

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
3-2-2012	10-CV-04937	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Chanee Thurston, and Tanasha Denmon-Clark v. Conopco, Inc. d/b/a Unilever (formerly d/b/a Good Humor-Breyers) d/b/a Breyers</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Unilever has misrepresented its brand Ice Cream products as being "all natural" despite the fact they contain alkalized cocoa - a non-natural processed ingredient that additionally contains potassium carbonate, a man-made, synthetic ingredient.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who purchased name branded "all natural" Unilever Ice Cream Products from 11-4-2004 through the date of Preliminary Approval of Settlement.</p>	9-12-12	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>James S. Notis Jennifer Sarnelli 560 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632</b></p>
3-2-2012	08-CV-3799	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>George, et al. v. Kraft Foods Global, Inc., et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated ERISA by maintaining unitized company stock funds as investment vehicles in the Plan, by paying excessive fees to the Plan's record keeper for its services, by providing insufficient disclosures, by allowing the Plan's trustee to retain the float earned on certain transactions the trustee conducted on behalf of the Plan, and by maintaining the Growth Equity Fund and the Balanced Fund as investment options in the Plan.</p> <p>Class Members are all who participated in the Kraft Foods Global, Inc. Thrift Plan (the "Plan"), and/or surviving spouses or designated</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.kraftsERISAsettlement.com">www.kraftsERISAsettlement.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			beneficiaries of a deceased person subject to a Qualified Domestic Relations Order who participated in the Plan at any time between 10-16-2000 and 2-23-2012.		
3-5-2012	10-CV-00632	(E.D. Tex.)	<p><b>Brad Saub, et al. v. 24 Hour Fitness Worldwide Inc., et al.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that 24 Hour Fitness sold membership contracts at its fitness facilities and then denied its members access to certain clubs their contracts permitted them to access. Plaintiff's complaint claims that this conduct by 24 Hour constituted: (1) breach of contract and (2) fraud.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who entered into a Contract with 24 Hour Fitness, excluding those whose contracts: (1) expired before 11-29-2006 and were not renewed or (2) were terminated before 11-29-2006.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information contact:</p> <p><b>Hossley &amp; Embry, LLP</b>  <b>Jeffrey Embry</b>  <b>320 S. Broadway</b>  <b>Suite 100</b>  <b>Tyler, Texas 75702</b>  <b>Fax: (903) 526-1773</b></p>
3-5-2012	11-CV-133	(W.D. Wash.)	<p><b>In re: Coinstar Inc. Securities Class Action</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are in violation of making alleged misstatements and omissions during the Class Period in connection with Coinstar's publicly released revenue and earnings guidance for the fourth quarter of 2010. It is further alleged that Plaintiff and other Class Members purchased Coinstar common stock at artificially inflated prices and were damaged thereby.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased common stock</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call:</p> <p><b>Labaton Sucharow LLP</b>  <b>(888) 219-6877</b></p> <p>Or visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.labaton.com">www.labaton.com</a>  <b>settlementquestions@labaton.com</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			of Coinstar, Inc. ("Coinstar") during the period from 10-29-2010 to 2-3-2011, inclusive, and were allegedly damaged thereby.		
