

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
5-4-2011	06-MD-1775	(E.D. N.Y.)	<p>In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant and Co-Conspirator orchestrated single, unitary, global and overarching conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices of Airfreight Shipping Services through a number of mechanisms, including, inter alia, concertedly levying inflated Surcharges, jointly agreeing to eliminate or prevent discounting of Airfreight Shipping Services prices, agreeing on rates and yields, and allocating customers.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities that purchased Airfreight Shipping Services for shipments to, from, or within the United States directly from any of the Settling Defendants, during the period 1-1-00 up to and including 9-11-06.</p> <p>Settlement: Under the Plan of Allocation, the settlement funds will first be used to pay attorneys' fees approved by the court. The remaining amount will be distributed to class members who submit valid claims forms in proportion to their relevant purchases of Airfreight Shipping Services. For purposes of this calculation, purchase amounts in currencies other than dollars will be converted by the settlement admin., to equivalent dollar amounts using currency exchange rates applicable on 9-11-06 last day of class period.</p>	6-24-2011	<p>For more information</p> <p>Air Cargo Settlement 2 c/o The Garden City Group, Inc. P.O. Box 9380 Dublin, OH 43017-4280 USA</p> <p>To view settlement documents</p> <p>www.aircargosettlement2.com</p> <p>Contact Information</p> <p>1-(888)-291-9655</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
5-4-2011	11-CV-968	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Glen Ellyn Pharmacy v. La Roche-Posay Plaintiff alleges that La Roche Posay, LLC (the Defendant") violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and state law by sending unsolicited facsimile advertisements.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities throughout the United States who during November 2010 were sent facsimile advertisements, by or on behalf of LaRoche-Posay, LLC or its parent(s) or related entities, promoting Anthelios sunscreen products.</p> <p>Settlement: Defendant La Roche-Posay, LLC agreed to pay \$1,000,000.00 to settle the claims of Plaintiff and the Class. Each Class Member who does not exclude himself, herself or itself and who timely and properly returns a claim form, will receive a pro rata share of the class recovery up to \$500.00, after deductions are made for: (i) payment to the Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00, (ii) attorney's fees and costs, including costs of notice and settlement administration, of \$300,000.00.</p> <p>There are approximately 31 Class Members in the District of Columbia.</p>	Not set yet	Full form of notice www.edcombs.com or call (312) 917-4504

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
5-6-2011	09-CV-01211	(W.D. Wash.)	<p>Sandra L. Palmer v. Sprint Solutions, Inc. Plaintiff alleges Sprint made various telemarketing calls to plaintiff in 2006 and 2007 that used a pre-recorded solicitation message or an automatic dialing and announcing device, some of which were made after Plaintiff requested not to receive telemarketing calls from Sprint which violated the Washington Automatic Dialing and Announcing Device Statute, the Washington Consumer Protection Act, and the Federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were individual Sprint customers on or after 7/23/05 who received a commercial telephone solicitation call from Sprint when: (Subclass 1) plaintiff lived in Washington State and was called using an automatic dialing and announcing device; (Subclass 2) plaintiff lived in Washington State and was called less than one year after asking not to receive Sprint solicitation calls; or (Subclass 3) plaintiff lived in the U.S. and was called more than 30 days after the member asked not to receive Sprint solicitation calls.</p> <p>Settlement: Class Members with qualified claims will receive a percentage share out of the settlement fund ranging from \$100-\$500 per call up to \$400 - \$2,000 from each category.</p>	10-21-11	<p>www.PalmerSolicitationCallSettlement.com Claims Administrator Garden City Group P.O. Box 9713 Dublin, Ohio 43017 (800) 465-4481</p> <p>Class Counsel is Williamson & Williams (206) 780-4457</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
