browser settings that blocked such cookies. Plaintiffs allege Defendants’ secret and unconsented use of those cookies knowingly intercepted and gained access to Plaintiffs’ and Class Members’ Internet communications and activity in violation of federal statutes and state laws. | **1-11-2017** | **For more information write or call:**  **David A. Straite**  **Ralph N. Sianni**  **Michaele S. Carino**  **Lydia E. York**  **1105 North Market Street**  **Wilmington, DE 19801**  **302 298-1200 (Ph.)** |
| **9-9-2016** | **14-CV-1710** | **(M.D. Tenn.)** | **Mandi Phillips v. MAPCO Express, Inc. and Delek US Holdings, Inc.**  The Complaint alleged the following causes of action against MAPCO: 1) intentional violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act; 2) negligent violations of the Fair Credit Report Act; 3) invasion of privacy by public disclosure or private facts; and 4) negligence. The claims were based on, among other things, the allegation that MAPCO negligently failed to prevent the Data Breach. | **Not set yet** | **For more informtion write to:**  **Scott+Scott, Attorneys at**  **Law, LLP**  **The Helmsley building**  **230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor**  **New York, NY 10169**  **Attn: Joseph P.Guglielmo** |
| **9-9-2016** | **16-CV-00066** | **(N.D. Ga.)** | **Sarah Felix v. SunTrust Mortgage, Inc.**  Plaintiff contends that under the uniform note SunTrust entered into with Plaintiff and the class, SunTrust can only collect post-payment interest as permitted by Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) regulations incorporated into the note, and that SunTrust did not comply with those regulations. In particular, Plaintiff contends that, in response to inquiries, requests for payoff figures and tenders of pre-payment, SunTrust did not comply with the HUD regulation requiring it to provide borrowers with certain information about post-payment interest in a form approved by the FHA/HUD Commissioner. Plaintiff contends that because SunTrust did not comply with HUD regulations incorporated into the note, SunTrust had no right to collect post-payment interest, and breached the note by doing so. Plaintiff also contends that SunTrust violated Georgia's usury laws by collecting post-payment interest when it was not permitted to do so under the note. | **2-6-2017** | **For more information write to:**  **Steven Rosenwasser**  **Naveen Ramachandrappa Frederic J. Bold,Jr, Bondurant Mixson &**  **Elmore**  **3900 One Atlantic Center 1201 W. Peachtree Street**  **Atlanta, GA 30309-3417** |
| **9-12-2016** | **15-CV-0202** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Afrouz Nikmanesh, et al. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants did not properly compensate certain non-exempt hourly pharmacists who worked at a Wal-Mart retail Store, Supercenter or Neighborhood Market for time spent on the home study and test portions of the Wal-Mart sponsored APhA Immunization Certification and Training Course. The Lawsuit also alleges that Defendants did not properly compensate certain non-exempt pharmacists who worked at a Wal-Mart retail Store, Supercenter or Neighborhood Market in California for rest breaks, and certain wrongful termination and retaliation claims on behalf of one of the individual plaintiffs. | **Not set yet** | **For more informatin write, call or e-mail:**  **Eric M. Epstein**  **Eric M. Epstein, APC**  **1901 Avenue of the Stars**  **#1100**  **Los Angeles, CA 90067**  **310 552-5366 (Ph.)**  [**EMEpstein@aol.com**](mailto:EMEpstein@aol.com) |
| **9-12-2016** | **14-CV-03270** | **(D. Md.)** | **Maria Vinas v. Credit Bureau of Napa County, Inc. d/b/a Chase Receivable**  In the lawsuit, the Plaintiff claims that Chase Receivables violated the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by collecting a $14.95 “convenience” or “transaction” fee from her when she paid her medical debt online. Both sides have agreed to settle the lawsuit to avoid the cost, delay, and uncertainty of litigation. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Sergei Lemberg**  **Stephen Taylor**  **Lemberg Law, LLC**  **43 Danbury Road**  **Wilton, CT 06897**  **203 653-2250 (Ph.)**  **203 653-3424 (Fax)** |
| **9-12-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00103** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Wire Harness Cases)(End-Payor Action)**  **Re Defendants: Tokai Rika Co., Ltd. and TRAM, Inc., a/k/a Tokai Rika U.S.A. Inc. (collectively, “Tokai Rika”)**  See CAFA notice dated 9-6-2016 In re: Wire Harness Cases above for more information. | **11-17-2016** | **For more informatino write to:**  **The Miller Law Firm, P.C.**  **E.Powell Miller**  **Adam T. Schnatz**  **950 W. University Drive**  **Suite 300**  **Rochester, MI 48307** |