3-5-2012	06-MD-1775	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, Airfreight Carrier named Co-Conspirators' and other named and unnamed co-conspirators' single, unitary, global and overarching conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices of Airfreight Shipping Services through a number of mechanisms, including, inter alia, concertedly levying inflated Surcharges, jointly agreeing to eliminate or prevent discounting of Airfreight Shipping Services prices, agreeing on rates and Yields, and allocating customers.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased Airfreight Shipping Services from shipments to, from or within the U.S. directly from any of the Defendants, or from any of their parents, predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, or affiliates, at any time during the period from 1-1-2000, up to and including 9-11-2006.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Howard J. Sedran Austin B. Cohen Keith J. Verrier Levin, Fishbein, Sedran &amp; Berman 510 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19106</p> <p>Or call:</p> <p>(215) 592-1500</p>
3-5-2012	09-CV-1316	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Trauth et al. v. The Oxnard Hospitality Services, et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the Oxnard Hospitality Services, Inc., and WPB Hospitality, LLC (the "Clubs") mischaracterized certain Club employees as independent contractors rather than employees, to reduce the compensation and</p>	5-13-2012	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Hart L. Robinovitch, Timothy J. Becker, Zimmerman Reed PLLP 14646 N. Kierland Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ. 85254</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>benefits to which Club employees were entitled but did not receive. Plaintiffs further contend that Defendants were engaged in unlawful tip-sharing arrangements with the dancers.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who worked as exotic dancers and provided nude, semi-nude and/or bikini entertainment for customers at one or more of the Clubs owned by the following entities at some point during the period of time from 7-13-2005 up to and including the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order: City of Industry Hospitality Venture, Inc., Downtown LA Club Venture, Inc., Inland Restaurant Venture I, Inc., Midnight Sun Enterprise, Inc., Olympic Avenue Venture, Inc., Rialto Pockets, Inc., Rough Gentlemen's Club, Inc., Santa Barbara Hospitality Services, Inc., Serita Maria Restaurant Enterprises, Inc. and the Oxnard Hospitality Services, Inc.</p>		
3-5-2012	12-CV-00204	(D.N.J.)	<p><b>Frances O'Brien v. Brain Research Labs, LLC</b> Plaintiffs allege that the advertising and marketing for the Product contains false and misleading information about the benefits and efficacy of the Product.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of Procera AVH between 1-1-2005 and (date not set yet).</p>	Not set yet	No information
3-5-2012	08-CV-0248	(S.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Christina M. Adams, et al. v. AllianceOne Receivables Management, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that AllianceOne violated the</p>	Not set yet	For more information visit/write to:  <a href="http://AllianceOnesettlement.com">AllianceOnesettlement.com</a>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Telephone Consumer Protection Act by calling cell phones without prior express consent using an automated telephone dialer.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who received a Cell Phone or Pager call from AllianceOne using an automatic telephone dialing system between 2-2004 and 2-2010.</p>		<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Gilardi &amp; Co.</b> 3301 Kerner Blvd. San Rafael, CA 94901.</p>
3-6-2012	09-CV-00527	(D. Md.)	<p><b>Edmund Carter, et al. v. MV Transportation, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated federal and state labor laws, by not paying overtime or other compensation to employees.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who were employed by MV Transportation, Inc. as Drivers within the State of Maryland, who worked beyond the company's initial training period and who worked overtime during the Class Period of 3-4-2007 through 1-14-2010.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Jeffrey S. Morneau Connor, Morneau &amp; Olin, LLP 73 State Street Springfield, MA 01103</p> <p>Or call:</p> <p>(414) 455-1730</p>
3-8-2012	06-MD-1775	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and certain employees of theirs conspired to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices of Airfreight Shipping Services by, among other things, coordinating surcharges (such as fuel and security surcharges) and by agreeing to eliminate or prevent discounting of surcharges. The lawsuit claims that, as a result, purchasers paid more for Airfreight Shipping Services than they otherwise would have paid.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.aircargosettlement3.com">www.aircargosettlement3.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased Airfreight Shipping Services for shipments to, from or within the United States directly from any of the Settling Defendants, any other Defendant, or from any of their parents, predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, or affiliates, at any time during the period 1-1-2000 up to and including 9-11-2006.</p>		
3-8-2012	11-CV-00181	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Celeste Carducci et al. v. Wachovia Bank; Wells Fargo Bank N.A.,</b>            Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants violated various state and federal laws by applying late fees to timely home mortgage payments paid in Wells Fargo Bank branches and then not reversing or waiving those fees. This claim arises on behalf of customers of Wells Fargo Home Mortgage and Wachovia Mortgage who made mortgage payments in Wells Fargo Banks stores (branches). Due to programming issues, payments could be credited to a customer's account after the actual date the customer made the payment.</p> <p>Class Members are all: (1) residents of the U.S. who were or are holders of a closed-end mortgage on their primary residence that was serviced by Wachovia Mortgage or Wells Fargo Home Mortgage during the period 10-1-2009 to the Settlement Date; (2) who made in-store payments during that period which were "effective dated" as of a calendar date later than the date of the actual in-store transaction; and (3) who were assessed late charges that they paid and which were not subsequently waived, reversed or refunded before</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:  <a href="http://www.WachoviaWellsLateFeeSettlement.com">www.WachoviaWellsLateFeeSettlement.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			the Settlement Date.		