5-6-2011	09-Md-2027	(S.D. N.Y)	<p>Satyam Computer Services, Ltd. Securities Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' statements in the Company's Associate RSU (ADS) Option Plan and the Associate Ordinary Option Plan-RSU were materially false and misleading because they failed to disclose that Satyam had engaged in a multi-year scheme in which (i) its financial accounts and disclosures were systematically falsified; (ii) its profits were materially overstated; and (iii) the Company materially understated its liabilities.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who: (a) purchased or otherwise acquired Satyam ADS traded on the NYSE during the Class Period and were damaged thereby; and/or (b) were investors residing in the United States at the time they purchased or otherwise acquired Satyam ordinary shares traded on the Indian Exchanges during the Class Period and were damaged thereby. The Class includes the sub-Classes consisting of (a) all persons who exercised options to purchase Satyam ADS pursuant to Satyam employees ADS Plans during the Class Period and who were damaged thereby; and (b) all United States residents who exercised options to purchase Satyam ordinary shares pursuant to Satyam Employees Ordinary Share Option Plans during the Class Period and who were damaged thereby.</p> <p>Settlement: Satyam has agreed to pay</p>	9-8-11	<p>Rust Consulting, Inc., P.O. Box 2461 Faribault, MN 55021-9161</p> <p>www.SatyamSecuritiesSettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>\$125,000,000 in cash, to be deposited in an interest-bearing escrow account for the benefit of the class. Satyam has also agreed to make a payment for the benefit of the Class of 25% of the amount, if any, that Satyam may recover on any claims that it, in its sole discretion, determines to bring against the PWC entities, after certain deductions related to Satyam's expenses incurred in connection with bringing such claims and any counterclaims as more fully described in the Satyam Stipulation (the "Net Satyam Recovery").</p> <p>In the PWC Entities Settlement \$25,500,000 in cash will be deposited in an interest-bearing escrow account for the benefit of the Class. This settlement amount, along with any income earned thereon is referred to as the "PWC Settlement Fund," and along with the Satyam Settlement Fund, Shall be referred to herein as the "Settlement Funds."</p>		
5-9-2011	07-CV-00201	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Pokorny v. Quixtar Inc. Plaintiff claims that Quixtar violated state and federal laws by: Recruiting IBOs in an illegal scheme; Misrepresenting the amount of profits that IBOs could earn; and Persuading IBOs to buy overpriced products and business support materials.</p> <p>Class Members include any person who, at any time between; January 1, 2003 and (not yet defined end point) either was an IBO or was a</p>	Not set yet	<p>Questions visit www.QuixtarClass.com</p> <p>Rust Consulting, Inc. 625 Marquette Ave, 880 Minneapolis, MN. 55402 (612) 359-2000</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>legal entity through which an IBO conducted a Quixtar-related business.</p> <p>Settlement Fund: \$34 million in cash will be used to pay former IBOs who file valid claims; attorneys' fees and costs as well as the cost to administer the Settlement. In addition to the above, \$21 million in Quixtar products will be given to former IBOs who file valid claims. The \$21 million value of the products is based on the products' retail prices. The products cost Quixtar approximately 13 million.</p> <p>There are approximately 3,712 class members in the District of Columbia.</p>		
5-11-11	09-CV-64	(N. D. Ind.)	<p>The Lafayette Life Insurance Company, Mercy Ridge, Inc., American Bank v. City of Menasha, Wisconsin</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants made false and misleading statements, and omitted to state certain material facts, in connection with their issuance and/or sale of the Bond Anticipation Notes (BANs). Plaintiffs are seeking money damages and other relief on behalf of themselves and other persons and entities who purchased or hold the BANs.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased or held a 2005 BAN or 2006 BAN during the Class Period, but excluding (i) persons or entities who have timely excluded themselves from the Class in accordance with the</p>	Not set yet	Copy of original complaint can be viewed http://www.innd.uscourts.gov .

