

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-5-2014	13-CV-00743	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Graham v. Capital One Bank USA Consumer-plaintiff submitted an application online for a Best-Buy branded Reward Zone® Credit Card from Capital One, but did not receive required disclosures for the Reward Zone® Gold MasterCard® that was subsequently issued and was improperly charged an annual fee in connection with the issuance of the Gold Card. Plaintiff is asserting claims for violations of the federal Truth in Lending Act and various state laws.</p>	12-5-2014	<p>For more information write to: Scott O. Luskin Payne & Fears LLP 801 South Figueroa Street Suite 1150 Los Angeles, CA 90017</p>
9-5-2014	08-MD-2002	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation - NuCal Direct-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that NuCal participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize the price of Shell Eggs and Egg Products in the U.S. at artificially inflated levels in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Class Period is from 1-1-2000 through the date on which the Court enters an order preliminarily approving the Agreement and certifying a Class for Settlement purposes.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: http://www.eggproductsettlement.com/court</p>
9-5-2014	12-CV-1710	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Craftwood II, Inc. v. TOMY International, Inc., John Deere Shared Services, Inc. and CNH Industrial America LLC f/k/a CNH America LLC Consumer-plaintiff claims that defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") by sending 159 fax advertisements between 8-14-2008 and 8-30-2012 (the "Facsimile Advertisements"). The Facsimile Advertisements</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to: Scott O. Luskin Payne & Fears LLP 801 South Figueroa Street Suite 1150 Los Angeles, CA 90017</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>were sent by TOMY or its predecessor RC2 Corporation, through its ERTL and Learning Curve divisions, and promoted TOMY's products; or John Deere brand-licensed products that TOMY sold; or Case brand-, Case IH brand- or New Holland brand-licensed products that TOMY sold. Copies of all 159 Facsimile Advertisements are available for viewing on the settlement website at www.tomyfaxsettlement.com.</p>		
9-8-2014	09-CV-1967 09-CV-04128	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Keller, et al. v. Electronic Arts Inc., National Collegiate Athletic Association & Collegiate Licensing Company Bishop v. Electronic Arts, Inc., et al. See 6-6-2014, 7-10-2014 and 8-4-2014 CAFA Notices for the Keller and Bishop actions. On 9-3-2014, the Court issued an Order preliminarily approving the proposed Fairness Hearing Date.</p>	5-14-2015	<p>For more information write to: Attorney for the National Collegiate Athletic Association Gregory L. Curtner Schiff Hardin LLP 350 South Main Street Suite 210 Ann Arbor, MI 48104</p>
9-8-2014	10-CV-02604	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Celera Corporation ("Celera") Securities Litigation Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Celera and certain of its executives violated the federal securities laws by making materially false and misleading statements in (i) reporting Celera's revenue and earnings; (ii) certifying that the Company had adequate internal controls over financial reporting; (iii) representing that Celera's lab services division Berkeley HartLab, Inc.'s ("BHL") revenue source principally consisted of Medicare and other</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to: Rick Nelson Shareholder Relations Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>insurance carriers; and (iv) issuing revenue and earning guidance for 2009 that lacked a reasonable basis. The litigation further alleges that the Celera Defendants knew that Celera's accounts receivable (i.e., the payments due for laboratory services it provided but had not yet collected from customers) were impaired as a result of Blue Cross/Blue Shield's decision to stop paying Celera directly for its lab services. Plaintiffs also allege that PwC violated Generally Accepted Accounting Standards by issuing clean audit reports for Celera during the Class Period (acquired Celera common stock between 4-24-2008 and 7-22-2009) despite its knowledge that Celera's receivables were impaired because the Company was no longer being paid directly by Blue Cross/Blue Shield. Lead Plaintiff alleges that when Defendants disclosed the truth about the company's financial results, Class Members suffered damages as a result of the decline in the price of Celera common stock.</p>		
9-9-2014	13-CV-11392	(D. Mass.)	<p>Mirabella v. Rue La La, Inc., et al. Pursuant to the notice provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1715(b), to advise Class Members of an amendment to a class action settlement in the above captioned action. (See CAFA Notice 5-7-2014) This Settlement Agreement supersedes and replaces all prior agreements made between Defendants' counsel and counsel for the proposed class. Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Vouchers purchased from Rue La La, (before 5-3-2014)</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Charles J. LaDuca Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca, LLP 8120 Woodmont Avenue Suite 810 Bethesda, MD 20814</p> <p>Christian J. Pistilli Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>which are used to redeem goods and services, are "gift certificates" and thus are subject to state and federal laws relating to the expiration dates and other conditions applied to the vouchers. The Lawsuit asserts that the Vouchers were sold or issued in violation of state and federal gift card and gift certificate regulations. The Lawsuit also asserts related claims for breach of contract, quasi-contract, and restitution.</p>		
