

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
4-3-2014	11-CV-01056	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Lerma, et al. v. Schiff Nutrition International, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that the labeling and packaging of Move Free, Move Free Advanced, Pain Free, Lubriflex, Great American Nutrition, Metaform, Muscle Tribe, Victory, Schiff, Kirkland, Member's Mark and Spring Valley brand joint health products contain false, deceptive and misleading statements and do not warn consumers about their potentially harmful side effects.</p> <p>Class Members include all residents of the United States who purchased for personal use, and not resale or distribution, one of the "Covered Products" between 1-1-2005 and [Preliminary Approval Date].</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Elaine A. Ryan Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, P.C. 2325 East Camelback Road Suite 300 Phoenix, Arizona 85016</p>
4-7-2014	11-CV-00180	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Holman v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Experian violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) by furnishing consumer credit information to Finex, when Finex did not have permissible purpose to receive that information.</p> <p>Class Members are all consumers whose consumer reports were furnished by Experian to Finex from 1-12-2009 to the present in connection with Finex's efforts to collect on a towing deficiency claim that was not reduced to a judgment and was not the result of a towing transaction that the consumer initiated. Individuals who subsequently filed for</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Andrew J. Ogilvie Mark F. Anderson Carol M. Brewer Anderson, Ogilvie & Brewer LLP 235 Montgomery Street Suite 914 San Francisco, CA 94104</p> <p>Balam O. Letona Law Offices of Balam O. Letona 55 River Street Suite 220 Santa Cruz, CA 95060</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			bankruptcy are excluded from the Class.		
4-9-2014	12-CV-2491	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Sarah Chookey v. Sears, Roebuck and Company Plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the Class Members are owed additional compensation for overtime hours worked based on an alleged miscomputation of overtime rates, as well as additional amounts for meal and rest break violations, inaccurate itemized wage statements, and related penalties.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former non-exempt employees of Sears, in Sears' Retail Stores or Sears' Retail-Store Automotive Department in California who, at any time between 2-10-2008, and 2-13-2014, worked overtime hours and received sales commissions totaling less than one-half of their total compensation during a work week (meaning Sears' "C-Plan" Associates).</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Alan Harris Harris & Ruble 4771 Cromwell Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90027</p> <p>323 962-3777 (Ph.)</p>
4-9-2014	12-CV-04173	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Yehuda Katz v. ABP Corporation Plaintiff alleges that Au Bon Pain violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, a federal law, when it printed the expiration date and/or more than the last five digits of a credit or debit card number on receipts provided to customers with transactions of \$15.00 or more at Au Bon Pain's Retail Locations at certain times between 8-1-2011 and 8-31-2012.</p> <p>Class Members are persons who used a Visa, MasterCard, Diner's Club, Discover or American</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Joshua C. Dickinson Bryant T. Lamer Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP 1000 Walnut Street Suite 1400 Kansas City, MO 64106</p> <p>816 474-8100 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			Express debit or credit card at any of Au Bon Pain's Retail Locations for a transaction of \$15.00 or more between 8-1-2011 and 8-31-2012. If the receipt provided for that purchase contained the person's credit or debit card's expiration date or contained more than the last five digits of the person's credit or debit card, the person may be entitled to a \$9.60 payment by check or a \$15.00 transferable voucher.		816 474-3216 (Fax)
