

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-2-2014	12-CV-01236	(D. Minn.)	<p><b>Bryana Bible v. General Revenue Corporation</b> Plaintiff alleges that General Revenue Corporation ("GRC") violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692-1692p ("FDCPA"), by sending a notice that did not comply with 34 C.F.R. § 682.410(b)(5) by misstating the amount of time available to request a review of student loan debt.</p> <p>Class Members are approximately 134,000 persons whom GRC has identified in available records as having been sent the notice from 5-23-2011 to 6-6-2012.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>E. Michelle Drake Nichols Kaster, LLP 4600 IDS Center 80 South 8<sup>th</sup> Street Minneapolis, MN 55101</b></p>
1-2-2014	12-CV-00202	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendant manufacturers and suppliers of Instrument Panel Clusters globally and in the United States engaged in a lengthy conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the automotive parts industry by agreeing to rig bids, and to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of these products, which were sold to automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere. The Defendants' conspiracy successfully targeted the United States automotive industry, raising prices for car manufacturers, car and truck dealers and consumers.</p> <p>Class Members are all automobile dealers that during the period from 12-2002 up to and including the date that the Court enters an Order granting the notice motion, as set forth</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Cureo Gilbert &amp; LaDuca, LLP 507 C Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20002</b></p> <p><b>Barrett Law Group, P.A. P.O. Box 927 404 Court Square Lexington, MS 39095</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>in paragraph 16 of the agreement, (a) purchased an Instrument Panel Cluster manufactured by one of the Defendants or any current or former subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator or (b) purchased vehicles containing Instrument Panel Clusters manufactured by one of the Defendants or any current or former subsidiary, affiliate or co-conspirator thereof.</p>		
1-2-2014	13-MDL-02424	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Hyundai and Kia Fuel Economy Litigation</b>  Plaintiffs allege that on 11-2-2012, Hyundai Motor America (HMA) and Kia Motor America, Inc., (KMA) voluntarily adjusted the fuel economy ratings downward for the Class Vehicles. Both HMA and KMA simultaneously announced that each company was instituting a lifetime reimbursement program (hereinafter "Lifetime Reimbursement Program") to compensate affected vehicle owners and lessees for the additional fuel costs associated with the lowered fuel economy ratings. Plaintiffs claimed that, because of the alleged misrepresentation, they purchased vehicles they otherwise would not have purchased or paid more for the vehicles than they otherwise would have paid. Plaintiffs also assert that the Lifetime Reimbursement Program is inadequate.</p> <p>Class Members include any current or former owner or lessee of a Model Year 2011, 2012 and 2013 Hyundai and Kia ("Class Vehicle") who was the owner or lessee, on or before 11-2-2012, of such Class Vehicle registered in the District</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Robert B. Carey  Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  11 West Jefferson Street  Suite 1000  Phoenix, AZ 85003</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			of Columbia or one of the fifty (50) states of the United States.		
1-2-2014	10-CV-502	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Quaker Oats Labeling Litigation</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Quaker Oats violated certain consumer protection statutes by making one or more of the following statements on Quaker Chewy Granola Bars, Quaker Instant Oatmeal and Quaker Oatmeal to Go Bar Products at some point during the Class Period:            "wholesome," "Smart Choices Made Easy," "help your family fuel their busy days," "quality," "goodness in every bowl," "will help you feel your best," "All the Nutrition of a Bowl of Instant Oatmeal!," and "Helps Reduce Cholesterol," and included images of oats, nuts, fruit, natural brown sugar, and children in soccer uniforms. The lawsuit maintains that the Products contain certain allegedly "unhealthy" ingredients, such as partially hydrogenated vegetable oil ingredient(s) ("PHOs"), which Plaintiffs contend renders these statements misleading.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities in the United States who bought one or more of the Products from 2-3-2006 through (date of preliminary approval).</p>	Not set yet	For more information visit:  <a href="http://www.QuakerLawsuit.com">www.QuakerLawsuit.com</a>
1-9-2014	10-CV-310	(D. Del.)	<p><b>Jameson v. Metropolitan Group Property and Casualty Insurance Company</b>            This lawsuit is about whether Met Group breached its insurance policies by reducing</p>	Not set yet	No information

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Personal Injury Protection (PIP) and/or Medical Pay claim payments by applying reductions referred to as Usual Customary Reasonable (UCR) adjustments by using a computerized bill review system.</p> <p>The Class includes Met Group insureds or their healthcare providers who: 1) submitted claims for payment of medical expenses to Met Group from 1-1-1997 through 6-11-2013 pursuant to a Met Group Delaware auto insurance policy's PIP and/or Med Pay provision; 2) were paid an amount less than the submitted medical expenses based upon UCR adjustments; and 3) were paid an amount less than the policy's PIP and/or Med Pay amount.</p>		