| **9-12-2016** | **14-CV-14451**  **14-CV-00107** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **Rush Truck Center of Arizona, Inc., et al. v. DENSO Corporation, et al. also known as**  **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In Re: Wire Harness)**  **Re Defendants: Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd., Sumitomo Wiring Systems, Ltd., Sumitomo Electric Wiring Systems, Inc. (incorporating K&S Wiring Systems, Inc.) and Sumitomo Wiring systems (U.S.A.) Inc. (collectively, “Sumitomo”)**  For more information see CAFA Notice dated 9-1-2016 above. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 866-742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **9-12-2016** | **15-CV-04316** | **(N.D. Ga.)** | **Smith, et al. v. Floor and décor Outlets of America, Inc.**  The lawsuit claims that the Defendant sold certain Chinese-made laminate flooring that did not comply with California Air Resources Board standards for formaldehyde emissions, and falsely represented that the flooring complied with these standards. | **1-10-2017** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.FDSettlement.com**](http://www.FDSettlement.com) |
| **9-13-2016** | **14-CV-14451** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re Wire Harness Systems, Truck and Equipment Dealership Plaintiff Cases)**  **Re Defendants: G.S. Electech, Inc., G.S. Wiring Systems, Inc., and G.S.W. Manufacturing, Inc. (together, “G.S. Electech”)**  The Plaintiffs have filed a motion for preliminary approval of a proposed settlement with G.S. Electech on 9-8-2016. For more information see CAFA Notice above dated 9-1-2016. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1-866-742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **9-15-2016** | **15-CV-01524** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Block v. RBS Citizens, National Association, Inc.**  **Re Defendant: Citizens Bank N.A. (“Citizens”) erroneously sued as “RBS” Citizens, National Association, Inc.**  The lawsuit concerns allegations that Citizens improperly charged usage fees on certain home equity line of credit (“HELOC”) accounts. The lawsuit claims that Citizens charged Usage Fees on some accounts that had terms prohibiting such fees. The lawsuit also claims that Citizens charged Usage Fees when the account’s usage percentage was equal to or greater than the percentage required to avoid a Usage Fee. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.CitizensUsageFeeSettlement.com**](http://www.CitizensUsageFeeSettlement.com) |
| **9-15-206** | **14-CV-952** | **(D. Del.)** | **In re: The Bancorp, Inc. Securities Litigation, C.A.**  **Re Defendants: Bancorp, Betsy Z. Cohen, Paul Frenkiel, Frank M. Mastrangelo, and Jeremy Kuiper (the “Individual Defendants” and, together with Bancorp, the “Defendants”)**  This Notice relates to a proposed Settlement of claims in a pending securities class action brought by investors alleging, among other things, that defendants violated the federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements regarding Bancorp. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **John Rizio-Hamilton Bernstein Litowitz Berger**  **& Grossmann LLP,**  **1251 Avenue of the**  **Americas**  **44th Floor**  **New York, NY 10020**  **1 800 380-8496 (Ph.)**  [**blbg@blbglaw.com**](mailto:blbg@blbglaw.com) |
| **9-16-2016** | **13-CV-02796** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Dynavax Technologies Corporation Securities Litigation**  Plaintiff alleges that the Dynavax Defendants violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by making three categories of misleading statements and omissions during the Class Period: (a) Dynavax failed to disclose that it had not validated its manufacturing processes and controls prior to filing its Biologic License Application (“BLA”) for its drug  HEPLISAV with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”); (b) Dynavax failed to disclose that following a pre-approval inspection of one of Dynavax’s manufacturing facilities the FDA issued a Form 483; and (c) after the FDA denied approval of the HEPLISAV BLA, Dynavax misrepresented that the FDA left the door completely open for approval of a more limited indication based on the current safety database. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Richard W. Gonnello**  **FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP**  **685 Third Avenue**  **26th Floor**  **New York, NY 10017** |