9-12-2014	13-CV-0369 13-CV-08008	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Careathers v. Red Bull North America, Inc. Wolf, et al. v. Red Bull GmbH, et al. Consumer-plaintiff brought these lawsuits, alleging that Red Bull's marketing and labeling misrepresents both the functionality and safety of Red Bull beverages. Plaintiffs made claims against Red Bull for breach of express warranty, unjust enrichment, and violations of various states' consumer protection statutes. The class period is 1-1-2002 and the [Notice Date].</p>	5-1-2015	<p>For more information write to: Morelli Alters Ratner, LLP Benedict P. Morelli David S. Ratner Adam Deutsch 777 Third Avenue 31st Floor New York, NY 10017</p>
9-12-2014	09-CV-04583	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re IndyMac Mortgage-Backed Securities ("MBS") Litigation Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that statements in the offering materials for the MBS certificates were untrue because they mischaracterized the loan underwriting practices used to originate and acquire the loans which comprised the MBS. For example, contrary to the offering documents, IndyMac failed to properly verify the creditworthiness of borrowers or the adequacy of the collateral underlying the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to: Berman Bevalerio Patrick T. Egan One Liberty Sq. Boston, MA 02109</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			mortgage loans.		
9-12-2014	13-CV-06	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: LightInTheBox ("LITB") Holding Co., Ltd. Securities Litigation Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that the LITB and the Individual Defendants misrepresented or omitted material information regarding the demand for the Company's products, the size of its customer base, the importance of its apparel division and its expectations of future revenue growth and long-term expense reductions. Further, the lawsuit alleges that the Company did not disclose known "trends" and "uncertainties": slowing sales growth, the efficacy of its online advertising efforts, the elimination of its branding section, and a "brain drain" of experienced employees.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, e-mail or call:</p> <p>Phillip Kim The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. 275 Madison Avenue 34th Floor New York, N.Y. 10016</p> <p>pkim@rosenlegal.com</p> <p>212 686-1060 (Ph.)</p>
9-12-2014	12-CV-07350	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Padilla v. DISH Network L.L.C. Consumer-plaintiff in this case has sued DISH on behalf of all former DISH subscribers who, at any time during the Class Period (9-13-2008 to the date of Preliminary Approval), provided DISH with their: (1) name; (2) home address and/or billing address; (3) telephone number(s); (4) credit card number, debit card number, and/or bank account information ("Payment Information"); (5) social security number; (6) date of birth; and/or (7) e-mail address(es) (collectively "Personally Identifiable Information" or "PII"), which information was entered into DISH's business records and retained by DISH for more than 12 months after the resolution of all open items pertaining to</p>	12-18-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>SIPRUT PC Joseph J. Siprut Gregg M. Barbakoff Gregory W. Jones 17 N. State Street Suite 1600 Chicago, ILL 60602</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			any account maintained by that subscriber. PII that exists or is referenced only in recorded telephone calls is not deemed to be part of DISH's business records. Consumer-Plaintiff alleges that DISH violated the Satellite Home Viewer Extension and Reauthorization Act, 47 U.S.C. § 338 et seq. ("SHVERA"), the Illinois Cable and Video Customer Protection Law, 220 ILCS § 5/22-501, and breached implied contracts, by failing to notify its customers that their PII was being retained, and/or to properly destroy such information in accordance with federal and state law.		
9-15-2014	12-CV-02484	(S.D. Cal.)	Carrie Couser, et al., v. Comenity Bank Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Comenity Bank, the Defendant in this lawsuit, violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") by calling persons on their cell phones with either an automated telephone dialing system or by an artificial or prerecorded voice message, without the person's prior express consent.	Not set yet	For more information write to: Kazerouni Law Group APC Abbas Kazerounian 245 Fischer Avenue Suite D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626
9-15-2014	13-CV-07558 14-CV-00281	(S.D.N.Y.) (C.D. Cal.)	Brown v. Play It! Amusements, Inc., et al. Kempe v. Sega Amusements, U.S.A., Inc., et al. Consumer-plaintiffs allege that the Key Master, which is marketed and distributed by Sega, is set to distribute a prize only after a certain amount of money has been put into the machine, regardless of whether the player is successful at the object of the Game by fitting the key arm into the key hole. The lawsuits claim that the Key Master is specifically pre-programmed this	Not set yet	For more information write or call: Fred T. Isquith Janine L. Pollack Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP 270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016 212 545-4600 (Ph.)