4-10-2014	02-CV-00950	(D. Utah)	<p>Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Association, Inc., et al. v. C.R. England, Inc. ("England")</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that England violated Department of Transportation leasing regulations, 49 C.F.R. § 376.12, commonly referred as the "Truth-in-Leasing Regulations" (the "Leasing Regulations"), made privately actionable by 49 U.S.C. § 14704. Plaintiffs alleged that England failed to comply with the charge-back, forced purchase and escrow provisions of the Leasing Regulations. At the time suit was filed, England used a form Independent Contractor Operating Agreement ("ICOA").</p> <p>Class Members are all independent truck owner-operators in the United States who entered into federally regulated leases with England or its authorized agents or business affiliates, between 6-4-1998 and 5-15-2006.</p>	6-19-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>David A. Cohen Randall Herrick-Stare The Cullen Law Firm PLLC 1101 30th Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
4-10-2014	11-CV-00282	(D. Conn.)	<p>Healthcare Strategies, Inc. ("HIS"), et al. v. ING Life Ins. and Annuity Co. ("ILIAC") Plaintiffs allege that ILIAC breached fiduciary duties under ERISA and engaged in prohibited transactions under ERISA by contracting with mutual fund companies to receive "revenue sharing" payments. On 9-27-2012, the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (the "Court") certified a proposed class and on 12-26-2012, The DeRosa Corporation ("TDC") was added as a party plaintiff and class representative.</p> <p>Class Members include any current or former trustee, sponsor, fiduciary, or administrator of an employee pension plan covered by the ERISA subject to Internal Revenue Code sections 401(a) or 401(k), and for which ILIAC either serves or has served as a service provider at any time between 2-23-2005 and 2-7-2013.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Shepherd, Finkelman, Miller & Shah, LLP 65 Main Street Chester, CT 06412 Attn: James E. Miller or Laurie Rubinow</p>
4-18-2014	12-CV-2999	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Reza Barani v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Plaintiff alleges that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., ("Wells Fargo") violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") by sending text messages to cellphones without prior express consent in connection with its mobile banking send/receive money product, using an automated telephone dialer, during the Class Period of 12-18-2008 to 6-20-2013.</p> <p>Class Members are all wireless phone subscribers and users within the United States, who received</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Joshua B. Swigart Hyde & Swigart 2221 Camino Del Rio South Suite 101 San Diego, CA 92108</p> <p>Abbas Kazerounian of Kazerouni Law Group APC 245 Fischer Avenue Suite D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			any text message/s from Defendant in connection with, or as a result of, its send/receive money product program, who were not customers of Defendant at the time the text/s were sent, which text messages were sent during the Class Period of 12-18-2008 to 6-20-2013.		
4-21-2014	11-CV-02395	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Ferreira v. Modell's Sporting Goods, Inc. et al. Plaintiff alleges that Assistant Store Manager of Modell's Sporting Goods Inc. and Henry Modell & Company Inc. (collectively referred to herein as "Modell's") filed a lawsuit against Modell's alleging that certain assistant store managers should have been but were not paid proper overtime wages for weeks in which they worked more than 40 hours ("Lawsuit"). The Lawsuit alleges that Modell's misclassified assistant store managers as "exempt" from federal and state overtime pay requirements. The Lawsuit further alleges that, because assistant store managers should have been treated as non-exempt hourly employees, assistant store managers should have received additional wages for overtime.</p> <p>The Court has conditionally certified, for settlement purposes only, the following classes:</p> <p>Federal Class: The federal class consists of all individuals who have worked anywhere in the United States for Modell's as an assistant store manager within the three years prior to the timely return of their Consent to Join and Claim Form and who, as assistant store managers, were</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, fax or email:</p> <p>Klafter Olsen Lesser LLP Seth R. Lesser Fran L. Rudich Two International Drive Suite 350 Rye Brook, NY 10573</p> <p>914 934-9200 (Ph.) 914 934-9220 (Fax)</p> <p>www.klafterolsen.com</p> <p>Hepworth Gershbaum Roth PLLC Marck S. Hepworth David A. Roth 192 Lexington Avenue Suite 802 New York, NY 10128</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>classified as exempt employees.</p> <p>State Class: The state class consists of all individuals who have worked for Modell's as an assistant store manager in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia and the District of Columbia and who, as assistant store managers, were classified as exempt employees by Modell's, and who do not request to be excluded from the Settlement.</p>		<p>212 545-1199 (Ph.)</p> <p>212 532-3801 (Fax)</p> <p>www.hgrlawyers.com</p>