1-10-2014	09-MD-02042	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>In re: Refrigerant Compressors Antitrust Litigation</b></p> <p>The lawsuit alleges that Defendants conspired to raise and fix the prices of refrigerant compressors. The lawsuit claims that, as a result of this alleged conspiracy, purchasers paid more for compressors than they otherwise would have paid. The operative complaint alleges that the Defendants violated the U.S. antitrust laws by agreeing to set artificially high prices for and restrict the supply of compressors.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased compressors in the United States, its territories and possessions, directly from any Defendants, including Settling Defendants, or</p>	6-14-2014	<p><b>For more information write to:</b></p> <p><b>David H. Fink Fink + Associates Law 100 West Long Lake Road Suite 111 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48404</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>from any of their parents, predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, or affiliates, anytime during the period from and including 2-25-2005 up to and including 12-31-2008. Compressors include compressors of less than one horse power, excluding compressors used in air conditioning.</p>		
1-10-2014	12-CV-0559	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Warner Music Group Corporation Digital Downloads Litigation ("WMG")</b>            Plaintiffs allege that WMG failed to properly credit royalty payments to Class Members from the exploitation of digital downloads and mastertones of recordings under certain contracts. The Plaintiffs claim that exploitation of digital downloads and mastertones should be counted as a "license" (and most WMG contracts provide a higher royalty rate for licenses) rather than as a "sale" of a record.</p> <p>Class Members are all who have the right to receive royalties from a contract dated before 1-1-2002 with a WMG U.S. label that pays on a royalty rate basis or a penny rate basis.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p><b>Pearson, Simon &amp; Warshaw, LLP</b>            15165 Ventura Blvd.            Suite 400            Sherman Oaks, CA 91403</p> <p>818 788-8300 (Ph.)</p>
1-13-2014	13-CV-00579	(W.D. Mo.)	<p><b>Avery v. Boyd Bros. Transportation, Inc.</b>            Plaintiff alleges that Boyd Bros. did not comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act in the manner in which it obtained and/or relied upon or used consumer reports from HireRight or another consumer reporting agency in connection with an application for employment with Boyd</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.boydbrosclassaction.com">www.boydbrosclassaction.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Bros.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons residing in the United States who applied for employment with Boyd Bros. during the class period via facsimile, telephone, electronic mail, regular mail, or other similar means, and about whom Boyd Bros. procured a consumer report and/or relied upon or used a consumer report in connection with the class members' application for employment with Boyd Bros.</p>		
1-13-2014	11-CV-03503	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Wayne C. Conlee v. WMS Industries Inc., et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that during the class period, Defendants made false and misleading assurances to investors that the company was on track to achieve record revenue and margins growth in fiscal year 2011, through the launch of a new, high margin gaming product and the implementation of certain operational improvements. The complaint further alleges that Defendants' misstatements caused WMS's stock to trade at artificially inflated prices and, when the truth was eventually disclosed, resulted in substantial damages to the Class.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons, without geographic limitation, who purchased or otherwise acquired WMS common stock during the period from 9-21-2010 through and including 8-4-2011, or their successors in interest, and who were or claim to have been damaged by Defendants' alleged violations of Section 10(b) and/or 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write:</p> <p>WMS Securities Litigation Claims Administrator c/o Gilardi &amp; Co. LLC P.O. Box 990 Corte Madera, CA 94976</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			1934.		
1-14-2014	12-CV-22330	(S.D. Fla.)	<p><b>Physicians Healthsource, Inc. v. Doctor Diabetic Supply, LLC, Sanare, LLC, DDS Holding, Inc. and George Heisel</b></p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") and engaged in common law conversion by faxing unsolicited advertisements to the Class.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who (1) on or after four years prior to the filing of this action, (2) were sent telephone facsimile messages of material advertising the commercial availability of any property, goods, or services by or on behalf of Defendants, (3) from whom Defendants did not obtain prior express permission or invitation to send those faxes, (4) with whom Defendants did not have an established business relationship, and (5) where the messages did not display a proper opt out notice.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ryan Kelly Anderson + Wanca 3701 Algonquin road Suite 760 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008</p>
1-21-2014	09-CV-7359	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Brecher, et al. v. Citigroup Inc., et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants violated Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 from 11-1-2006 through 6-30-2009 in making certain misstatements and omissions concerning Citigroup's exposure to various mortgage-related investments.</p> <p>Class Members are all who participated in Citigroup's voluntary FA Capital Accumulation</p>	4-28-2014	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>Settlement Administrator 1 877 600-6533 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Program (CAP) from 11-1-2006 through 6-30-2009, and received an FA CAP award on 1-2-2007, 7-1-2007, 1-2-2008, 1-1-2008 and/or 1-1-2009.		
1-24-2014	10-CV-00395	(M.D. La.)	<p><b>Robert F. Bach, et al. v. Amedisys, Inc., et al.</b>  Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants breached fiduciary duties owed to participants and beneficiaries in the Amedisys, Inc. 301(k) Plan ("Plan") from 1-1-2008 through 12-13-2013.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were participants in or beneficiaries of the Plan and who held Amedisys stock in their Plan accounts at any time between 1-1-2008 through 12-13-2013.</p>	Not set yet	For more information visit or call:  <a href="http://www.berdonclaims.com">www.berdonclaims.com</a>  1 800 766-3330 (Ph.)