| **9-16-2016** | **14-CV-14451**  **14-CV-00107** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Wire Harness Systems**  **Re Defendants: Yazaki Corporation and Yzaki North America, Inc. (“Yazaki”)**  For more information see CAFA Notice dated 9-1-2016 above. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1-866-742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **9-16-2016** | **12-CV-08794** | **(S.D.N.Y)** | **Ernest v. Dish Network L.L.C., Dish Network Services L.L.C., and Sterling InfoSystems, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege Dish Network obtained consumer reports on its service technicians, without first obtaining the technicians’ consent, from Sterling Info Systems that supplied the consumer reports without proper consent certification forms, and failed to provide free copies of the consumer reports to the Dish Network service technicians. Plaintiffs also allege Dish Network took adverse action against service technicians based on the content of the consumer report without allowing service technicians an opportunity to explain or respond to the information on their consumer report. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **Nichols Kaster, PLLP**  **Michelle Drake**  **E. Michelle Drake**  **Adam Hansen**  **4600 IDS Center**  **80 South Eight Street**  **Minneapolis, MN 55402**  **612 256-3200 (Ph.)**  **612 215-6870 (Fax)**  [**fisher@nka.com**](mailto:fisher@nka.com) |
| **9-16-2016** | **14-CV-00574** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Mercedes Alvarez, Matt Ohlson, Cedrick Martin, Alfonzo Edwards, Brandi Lopez, Brian Leigh, Jeffrey Holloway, Krysta Ramos and Todd Gonsalves v. Farmers Insurance Exchange**  Plaintiffs allege that Farmers Insurance Exchange primarily under the California Labor Code and the Fair Labor Standards Act asserted the following causes of action: (1) failure to pay overtime wages; (2) failure to provide off-duty meal periods; (3) failure to authorize and permit rest periods; (4) failure to furnish accurate wage statements, (5) waiting time penalties; and (6) unlawful business practices, as well as claims pursuant to California’s Private Attorneys General Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Peter Rukin**  **RukinHyland Doria &**  **Tindall LLP**  **100 Pine Street**  **Suite 2150**  **San Francisco, CA 94111**  **415 421-1800 (Ph.)**  **David R. Markham**  **The Markham Law Firm**  **750 B Street**  **Suite 1920**  **San Diego, CA 92101**  **619 399-3995 (Ph.)** |
| **9-19-2016** | **15-CV-03194** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Jeffrey A. Thomas v. Dun & Bradstreet Credibility Corp.**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by using an automatic telephone dialing system to call cell phones without the prior express consent of the recipients. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Lieff Cabraser Heimann &**  **Bernstein, LLP**  **275 Battery Street**  **29th Floor**  **San Francisco, CA 94111**    **800 541-7358 (Ph.)** |
| **9-19-2016** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Hoses**  The lawsuits claim that, as a result of the relevant Defendants’ conduct, Dealers paid more than they should have for the parts at issue and paid more for the new vehicles in which those parts are contained. The lawsuits also allege that Dealers were unable to pass on all of these increased costs to their consumers. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information**  **Visit:**  [**www.AutoDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.AutoDealerSettlement.com) |
| **9-19-2016** | **15-CV-61144** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Jones, et al. v. United Healthcare Services, Inc.**  **Re Defendants: United Healthcare, Inc., Neighborhood Partnership, Inc., and United Healthcare Life Insurance Co. (collectively, “United”)**  Plaintiffs allege that the United Defendants in the Action violated the law by applying their Clinical Pharmacy Programs for Hepatitis C Drugs to limit access to Hepatitis C Drugs for those with advanced liver scarring. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Rivero Mestre LLP 2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd.**  **Suite 1000**  **Miami, FL 33134**  **305 445.2500 (Ph.)**  **305 445.2505 (Fax)** |
| **9-19-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00102** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Wire Harness Cases)(Automobile Dealership Action)**  **Re Defendants: Tokai Rika Co., Ltd. and TRAM, Inc., a/k/a Tokai Rika U.S.A. Inc. (collectively, “Tokai Rika”)**  See CAFA Notice dated 9-6-2016 In re: Wire Harness Cases above for more information. | **11-16-2016** | **For more informatino write or call:**  **Gerard V. Mantese**  **MANTESE HONIGMAN, P.C.**  **1361 E. Big Beaver Road**  **Troy, MI 48083**  **248 457-9200 (Ph.)** |