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			way, and that this fact is not disclosed to players of the Key Master.		
9-15-2014	13-CV-00637	(E.D.N.Y.)	Michael Puglisi, et al. v. TD Bank, N.A., et al. Employee-plaintiffs allege that TD Bank misclassified Assistant Store Managers as exempt from federal and state overtime laws and improperly denied them overtime pay.	Not set yet	For more information write, call or visit: Justin M. Swartz Deirdre A. Aaron Outten & Golden LLP 3 Park Avenue 29th Floor New York, NY 10016 (212) 245-1000 (Ph.) TDBankASMSettlement@outtengolden.com
9-15-2014	13-CV-23924	(S.D. Fla.)	Pines, et al. v. PharMerica Corporation Consumer-plaintiffs allege that PharMerica violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act by sending up to 110 facsimile publications to promote its "symposia/exhibition series."	Not set yet	For more information write or call: Defendant Attorney Nancy M. Barnes Thompson Hine LLP 127 Public Square 3900 Key Center Cleveland, OH 44114 216 566-5500 (Ph.)
9-16-2014	09-CV-2137	(S.D.N.Y.)	In re: Morgan Stanley Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Litigation Consumer-plaintiff alleges that the offering documents for the securities contained false and misleading statements about the underlying	1-16-2015	For more information write to: Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP David R. Stickney

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			borrowers and collateral.		Niki L. Mendoza 12481 High Bluff Drive Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130-3582
9-16-2014	11-CV-07132	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>The City of Providence v. Aeropostale, Inc., et al.</p> <p>Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges, among other things, that defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") by making alleged misstatements and omissions during the Class Period regarding the Company's quarterly earnings guidance and inventory management. The Complaint further alleges that Lead Plaintiff and other Class Members purchased or otherwise acquired Aeropostale Common Stock during the Class Period at artificially-inflated prices and were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Jonathan Gardner Labaton Sucharow LLP 140 Broadway New York, NY 10005</p>
9-17-2014	14-CV-2316	(W.D. Tenn.)	<p>Alan J. Meyers, et al. v. DTNA Trucks North America, LLC, (DTNA), et al.</p> <p>Plan-participant-plaintiffs allege that DTNA and the DTNA Welfare Benefit Plan are contractually obligated to provide retiree medical benefits to the Class. The proposed settlement agreement provides for certain retiree medical benefits, with some modified benefits, and establishes a new medical benefits trust funded by DTNA to provide certain retirement medical benefits in the future administered for the exclusive benefit of the retiree medical plan</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Andrew Nickelhoff Class Counsel 2211 E. Jefferson Avenue #200 Detroit, MI 48207</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			participants.		
9-17-2014	13-CV-10017	(E.D. Mich.)	Thomas Donnelly, et al. v. EquityExperts.org, LLC Consumer-plaintiffs allege that defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., by sending improper debt collection letters and by charging Michigan consumers for its debt collection efforts.	9-22-2014	For more information write to: Michael L. Greenwald Greenwald Davidson PLLC 5550 Glades road Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33431
9-17-2014	11-CV-02906	(S.D. Cal.)	Steve Juhline, et al. v. Ben Bridge Jeweler, Inc., et al. Consumer-plaintiffs assert claims on behalf of a class of consumers under the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act (California Civil Code § 1747.08). Plaintiff alleges that this law prohibits Ben Bridge from requesting and recording personal identification information from customers paying with a credit card. Plaintiff claims Ben Bridge violated this law as to a class of California consumers.	Not set yet	For more information write, call or fax: Daniel D. Bodell Harrison & Bodell LLP 11455 El Camino Real Suite 480 San Diego, CA 92130 858 461-4699 (Ph.) 858 461-4703 (Fax)
9-19-2014	10-CV-10470	(D. Mass.)	Silverstrand Investments et al. v. AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al. Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that AMAG, certain of its executives, and a group of underwriters violated the federal securities laws by omitting material facts from the Registration Statement and Prospectus AMAG filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the 1-21-2010 Offering, including facts necessary to make the statements	Not set yet	For more information write to: Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky, LLP Ian D. Berg 12526 High Bluff Drive Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			therein not misleading. These allegedly omitted facts primarily relate to severe adverse events reported by patients who received AMAG's pharmaceutical drug, Feraheme. The Action alleges that as a result of the alleged omissions, Lead Plaintiffs purchased securities whose true value was less than their purchase price.		