3-12-2012	10-CV-5595	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Capsolas v. Pasta Resources, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants violated the federal Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law by misappropriating customer tips, unlawfully taking a tip credit against their wages, and failing to pay employees at the proper overtime and minimum wage rate and other wages.</p> <p>Class Members are all who were employed as a captain, server, waiter, busser, runner, backwaiter, bartender, and/or barback at Babbo, Bar Jamon, Casa Mono, Esca, Del Posto, Lupa, Otto, or Tarry Lodge.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: <a href="http://www.jhllp.com">www.jhllp.com</a> or <a href="http://www.outtengolden.com">www.outtengolden.com</a> or write to: <b>Maimon Kirschenbaum and Denise Schulman Joseph, Herzfeld, Hester &amp; Kirshenbaum LLP</b> 233 Broadway, 5<sup>th</sup> Fl. New York, NY 10279</p>
3-14-2012	10-CV-02697	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Roslyn Griffith and Jerret Cain v. Consumer Portfolio Services, Inc. (CPS)</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendant called customers' cellular telephone numbers in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA").</p> <p>Class Members are all who received a call on cellular phone by a predictive dialing mechanism without the express consent of the cellular phone account owner.</p>	6-15-2012	<p>For more information call: <b>Claims Administrator</b> (312) 726-1092</p>
3-14-2012	09-CV-390	(S.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Marilyn Underwood and Charles Underwood, Maria Dusenbery, and Jack Flowers v. I.F.D.A Services, Inc., et. al. (combined and updated)</b> Plaintiffs allege that IFDA and its former</p>	6-12-2012	<p>For more information visit: <a href="http://www.IFDATrustSettlem">www.IFDATrustSettlem</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>officers and directors breached their fiduciary duties by improperly investing pre-need funds deposited in the IFDA Pre-Need Trust. The pre-need customers also claimed that, over the years, IFDA paid an inflated rate of return on money invested in both the Taxable and the Tax-Exempt Trusts and concealed the fact that the Trusts were experiencing deficits.</p> <p>Class Members are all Purchasers of a pre-need funeral who had funds held in the IFDA Pre-Need Trust.</p>		<a href="http://ent.com">ent.com</a>
3-14-2012	10-MD-2196	(N.D. Ohio)	<p><b>In re: Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Domfoam Valle and several other flexible polyurethane foam manufacturers conspired to allocate customers and fix the prices for flexible polyurethane foam in the U.S. in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities that purchased flexible polyurethane foam directly from Domfoam Valle or an alleged Co-Conspirator at any time from 1-1-1999 through the present in the United States and Canada.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/home/clerk-s-office-and-court-records/electronic-filing">http://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/home/clerk-s-office-and-court-records/electronic-filing</a></p>
3-16-2012	06-CV-02448	(D.N.J.)	<p><b>Elias v. Ungar's Food Products, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants misrepresented the fat and calories on packages of Dr. Praeger's California and Tex-Mex Veggie Burgers and Dr. Praeger's Spinach Broccoli, and Potato Pancakes.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>(212) 704-6000</p> <p>Or visit:</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons residing in the United States who purchased Dr. Prager's Frozen California Veggie Burgers, Tex-Mex Veggie Burgers, Broccoli Pancakes, Potato Pancakes, and Spinach Pancakes ("Products") from 5-30-2000 through 8-31-2007.</p>		<p><a href="http://www.drpraegersclassaction.com">www.drpraegersclassaction.com</a></p>
3-16-2012	11-CV-2039	(S.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Gallucci, et al. v. Boiron</b> Plaintiffs allege that advertising concerning Oscillococcinum, Children's Oscillococcinum, Arnicare, Quietude, Camilia, Coldcalm and other products manufactured by Boiron ("the Products") was not true.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of any above product manufactured by Boiron, between [dates not set] and [preliminary settlement date].</p>	Not set yet	No Information
3-16-2012	07-CV-2394	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Shropshire v. Sony Music Entertainment</b> Plaintiffs allege breach of contract claims relating to royalty payments due to Class Members for digital download and ringtone compensation.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons entitled to royalty payments for permanent digital downloads and ringtones under contracts currently held by CBS Records or Sony Music Entertainment ("SME") or by Arista Music, formerly known as BMG Music ("Arista").</p>	Not set yet	No Information

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
3-21-2012	09-CV-04726	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>In re: Plumbers and Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension-Annuity Trust Fund, et al. v. Allscripts-Misys Healthcare Solutions, Inc.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made false and misleading statements and omissions on 5-8-2007 about the status of, and delays, development, and installation problems surrounding Allscripts' Electronic Health Record clinical software products, Touch-Works Version 11.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of Allscripts, publicly-traded securities between 5-8-2007 and 2-13-2008, inclusive, and who were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Joy Ann Bull            655 Wet Broadway,            Suite 1900            San Diego, CA 92101</p>