| **9-19-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **13-CV-00803**  **15-CV-12898** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Anti-Vibration Rubber Parts)**  **(In re: Automotive Hoses)**  **Re Defendants: Sumitomo Riko Co., Ltd. (f/k/a Tokai Rubber Industries, Ltd.) and DTR Industries, Inc.**  See CAFA notice dated 9-7-2016 above for more information. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.AutoDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.AutoDealerSettlement.com) |
| **9-19-2016** | **12-CV-00102** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re Wire Harness Systems, Automobile Dealership Cases)**  **Re Defendants: Electech, Inc., S.S. Wiring Systems, Inc., and G.S.W. Manufacturing, Inc. (together, “G.S. Electech”)**  See CAFA Notice dated 9-6-2016 In re: Wire Harness Cases above for more information. | **11-16-2016** | **For more information write or call:**  **Gerard V. Mantese**  **MANTESE HONIGMAN, P.C.**  **1361 E. Big Beaver Road**  **Troy, MI 48083**  **248 457-9200 (Ph.)** |
| **9-19-2016** | **15-MD-02672**  **16-CV-10006** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation**  **Ronald Clark Fleshman, Jr.’s Proposed Complaint-In-Intervention in United States of America v. Volkswagen AG, et al.**  On 10-18-2016 Ronald C. Fleshman filed an objection to the approval of the proposed class action settlement in the above reference cases. | **Not set yet** | **No Information**  **Limited information should we add this one?** |
| **9-19-2016** | **13-CV-06272** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Giercyk v. National Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh, Pa.**  **Re Defendants: American International Group, Inc. (“AIG”), Catamaran Health Solutions, LLC (“Catamaran”), Virginia Surety Company, Inc. (“Virginia Surety”), and Alliant Insurance Services, Inc. (“Alliant”) (collectively the “Defendants”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants identified above: (1) were responsible for selling and underwriting group insurance to consumers who allegedly were not members of a group eligible under state law to receive such insurance; (2) falsely and deceptively advertised such insurance; and (3) collected excessive premiums or fees. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Joseph H. Aughtman**  **Aughtman Law Firm, LLC**  **1772 Platt Place**  **Montgomery, AL 36117-7761**  **334 215-9873 (Ph.)** |
| **9-19-2016** | **16-CV-00450** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: NY Road Runners Settlement**  The Lawsuit alleges that the drawings for non-guaranteed entry into the Covered Races were illegal lotteries under New York State Law. The Lawsuit makes other claims as well regarding the adequacy of disclosures relating to how the lottery and charitable entry into the Covered Races operated. Specifically, Plaintiffs allege that Defendant’s method of operation of these races violated New York State General Business Law § 349 and New York General Obligations Law § 5-423 and committed fraudulent inducement and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. | **2-10-2017** | **For more information write or call:**  **Randall S. Newman**  **Wolf Haldenstein Adlert**  **Freeman & Herz LLP**  **270 Madison Avenue**  **New York, NY 10016**  **212 545-4600 (Ph.)** |
| **9-20-2016** | **13-CV-00206** | **(E.D. Mo.)** | **Downing, et al. v. Goldman Phipps, et al.**  **Re Defendants: Mikal C. Watts and Mikal C. Watts, P.C. and non-Defendants, Watts Guerra LLP and Francisco Guerra, IV,**  This lawsuit is about whether Defendants were unjustly enriched by the work performed in the federal MDL lawsuit against Bayer, and by the money paid into the Common Benefit Fund that was designated to compensate the attorneys who performed that work and to pay for the costs attributable to that work. The lawsuit also alleges a claim under the legal doctrine known as quantum meruit based on the same conduct and seeking the same or similar relief. | **1-18-2017** | **For more information write to:**  **Patrick J. Stueve,**  **Todd E. Hilton,**  **Brad T. Wilders,**  **Stueve Siegel Hanson LLP**  **460 Nichols Road**  **Suite 200**  **Kansas City, MO 64112** |