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>procedures described in this Agreement and the exhibits thereto, and (ii) Defendants and their respective parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates.</p> <p>Settlement amount is \$17,500.000 subject to the terms and conditions of the Settlement. On an average per share, this means approximate payment of \$3,841.50 per share, but Approved Disbursements, as defined in the Settlement, for attorneys' fees and administrative costs.</p>		
5-12-11	10-CV-00336	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Arkady Milgram v. Chase Bank USA, NA Plaintiff alleges that promotional check and balance transfer offers sent by Chase Bank USA, N.A> ("Chase") indicting that a promotional interest rate would be in effect "until your (Month/Year) statement opening date" did not adequately inform consumers when the promotional interest rate would cease to apply. Plaintiff makes these claims on behalf of all Chase credit card account holders who responded to offers sent by Chase between January 15, 2006 and February 28, 2010.</p> <p>Class Members are or were a Chase credit card account holder and accepted a promotional check or balance transfer offer, sent by Chase between January 15, 2006 and February 28, 2010, with a promotional interest rate stated in the offer as effective "until your (Month/Year) statement opening date" and after acceptance, incurred finance charges on the resulting</p>	Not set yet	No information available

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>promotional balance at a non-promotional rate because of expiration of the promotional interest period (and not because of non-payment, late payment or any other breach or violation of the agreement with Chase). According to Chase's records, each person to whom this Notice is being sent is likely to be a Settlement Class Member.</p> <p>Settlement to Class Members: If the settlement is approved by the Court, Settlement Class Members who paid in full their promotional credit card balances during the disputed month will receive a payment of \$12, which will be mailed to them by the Settlement Administrator ("Direct Payment").</p> <p>All other Settlement Class Members will be eligible to claim a maximum of \$8 per account from the Settlement Fund, but this amount may be reduced pro rata based on the number of valid claims ("Claim Payment"). If you are a member of the Settlement Class, please fill out, sign, and return the enclosed Claim form to the Settlement Administrator (not yet available).</p>		
5-12-2011	07-CV-2720	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re: Mercedes Benz Tele Aid Contract Litigation Plaintiffs alleged that MBUSA violated the NJ Consumer Fraud Act by selling vehicles with</p>	9-9-11	<p>Class Counsels: Jonathan D. Selbin Leiff Cabrasen Heimann & Bernstein LLP</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>TeleAid equipment that required an analog signal to function, when MBUSA was aware, but failed to disclose to buyers, that the analog cellular signal would be discontinued at the end of 2007, and also asserted claims of unjust enrichment.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities in the U.S. who purchase or leased a Mercedes-Benz vehicle equipped with an analog-only TeleAid system after 8/22/02, and (1) subscribed to TeleAid services until being informed that the service would be discontinued at the end of 2007, or (2) purchased an upgrade to digital equipment.</p> <p>Three settlement classes are identified in this action: Group I: Class Members who paid to upgrade from analog to digital Tele Aid Equipment. The claims administrator shall mail a dual use certificate to Group I class members that can be used as either a check in the amount of \$650.00 (valid for 90 days from date of issue) or as payment in the amount of \$1,300.00 toward the purchase or lease of any new Mercedes-Benz vehicle at an authorized Mercedes-Benz dealer. Group 2: class members who did not purchase an upgrade to digital TeleAid who still own qualifying vehicles will have a choice of either a) a certificate (\$685 value) that entitles the class member to obtain a digital upgrade unit, with class member paying an mBrace subscription fee to activate service for their vehicle and dealer's</p>		<p>250 Hudson Street 8th floor NY, NY 10013 (212) 355-9500</p> <p>Eric H. Gibbs Girard Gibbs LLP 601 California Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 (415) 981-4800</p> <p>www.teleaedsettlement</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>installation charge, or b) a certificate in the amount of \$750 which may be used toward the purchase or lease of a new Mercedes-Benz vehicle. Group 3: Class members who did not purchase an upgrade, and no longer own a qualified vehicle equipped with an analog based Tele Aid system, and who timely and properly submit a claim form, shall receive a New Vehicle Certificate in the amount of \$750.00 which must be used within 12 months from the effective date toward the purchase or lease of a new Mercedes-Benz vehicle at an authorized Mercedes-Benz dealer.</p>		