3-22-2012	11-CV-00348	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Michael A. Goldstein et al. v. Tongxin International Ltd., et al.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made false and misleading statements about Tongxin's business and financial results.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of Tongxin common stock during the Settlement Class Period, between 5-18-2009 and 12-17-2010, inclusive, who were allegedly damaged thereby.</p>		<p>For more information visit/write:</p> <p><a href="http://www.gilardi.com">www.gilardi.com</a></p> <p>Rick Nelson            c/o            Shareholder Relations            Robbins Geller Rudman            &amp; Dowd LLP            655 West Broadway            Suite 1900            San Diego, CA 92101</p>
3-22-2012	11-CV-294	(E.D. Penn.)	<p><b>Ledet v. Ascentive LLC</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Ascentive's Products did not actually perform some of the functions as marketed and advertised by Ascentive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Rafey S. Balabanian</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Class Members are all purchasers of Ascentive Products and/or Additional Services on or before [date not set].		Ari J. Scharg Edelson McGuire LLC 350 N. LaSalle, Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60654
3-23-2012	08-MD-01952	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>In re: Packaged Ice Antitrust Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Home City participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, or stabilize the price of Packaged Ice throughout the United States at artificially high levels in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act and various state laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased Packaged Ice in the United States indirectly from any of the Defendants or their subsidiaries or affiliates (including all predecessors thereof) at any time during the period from 1-1-2001 to 3-6-2008.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information contact:</p> <p>Mark Reinhardt Mark Wendorf Garrett D. Blanchfield Reihardt Wendorf &amp; Blanchfield E-1250 First National Bank Bldg. 332 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 55101</p> <p>Or call:  (651) 287-2100</p>
3-23-2012	08-CV-06613	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: CIT Group Inc. Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made false and misleading statements about CIT's business and financial results between 12-12-2006 and 3-5-2008. Plaintiffs also allege that Defendants misled investors about the risk and impairments to CIT's subprime home loan and private (non government guaranteed) student loan portfolio and failed to adequately reserve for the impairments to those loan portfolios in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call:  (619) 231-1058</p> <p>Or write:  Rick Nelson c/o Shareholder Relations, Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP. 655 West Broadway Suite 1900</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all purchasers or acquirers of CIT publicly-traded common stock during the period, between 12-12-2006 and 3-5-2008, and all purchasers or acquirers of CIT-Z securities pursuant or traceable to the 10-17-2007 registration statement and prospectus, and who were allegedly damaged thereby.</p>		<p>San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>Or visit: <a href="http://www.gilardi.com">www.gilardi.com</a></p>
3-23-2012	11-CV-1471	(S.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Burton v. Ganeden Biotech, Inc., et al.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants sell products containing a patented strain of probiotics. Specifically, the products sold by one or more of the Defendants carry the brand name of Sustenex®, Digestive Advantage®, EnLiven®, and GanedenBC<sup>33</sup> Chews (collectively, the "Products"). Plaintiffs also allege that various claims made in Defendants' advertising for the Products were false and misleading.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities (and their beneficiaries) that purchased any of the above products from 7-1-2006 through [preliminary settlement date].</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ronald A. Marron Law Offices of Ronald A. Marron, APLC 3636 fourth Avenue, Suite 202 San Diego, CA 92103</p>
3-30-2012	08-CV-2804	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re Bear Stearns Companies ERISA Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants were fiduciaries of the Plan and violated fiduciary duties under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") by allowing the Plan to invest in Bear Stearns stock when it was unsuitable and imprudent to do so. Plaintiffs further allege that the defendants violated ERISA by failing to avoid conflicts of interest</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: <a href="http://www.BEARSTEARNSERISAsettlement.com">www.BEARSTEARNSERISA settlement.com</a></p> <p>or call: (866) 905-8101</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in March, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>and failing to monitor the performance of other fiduciaries, or otherwise prevent or remedy breaches of duty by other fiduciaries.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who have been participants in The Bear Stearns Companies Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan, or the predecessors or successors thereto, at any time between 8-1-2007 and 3-20-2012 and whose accounts included investments in Bear Stearns stock.</p>		