| **9-21-2016** | **14-CV-14451**  **14-CV-00107** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **Rush Truck Centers of Arizona, Inc., et al. v. DENSO Corporation, et al.**  **In re: Automotive Parts**  **Re Defendants: Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd., Sumitomo Wiring Systems, Ltd., Sumitomo Electric Wiring Systems, Inc. (incorporating K&S Wiring Systems, Inc.) and Sumitomo Wiring Systems (U.S.A.) Inc. (collectively, “Sumitomo”)**  The lawsuits claim that the Defendants in each lawsuit agreed to unlawfully raise the price of certain motor vehicle component parts. As a result, dealers of Trucks and/or Equipment who purchased for resale or lease Trucks and/or Equipment containing those parts or who indirectly purchased those parts as replacement parts, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant or any subsidiary, affiliate, or alleged co-conspirator of a Defendant may have paid more than they should have. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1-866 742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **9-21-2016** | **12-CV-00861** | **(E.D. Va.)** | **Milbourne v. JRK Residential America, LLC**  Plaintiff applied for and was offered a job at JRK Residential America, LLC. At the time Plaintiff applied for a job, JRK obtained a background check from U.S. Background Screening. JRK denied employment to Plaintiff based on the results of the background check. JRK did not provide Plaintiff with a copy of the consumer report and other required disclosures at least five business days before denying employment as a result of the background check. | **1-4-2017** | **For more information write to:**  **Leonard A. Bennett**  **Consumer Litigation**  **Associates, P.C.**  **763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd. 1A**  **Newport News, VA 23601** |
| **9-22-2016** | **14-CV-00020** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Haghayeghi v. Guess?, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Guess sent text messages to cellular phone numbers using an automatic dialing system without the recipient’s prior express consent, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Payam Shahian**  **Strategic Legal**  **Practices, APC**  **1840 Century Park East**  **Suite 430**  **Los Angeles, CA 90067** |
| **9-22-2016** | **15-CV-08980** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Lijana Shestopal v. Follett Higher Education Group, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Follett Higher Education  Group, Inc. sent text messages to wireless telephone numbers without prior express written consent of the recipients, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227. | **3-23-2017** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.FollettTCPASettlement.com**](http://www.FollettTCPASettlement.com) |
| **9-23-2016** | **12-CV-00101** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Wire Harness Systems – Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Cases)**  **Re Defendants: G.S. Electech, Inc., G.S. Wiring Systems, Inc., and G.S.W. Manufacturing, Inc. (together, “G.S. Electech”)**  See CAFA notice dated 9-6-2016 In re: Wire Harness Cases above for more information. | **11-16-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.autopartsantitrustlitigation.com**](http://www.autopartsantitrustlitigation.com) |
| **9-23-2016** | **14-CV-6009** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Amy Friedman v. Guthy-Renker LLC and WEN by Chaz Dean, Inc.**  The lawsuit alleges that Defendants designed,  manufactured and sold WEN® Hair Care Products (“WEN®”) which allegedly caused certain users to suffer personal injury including hair loss, hair damage or scalp irritation. Plaintiffs also asserted that statements made in connection with the marketing of WEN® were untrue and misleading. Also see CAFA Notice dated 7-15-2016. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Brian W. Warwick**  **Janet R. Varnell**  **Steve T. Simmons**  **P.O. Box 1870**  **Lady Lake, FL 32158**  **352 753-8600 (Ph.)**  **352 754-8606 (Fax)** |
| **9-23-2016** | **15-CV-00018** | **(D.N.J.)** | **In re: Elk Cross Timbers Decking Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Building Materials Corporation of America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation, now known as Standard Industries Inc. (hereinafter “Defendant”)**  The lawsuit claims that such Decking Materials are or were prone to splitting, wrapping, swelling, shrinking, or surface decay and to developing pervasive mold, mildew or fungal or other growth. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Daniel K. Bryson**  **Scott Harris**  **Jeremy Williams**  **WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON,**  **LLP**  **900 W. Morgan Street**  **Raleigh, NC 27603**  **(919) 600-5000 (Ph.)** |