5-13-2011	09-CV-5722	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Yaakoby v. Eagle Rider, Inc., Plaintiff alleged that Eagle Rider, Inc. had provided each of them, and other putative class members, with "electronically printed receipts," in the form of screen displays on their computers, that showed more than the last five digits of their credit or debit card and/or the expiration date of the card, in violation of FACTA. In addition, Yaakoby alleged that EagleRider violated FACTA by providing class members with paper receipts that showed more than the last five digits of their credit or debit card and/or the expiration date of the card. Plaintiffs did not allege that any putative class member had suffered any actual misuse of credit card information, or any actual injury. Plaintiffs therefore sought only statutory damages and attorneys' fees.</p>	9-1-11	<p>For more information: www.Eaglerider.com or www.Yaakoby Settlement.com Settlement Administrator Dahl, Inc. P.O. Box 2061 Faribault, MN 55021-2061 (888) 689-9309</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons who received, at any EagleRider location, a paper receipt of any kind for their rental of a motorcycle from EagleRider, between December 4, 2006 and September 17, 2009. For the purpose of this Settlement Agreement, those person are defined as the "Settlement Class."</p> <p>Settlement has 3 options; A) Rental Discount; B) Purchase discount and C) Cloth Patch: Please go to www.YaakobySettlement.com for full disclosure of all options.</p>		
5/13-2011	09 CV 10035	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Blessing et al. v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc. Plaintiffs alleged violations of Section 7 of the Clayton Antitrust Act 15 U.S.C. § 18 and Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2 based on the July 28, 2008 merger of a subsidiary Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. with XM Satellite Radio Holdings Inc. which created Sirius XM.</p> <p>Class Members includes all persons or entities who reside in the U.S. and who contracted with Sirius Satellite Radio, Inc., SM Satellite Radio Holdings, Inc. Sirius XM Radio, Inc. or other affiliated entities, for provision of satellite digital audio radio services who, during the relevant period of 7/29/08 through the deadline for requesting exclusion from the class (not yet set): 1) Paid the U.S. Music Royalty Fee; 2) own and activated additional</p>	8-8-11	<p>www.SatelliteRadioSuit.com and www.sirisXM.com/Question</p> <p>Class Counsel: Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. 485 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 (646) 722-8500 (Tel) (646) 722-8501 (Fax) And Millberg LLP One Penn Plaza New York, NY 10119 (212) 594-5300 (Tel) (2120) 868-1229 (Fax)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			radios (multi-radio subscribers) and paid the increased monthly charge of \$8.99 per additional radio or 3) did not pay to access the content available on the 32 bkps or 64 bkps connections on the Internet but are now paying the Internet access monthly charge of \$2.90. Class members will be mailed court ordered legal notices. As part of the Settlement Sirius XM has agreed not to raise prices through the end of 2011 for "Select" and "Select Family Friendly" packages. Former subscribers can re-subscribe with a month's free service.		
5-13-2011	08-CV-00312	(D. N.H.)	<p>Irving S. Braun v. GT Solar International, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege the registration statement for GT Solar's 7/24/2008 IPO contained false or misleading statements. Plaintiff's expert estimated GT Solar common stock shares were traded and the settlement secures a recovery of .35 per share of GT Solar common stock before deductions for attorney fees, court costs and expenses.</p> <p>Class Members includes all persons or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired the common stock of GT Solar from the effective date of the company's Registration Statement, through and including 7/24/2008, or who purchased or otherwise acquired the common stock of GT Solar pursuant or traceable to the Registration Statement.</p>	9-27-11	<p>www.info@strategicclaims.net Claims Administrator: Strategic Claims Services (866) 274-4004 (Tel) (610) 656-7985 (Fax)</p> <p>Class Counsel: Daniel S. Sommers Matthew K. Handley Dohen, Milstein, Seller & Toll PLLC 1100 New York Ave. N.W. w. Tower Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 408-4600 (Tel) www.cohenmilstein.com</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			The actual recovery per share will depend on the number of claims filed, when class members purchased their shares, and the amount of fees/costs/expenses awarded, and will be court determined.		