1-24-2014	09-CV-01517	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Gutierrez, et al. v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.</b>  Plaintiffs alleged that American Honda Motor Co., Inc. ("AHM") markets, distributes and sells vehicles allegedly equipped with a defective side airbag system, with side airbags prone to inadvertently deploying while the vehicle is being driven under normal conditions. Plaintiffs assert causes of action for violation of California Business and Professional Code § 17200, <u>et seq.</u> and California Civil Code § 1750, <u>et seq.</u></p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States and the District of Columbia who purchased or leased a new or used 2008 Accord</p>	Not set yet	For more information write:  Mike Arias Alfredo Torrijos Arias, Ozzello & Gignac, LLP 6701 Center Drive West 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, Cal. 90045

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Sedan manufactured before 6-12-2008.		
1-24-2014	13-CV-2119  13-CV-02580 13-CV-02514	(E.D. Pa.)	<p><b>In re: Unitek Global Services, Inc., ("UGS") Securities Litigation (consolidated)</b>  <b>Alfred Minotti v. UGS</b>  <b>Robert Strougo v.UGS</b>  <b>Robert Harvey v. UGS</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that UniTek common stock was artificially inflated during the class period as a result of alleged false and misleading statements and omissions by Defendants concerning, <i>inter alia</i>, UniTek's true financial condition and business prospects.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired UniTek common stock from 5-18-2011 through 4-12-2013, and who were damaged by such misrepresentations.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Samuel H. Rudman  Robert M. Rothman  Edward Y. Kroub  Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP  58 South Service Road  Suite 200  Melville, NY 11747</p>
1-27-2014	10-MD-2143	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Optical Disk Drive Antitrust Litigation</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and co-conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of Optical Disk Drives ("ODDs") for six years, resulting in overcharges to direct purchasers of those ODDs and certain products containing ODDs. The complaint describes how the Defendants and co-conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. antitrust laws by establishing a global cartel that set artificially high prices for and restricted the supply of ODDs.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who,</p>	4-3-2014	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.ODDDirectPurchaseAntitrustSettlement.com">www.ODDDirectPurchaseAntitrustSettlement.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			between 1-1-2004 and 1-1-2010, directly purchased an ODD in the United States from any Defendant or subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator. As used herein, the term "ODD" includes (a) a drive sold by a Defendant or its subsidiary or affiliate as a separate unit that is to be inserted into, or incorporated in, an electronic device; (b) a drive sold by a Defendant or its subsidiary or affiliate as a separate unit that is to be attached to an electronic device through an external interface such as a Universal Serial Bus connection; and (c) an internal drive sold as a component of a laptop or desktop computer by a Defendant or its subsidiary or affiliate.		
1-27-2014	10-CV-6352	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: American Apparel, Inc. Shareholder Litigation</b></p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the price of American Apparel common stock was artificially inflated during the Class Period as a result of alleged false and misleading statements and omissions, in a 2009 Annual Statement, by the American Apparel Defendants concerning compliance with immigration laws and certain financial records.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly traded common stock of American Apparel between 11-28-2007 and 8-17-2010, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Eli R. Greenstein Stacey M. Kaplan Kessler Topaz Meltzer &amp; Check, LLP One Sansome Street Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94104</b></p>
1-29-2014	12-CV-05704	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Satterfield v. Lime Energy Co., et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that, during the Class</p>	5-13-2014	For more information write to:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Period, Lime's stock price was artificially inflated as a result of a series of untrue or materially misleading statements regarding Lime's financial performance and internal controls. Lead Plaintiffs further contend that Defendants made these statements knowing them to be false or misleading, or recklessly disregarding their false or misleading nature, and that investors suffered injury as a result of the alleged stock price inflation.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities that purchased Lime Securities during the period from 5-14-2008 through 12-27-2012.</p>		<p>Satterfield v. Lime Energy Co. c/o Rust Consulting, Inc. Claims Administrator P.O. Box 8095 Faribault, MN 55021-9495</p>
1-31-2014	10-CV-10588	(D. Mass.)	<p><b>Glass Dimensions, Inc. on behalf of the Glass Dimensions, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and Trust v. State Street Corporation, State Street Bank &amp; Trust Co., and State Street Global Advisors</b></p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the State Street Defendants violated the employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") on a class-wide basis, including (a) breaching their fiduciary duties under ERISA §404(a), 29 U.S.C. §1104(a), by failing to loyally and prudently manage the assets of the ERISA Plans comprising the class, and (b) engaging in self-dealing prohibited transactions in violation of ERISA §406, 29 U.S.C. §§1109(a), by collecting compensation from Plan assets for providing securities lending services without the applicable exemptions issued by the Department of Labor.</p>	5-12-2014	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Gregory Y. Porter Baily &amp; Glasser LLP 910 17<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006</p> <p>202 463-2101 (Ph.) 202 463-2103 (Fax)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in January, 2014 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are persons that invested in State Street's Lending Funds: ERISA plans that, during the period from 4-9-2004 to the present: (a) invested in a Collective Trust established by Defendants that loaned securities under a Master Securities Lending Authorization agreement, and (b) paid to Defendants fifty percent (50%) of the net securities lending income that the Collective Trust earned.</p>		