4-21-2014	12-CV-1118	(S.D. Ind.)	<p>Marie Fritzinger v. Angie's List, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Angie's List breached its Membership Agreement and was unjustly enriched because it allegedly charged renewing Angie's List members a fee greater than the fee charged to new members, and allegedly enrolled certain Angie's List Members in bundled memberships in certain markets.</p> <p>Class Members are all monthly and annual Angie's List Members who, from 1-1-2009 to 1-31-2014: (1) were allegedly charged a Renewal Fee that exceeded the lowest prevailing New Member Fee in their Market, and/or (2) were Members in a Market prior to that Market's conversion to Paid Health status and who were automatically renewed into a Bundle membership in the renewal immediately following that Market's conversion to Paid Health status, at a fee which allegedly resulted in damages.</p>	9-17-2014	<p>For more information write, visit or e-mail:</p> <p>Mindee J. Reuben Jeremy S. Spiegel Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher LLC 2845 Walnut Street Suite 1100 Philadelphia, PA 19103</p> <p>ALSettlement@wka-law.com</p> <p>215 545-7200 (Ph.)</p> <p>Richard E. Shevitz Cohen & Malad LLP One Indiana Square Suite 1400 Indianapolis, IN 46204</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
4-23-2014	13-CV-11158 13-CV-11163	(D. Del.)	<p>CPI Corp. et al., Debtor CPI Canadian Holdings, Inc., Debtor</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that they were terminated without cause due to mass layoffs and/or permanent plant closing carried out on or about 4-3-2013. Plaintiffs claim that, under the Worker Adjustment and Retaining Act ("WARN Act"), they were entitled to receive written notice sixty (60) days in advance of their respective termination. Plaintiffs contend that they did not receive proper notice and believe that they, therefore, are entitled to an award of sixty (60) days' wages and benefits, reduced by the value of wages and benefits for the number of days of any advance written notice that CPI Corp. and CPI Canadian Holdings, Inc. (collectively, the Defendants") properly provided, and the Defendants are therefore, responsible for the alleged violations of the WARN Act.</p> <p>Class Members include each Plaintiff and any other former employee of the Debtors who was terminated on 4-3-2013, or shortly thereafter.</p>	8-21-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Charles A. Ercole Kathryn E. Perkins Klehr Harrison Harvey Bransburg, LLP 919 Market Street Suite 1000 Wilmington, DE 19801</p>
4-24-2014	14-CV-376	(D. Minn.)	<p>William Dean v. City of Monticello</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the City, in connection with the offering and sale of Telecommunications Revenue Bonds (FiberNet Monticello Project) Series 2008 (the "Bonds"), failed to disclose material facts that indicated that the "fiber to the premises" broadband communications network (the "FTTP Project") could not generate</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Geoffrey H. Coll Schiff Hardin LLP 901 K Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20001</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>sufficient new revenues to make the project economically feasible. Plaintiff and the Class purchased the Bonds upon review, and in reliance upon: a Preliminary Offering Statement issued by the City on 5-5-2008 (the "Original POS"), which failed to disclose material facts.</p> <p>Class Members are all Bond Holders who bought Bonds in the original offering of the Bonds on or around 6-19-2008, or who purchased Bonds from such holders or their transferees, whether or not they own Bonds at the time of the Settlement.</p>		
4-24-2014	10-CV-05711	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Kleen Products LLC, et al. v. Packaging Corporation of America, et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants conspired to illegally fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize prices of Containerboard Products purchased in the United States in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The lawsuit claims that any person or entity that purchased Containerboard Products directly from any Defendant during the Class Period paid a higher price than they otherwise would have paid in a competitive market.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities that purchased Containerboard Products directly from Defendants in the United States from 2-15-2004 through 11-8-2010.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Michael J. Freed Freed Kanner London & Millen, LLC 2201 Waukegan Road Suite 130 Bannockburn, IL 60015</p> <p>224 632-4500 (Ph.) 224 632-4521 (Fax)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