5-16-2011	08-CV-11241	(S.D. N.Y)	<p>Stanley Tolin and Edward Walton v. AMBAC Financial Group, Inc., Robert J. Genader and Sean T. Leonard</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that STRATS were artificially inflated throughout the Class Period as a result of violations of the federal securities laws arising out of Defendants' dissemination of false and misleading statements concerning Ambac's financial results and operations with intentional and/or reckless disregard of the true conditions of Ambac.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Ambac Securities during the Class Period (October 19, 2005 through July 18, 2009), and you are not excluded by the definition of the Class and you do not elect to exclude yourself from the Class, then you are a member of the Class and you will be bound by the proposed Settlements if the Court approves them, and by any judgment or determination of the court affecting the Class. If you are a member of the Class, you must submit a Claim Form and supporting documentation to establish your entitlement to share in the Settlement.</p> <p>Settlement: The \$33 million total settlement</p>	Not set yet	<p>Claim Form: www.AmbacSecuritiesLitigation.com</p> <p>or call 877-497-5866 lead counsel www.blbglaw.com or www.kaplanfox.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>amount, and the interest earned thereon, shall be the Gross Settlement Fund. The Gross Settlement fund, less all taxes, approved costs, fees and expenses (the "Net Settlement Fund"), shall be distributed based on the acceptable Claim Forms submitted by members of the Class ("Authorized Claimants"). The Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to Authorized claimants who submit timely claims forms under the Plan or as otherwise ordered by the Court.</p>		
5-17-2011	08-CV-1952	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Angela J. Piersanti v. AON Risk Services, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' practices violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, attendant regulations and the N.Y. Labor Law and attendant regulations at NYCRR. Plaintiff rejected a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing their illegal practices in violation of federal and state wage and hour laws applicable to overtime compensation.</p> <p>Class Members include all current and former employees of Defendants who were employed as Senior Claims Specialist, Client Specialist and Associate Specialist ("Specialist") in Defendants' New York offices, who worked at least one hour of overtime, and who were subject to Defendants' conduct of having designated them as exempt from overtime and thereby denying them overtime premiums for their overtime work.</p>	8-16-11	<p>For More Information Steven Bennett Blau, Blau, Brown & Leonard LLC (212) 725-7272 or Settlement Administrator:</p> <p>Gilardi and Co., LLC. (no other information available at this time)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Settlement amount is \$3,250,000.00 which shall full resolve and satisfy any claim for attorneys' fees and costs approved by the Court any and all amounts to be paid to Class members, any and all liability of Aon to the Class members for all claims that were or could have been raised in this litigation, any Court-approved enhanced Awards to Named Plaintiffs, any fees and costs associated with investing and liquidating the QSF, and the Settlement Claims Administrator's fees and costs.		
5-19-2011 and 5-27-2011	08-CV-1715	(D.C. Col.)	<p>Oppenheimer Champion Fund Securities Fraud (Information Update) Plaintiff alleges that the Disclosure Documents made false and misleading statements and omissions regarding the investment profile and objectives of the Core Bond Fund. Lead Plaintiff also alleges that Defendants violated federal law in registering, marketing and selling the Core Bond Fund as a "broadly diversified portfolio" that was designed to "seek total return and reduce share price volatility" and was focused "mainly on U.S. government securities and investment-grade debt securities."</p> <p>Class Members includes all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired shares of the Core Bond Fund during the period from April 30, 2007 through December 31, 2008, inclusive, and who were damaged thereby.</p>	9-30-2011	<p>Questions Claim Admin. 1-877-845-3575 Or www.OppenheimerCoreSettlement.com</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Settlement Fund will depend on the number of Class Members, how many Core Bond Fund shares Class Members acquired during the relevant period, when such fund were acquired and sold, and whether a valid Proof of Claim and supporting documentation is submitted showing eligible Core Bond Fund purchases and other Core Bond Fund transaction activity from April 30, 2007 through December 31, 2008.		
5-20-2011	08-CV-00345	(E.D. Tex.)	<p>Charles H. Coll et al. v. Abaco Operating LLC Case was filed 9/11/08 alleging claims against 47 defendants, (later 70 more defendants were added) and this settlement is with Pioneer Natural Resources USA, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege defendants withheld severance taxes levied on oil and natural gas wells in Texas and New Mexico. Plaintiffs further allege defendants filed for and received severance tax refunds from the Texas Comptroller on the basis of certain exemptions and credits due and that Defendants failed to pay plaintiffs their proportionate share of such refunds.</p> <p>Class Members include all persons and private entities (or their successors in interest) who between January 1, 2000 and July 31, 2010 owned royalty interest, overriding royalty interest or working interest in an oil or gas well in Texas that qualified for and received severance</p>	Not set yet	Claims Administrator not yet determined