| **9-27-2016** | **13-MD-02437** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **In re: Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendant: Lafarge North America Inc. (“Lafarge”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Lafarge participated in a conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, or stabilize prices and to terminate the use of job quotes for Wallboard, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and the laws of various states and jurisdictions. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Whitney Street**  **BLOCK & LEVITON LLP**  **610 16th Street**  **Suite 214**  **Oakland, CA 94612**  **415 968-1852 (Ph.)**  **617 507-6020 (Fax)** |
| **9-27-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00101** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Wire Harness Cases) (Direct Purchaser Action)**  **Re Defendants: Tokai Rika Co., Ltd. And TRAM, Inc., a/k/a Tokai Rika U.S.A. Inc. (collectively, “Tokai Rika”)**  Plaintiffs, who are direct purchasers of Occupant Safety Systems, allege that Defendants entered into a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition for Occupant Safety Systems by agreeing to fix, maintain, or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate the supply of Occupant Safety Systems, in violation of federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs further allege that as a result of the conspiracy, they and other direct purchasers of Occupant Safety Systems have been injured by paying more for those products than they would have paid in the absence of the alleged illegal conduct, and they seek recovery of treble damages, together with reimbursement of costs and an award of attorneys’ fees. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.autopartsantitrustlitigation.com**](http://www.autopartsantitrustlitigation.com) |
| **9-29-2016** | **13-CV-05050** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Ortiz v. Randstad In House Services, L.P.**  Plaintiff alleges that Randstad violated a number of wage and hour laws. In particular, Plaintiff claims that Randstad failed to pay minimum wages, failed to pay overtime wages, failed to pay for all time worked, improperly rounded work time, failed to provide meal and rest breaks, failed to pay wage premiums for missed meal or rest breaks, failed to provide accurate paystubs and failed to timely pay all final wages. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Shaun Setareh**  **Setareh Law Group**  **9454 Wilshire Boulevard**  **Suite 907**  **Beverly Hills, CA 90212**  **310 888-7771 (Ph.)**  **310 888-0109 (Fax)** |
| **9-30-2016** | **15-CV-7759** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Fifth Street Finance Corporation (“FSC”) Securities Litigation**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants made materially false statements and/or omitted material facts about FSC’s financial condition. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants engaged in a scheme to inflate FSC’s assets and investment income in order to increase the revenue of  FSC’s investment adviser, Fifth Street Asset Management Inc. (“FSAM”), before FSAM’s initial public offering at the end of October 2014. After that offering, FSC wrote down the value of certain assets, suspended its dividend for February 2015, and reduced its future dividends. The Complaint contends that these alleged misstatements and omissions inflated the price of FSC’s common stock during the Class Period. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:**  **Joel H. Bernstein**  **Labaton Sucharow LLP**  **140 Broadway**  **New York, NY, 10005**  [**jbernstein@labaton.com**](mailto:jbernstein@labaton.com) |
| **9-30-2016** | **16-CV-01941** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Linde v. Fifth Street Asset Management Inc. (“FSAM”)**  The Complaint contends that FSAM’s IPO Registration Statement contained material misrepresentations and omissions about the quality and value of FSC’s assets, the management fees that FSC and FSFR paid to FSAM, FSAM’s assets under management, and FSAM’s dividends. Lead Plaintiffs allege that  Defendants engaged in a scheme to inflate FSC’s and FSFR’s assets and investment income in order to increase FSAM’s fee revenue before FSAM’s IPO. The Complaint contends that those alleged misstatements and omissions inflated the price of FSAM’s common stock in and after the IPO. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:**  **Lionel Z. Glancy**  **Glancy Prongay & Murray**  **LLP**  **1925 Century Plaza East Suite 2100**  **Los Angeles, CA 90067**  **settlements@glancylaw.com** |