4-24-2014	13-CV-01946	(D. Minn.)	<p>Jesse Weiss v. Dakota Growers Pasta Co. Plaintiff alleges that certain representations made in the advertising for and packaging of the covered Products regarding the pasta's low glycemic index and low digestible carbohydrates were not scientifically supported and were misleading.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who purchased Dreamfields Pasta products sold in the United States for personal use and not resale or distributions, with a label that referred to "glycemic index" or "digestible carbs," between 2-2004 and [the date that the Settlement Class is first notified of the Settlement].</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Brian D. Penny Goldman Scarlato Karon & Penny, P.C. 101 East Lancaster Avenue Suite 204 Wayne, PA 19087</p> <p>William B. Federman Federman & Sherwood 10205 N. Pennsylvania Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73120</p>
4-24-2014	12-CV-05054	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>Aubrey Keller, et al. v. TD Bank, N.A., et al. Plaintiffs allege that TD Bank violated wage and hour laws by failing to pay non-exempt employees for all time worked performing required store opening and/or store closing Security Procedures.</p> <p>Class Members are all non-exempt TD Bank retail store employees who worked in the States of Delaware and/or Rhode Island who engaged in TD Bank store opening or store closing Security Procedures during the period 6-26-2010 through 10-16-2012 plus all Full-Time employees who worked in said states during the period 6-26-2010 through 10-16-2012.</p>	8-29-2014	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1 877 529-9501 (Ph.)</p> <p>www.swartz-legal.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
4-25-2014	08-CV-5093	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund, et al. v. The Royal Bank of Scotland Group, PLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege violations of the Securities Act against the Defendants for the Offerings in which the Lead Plaintiffs purchased securities, namely the Harborview Series 2006-4 and Harborview Series 2007-7 Offerings, by alleging Defendants' failure to disclose that the mortgage originators systematically disregarded the applicable underwriting guidelines.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired an interest in any Certificate.</p>		<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC Joel P. Laitman Christopher Lometti Michael Eisenkraft Kenneth M. Rehns 88 Pine Street 14th Floor New York, NY 10005</p>
4-28-2014	08-CV-01249	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Cunha v. Hansen Natural Corporation Plaintiff alleges that Hansen and certain of its executives violated the federal securities laws by misrepresenting and omitting material facts about Hansen's business, including the state of its distribution relationship with Anheuser-Busch ("AB") and the benefits to be derived therefrom and that Hansen purportedly engaged in a "channel stuffing" scheme during the Class Period relating to sales to AB distributors. Lead Plaintiff alleges that when Defendants disclosed the truth about AB relationship and the company's financial results, Settlement Class Members suffered damages as a result of a decline in the price of Hansen common stock.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Hansen common stock between</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP Jeffrey D. Light Douglas R. Britton 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			11-9-2006 and 11-8-2007, inclusive.		
4-28-2014	12-CV-08796	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>James L. Orrington, II, DDS, PC, G. Neil Garrett, DDS, PC, Jerry Spyrtos, DDS and Gary M. Dong, DDS, et al. v. Hiossen, Inc., and John Does 1-10</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Hiossen, Inc. (the "Defendant") violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227 ("TCPA"), and state law by sending unsolicited facsimile advertisements.</p> <p>Class Members include: (a) all persons and entities (b) to whom, during 2012, (c) Hiossen, Inc., or a third party acting on Hiossen, Inc.'s behalf, transmitted or attempted to transmit unsolicited facsimile advertisements.</p>	8-26-2014	<p>For more information write call or fax:</p> <p>Edelman, Combs, Lattuner & Goodwin, LLC 120 S. LaSalle Street 19th Floor Chicago, IL 60603</p> <p>312 917-4504 (Ph.)</p> <p>1 866 920-1051 (Fax)</p>