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>tax refunds and/or credits associated with oil & gas production, and for which OPL and vintage remitted the severance taxes on their behalf. Resulting property-by-property settlement allocations will be posted on a website to be maintained by the fund administrator.</p> <p>Settlement amount due to individual class members who submit valid claim forms will be calculated based on each class member's relative ownership interest in the properties. Website is being created.</p>		
5-20-2011	08-CV-00345	(E.D. Tex.)	<p>Charles H. Coll et al. v. Abaco Operating LLC Case was filed 9/11/08 alleging claims against 47 defendants, (later 70 more defendants were added) and this settlement is with BP America Production Company and BP Energy Company. Plaintiffs allege defendants withheld severance taxes levied on oil and natural gas wells in Texas and New Mexico.</p> <p>Plaintiffs further allege defendants filed for and received severance tax refunds from the Texas Comptroller on the basis of certain exemptions and credits due and that Defendants failed to pay plaintiffs their proportionate share of such refunds.</p> <p>Class Members includes all persons and private entities (or their successors in interest) who between January 1, 2000 and July 31, 2010 owned royalty interest, overriding royalty interest or working interest in an oil or gas well in Texas that qualified for and received severance</p>	8-31-11	<p>Claims Administrator not yet determined Class Counsel is James L. Reed Jr. and Michael A Ackal III Looper Reed & McGraw, P.C. 1300 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 2000 Houston Tex 77056 (713) 986-7000</p> <p>Website is being created: www.oplvintagesettlement.com</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>tax refunds and/or credits associated with oil & gas production, and for which BP remitted the severance taxes on their behalf. BP shall make 2 million dollars available for payment of Class benefits for the BP.</p> <p>Settlement Class Members shall submit valid claim forms not later than 45 days after the Fairness Hearing Date. The amount due to individual class members who submit valid claim forms will be calculated based on each class member's relative ownership interest in the properties. 41 DC residents were identified as class members in this settlement with BP.</p>		
5-20-2011	08 CV 00345	(E.D. Tex.)	<p>Charles H. Coll et al. v. Abaco Operating LLC Case was filed 9/11/08 alleging claims against 47 defendants, (later 70 more defendants were added) and this settlement is with Occidental Permian Ltd and Vintage Petroleum, Inc. n/k/a Vintage Petroleum, LLC.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege defendants withheld severance taxes levied on oil and natural gas wells in Texas and New Mexico. Plaintiffs further allege defendants filed for and received severance tax refunds from the Texas Comptroller on the basis of certain exemptions and credits due and that Defendants failed to pay plaintiffs their proportionate share of such refunds.</p> <p>Class Members includes all persons and private entities (or their successors in interest) who</p>	8-31-11	<p>Claims Administrator not yet determined Class Counsel is James L. Reed Jr. and Michael A Ackal III. Looper Reed & McGraw, P.C. 1300 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 2000 Houston Tex 77056 (713) 986-7000</p> <p>www.oplvintagesettlement.com</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>between January 1, 2000 and July 31, 2010 owned royalty interest, overriding royalty interest or working interest in an oil or gas well in Texas that qualified for and received severance tax refunds and/or credits associated with oil & gas production, and for which OPL and vintage remitted the severance taxes on their behalf. Resulting property-by-property settlement allocations will be posted on a website to be maintained by the fund administrator.</p> <p>Settlement amount due to individual class members who submit valid claim forms will be calculated based on each class member's relative ownership interest in the properties. 9 DC residents were identified as class members in this settlement.</p>		
5-23-2011	06-CV-00263	(D.C. Idaho)	<p>Hodge v. Lear Siegler Services, Inc. a/k/a URS Federal Support Services Inc. Plaintiff allege that LSI did not pay its employees performing services in Iraq under the subcontract with Kellogg Brown & Root, also referred to as "LOGCAP" personnel, for all the hours they worked. Plaintiffs entered in identical contracts with LSI as other LOGCAP employees. It is also alleged that LSI paid LOGCAP employees for only twelve (12) hours of work per day regardless of how many hours were actually worked. Also alleged Defendant failed to pay for work performed during lunch and other breaks which time was automatically deducted from the workday by LSI.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Daniel E. Williams Class Counsel (877) 345-7801</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons who have been, or now are, hired by LSI to work in Iraq anytime during the last five (5) years who have been deprived of the full benefit of their contractual right to pay for all hours worked.</p> <p>Settlement - The total amount to be paid by URS in settlement of the claim is \$3,000,000.00 ("the Settlement Fund"). The only amount which URS agrees to pay that is not included in the Settlement fund is an additional amount representing the employer's share of any federal and state mandated payroll taxes, commonly known as FICA. Once payments to both Class Representatives in the amount of \$15,000, the remaining amount will be split into two pools: \$200,000 for the Excess time pool and the remainder for the Drivers' Claim pool. If you performed work for which you were unpaid, aside from driving, you are entitled to a proportionate share of the Excess Time pool, depending on your claimed hours.</p>		
5-24-2011	09-CV-2847	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Dockers Roundtrip Airfare Promotion Sales Practices Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs claim consumers who paid \$125 or more for Dockers merchandise and who submitted an entry form along with proof of purchase did not receive their round-trip airline tickets or compensation of equal value.</p> <p>Class Members are all consumers who</p>	Not set yet	<p>www.FlightPromotionSettlement.Com Settlement Administrator: Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC 75 Rowland Way Suite 25G</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>participated in the Dockers Father's Day Flight Promotion between June 3 and June 9, 2007 but did not get a free flight or other equal compensation after submitting a valid entry form with proof of purchase to TLC Marketing.</p> <p>Settlement creates two subclasses: A) Class members who returned a travel booking form to TLC Marketing but did not receive free round-trip airline tickets or full compensation for the out of pocket costs of airfare purchased by the class members. Settlement for subclass-A who submits valid claim forms by the deadline, will receive a \$150.00 check and a \$100.00 Dockers.com merchandise voucher; Or B) Class members are consumers who either did not return a travel booking form to TLC or returned a travel booking form to TLC and received partial compensation in the form of cash or a dining certificate. Settlement for Subclass B members who submit valid claim forms by the deadline will receive a \$75.00 Dockers.com merchandise voucher. See website for all forms and deadlines to submit.</p> <p>There are 29 DC Residents affected in this Class Action.</p>		<p>Novato, CA 94945 (888) 429-0697</p>
5-27-2011	08-CV-425	(N.D. Oka.)	<p>Graig Carson ex rel. v. Semgroup Energy Partners, L.P., and G.P., LLC Plaintiff allege that Individual Defendants and SGLP knew that the public document and statement issued or disseminated in the name of</p>	10-5-11	<p>Copies of Documents www.SemGroupSecuritiesSettlement.com or contact SemGroup</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in May, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>the company were materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal securities laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or held the common units of Semgroup energy Partners, L.P. (N/K/A Blueknight Energy Partners, L.P.) ("SGLP") from July 17, 2007 through and including July 7, 2008, including persons and entities who purchase SGLP common units issued pursuant or traceable to the registration statements and prospectuses filed with the Security and Exchange Commission in connection with SGLP's July 17, 2007 initial public offering and February 14, 2008 public offering (the "Class").</p> <p>Settlement for \$22,800,000 in cash (the "Cash Settlement Amount"), plus the number of Blueknight Energy Partners, L.P., common units (the "Settlement Units") that equals \$5,200,000 (the "Unit Settlement Amount" and together with the Cash Settlement Amount, the "Settlement Amount") has been proposed.</p>		<p>Energy Partners Securities Litigation</p> <p>c/o Rust Consulting, Inc. Clams Admin.</p> <p>P.O. Box 2466 Fairbault, MN 55021-9166</p> <p>Claims Administrator (888) 356-0250</p>
5-27-2011	09-CV-1538	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Tracy Jones v. Corus Bankshares, Inc. et al. Plaintiff alleges Defendants made false and misleading statements concerning Corus' ability</p>	Not set yet	<p>Settlement Administrator: Gilardi & Co. LLC</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in May, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Preliminary Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>to maintain its business plan and continue to originate new loans.</p> <p>Class Members include all persons who purchased or acquired Corus common stock during the period from January 25, 2008 to January 30, 2009.</p> <p>Settlement fund of \$10,000,000 shall be placed in escrow to restore class members at the estimated rate of 24 cents per share of any Corus common stock held for 1/25/08-1/30/09. The court will approve the final allocation plan and a class members' actual recovery will comprise a portion of the Net Settlement Fund determined by that claimant's recognized claim as compared to the total recognized claims of all class members who submit valid proof of claims and release forms.</p>		<p>PO Box 990 Corte Modera, CA 94976 www.gilardi.com (888) 293-830</p> <p>Lead Plaintiff counsel: Rick Nelson Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd (800)449-4900</p>