9-19-2014	13-CV-8166	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Brenda Johnson v. USCB, Inc. Consumer-plaintiff alleges that USCB violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq., and the California Rosenthal Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788 et seq., by calling cell phones using an artificial or prerecorded voice and/or equipment with the capacity to dial numbers without human intervention, and the person who owned the cell phone number called was not the person USCB intended to call or had never consented to receiving such calls.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Lance Raphael Stacy Bardo Consumer Advocacy Center, P.C. 180 West Washington Street Suite 700 Chicago, IL 60602</p> <p>312 782-5808 (Ph.)</p>
9-22-2014	08-MD-2002	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation - National Food Corporation Consumer-plaintiffs allege that National Food participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize the price of Shell Eggs in the U.S. at artificially high levels in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Paul F. Novak Milberg LLP One Penn Plaza New York, NY 10119</p> <p>212 594-5300 (Ph.) 212 868-1229 (Fax)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-22-2014	08-CV-4772	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: American International Group 2008 Securities Litigation Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that defendants are in violation of Sections 11, 12(a) (2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"). The Complaint alleges that defendants violated the federal securities law by misrepresenting and concealing the full extent of the Company's exposure to the U.S. subprime residential real estate market, including in the Company's credit default swap portfolio and its securities lending program.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.AIG2008SecuritiesSettlement.com</p>
9-23-2014	09-CV-04583	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re IndyMac Mortgage-Backed Securities Litigation On 9-22-2014 an Amended Stipulation of Settlement was filed with the Court. For more information, see preceding CAFA Notice for 9-12-2014.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to: Patrick t. Egan Berman DeValerio One Liberty Square Boston, MA 02109</p>
9-23-2014	12-CV-00603	(E.D. Mich.)	<p>In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation Consumer-plaintiffs allege Defendants Autoliv, Inc., Autoliv ASP, Inc., Autoliv B/V. & Co. KG, Takata Corp., TK Holdings, Inc., Tokai Rika Co., Ltd., TRAM, Inc. d/b/a Tokai Rika U.S.A. Inc., TRW Deutschland Holding GMBH, TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. (collectively, "Defendants"), suppliers of Occupant Safety Restraint Systems globally and in the U.S. engaged in a multi-year conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call: Class Action Administration, Inc. 6521 West 91st Avenue Westminster, CO 80031 720 540-4422 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			market and customers in the U.S. for Occupant Safety Restraint Systems. Defendants' conspiracy allegedly successfully targeted the long-struggling U.S. automotive industry, raising prices for consumers and car manufacturers alike.		
9-24-2014	11-CV-01056	(S.D. Cal.)	Luis Lerma and Nick Pearson, et al. v. Schiff Nutrition International, Inc. and Schiff Nutrition Group, Inc. Consumer-plaintiffs allege that the labeling and packaging of Move Free, Move Free Advanced, Pain Free, Lubriflex, Great American Nutrition, Metaform, Muscle Tribe, Victory, Schiff, Kirkland, Member's Mark and Spring Valley brand joint health products contain false, deceptive and misleading statements and do not warn consumers about their potentially harmful side effects.	Not set yet	For more information write to: Elaine A. Ryan Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, P.C. 2325 East Camelback Road Suite 300 Phoenix, Arizona 85016
9-24-2014	13-CV-7183	(S.D.N.Y.)	Arkansas Teacher Retirement System and Fresno County Employees' Retirement Association v. Bankrate, Inc., et al. (see CAFA Notice Dated 8-11-2014) The Court entered an Amended Order Preliminarily Approving Proposed Settlement and Providing for Notices on Setting the Settlement Hearing.	11-21-2014	For more information write to: Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP John Rizio-Hamilton 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019
9-25-2014	13-CV-3957	(N.D. Ill.)	Caustino Chapa, IV v. TruGreen, Inc. Call-recipient-plaintiff alleges that TruGreen and its agents violated the federal Telephone	1-27-2015	For more information write or e-mail: Alexander Burke

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, by making automated telephone calls to the cell phones of called parties who did not give consent to receive such calls.		Burk Law Offices, LLC 155 N. Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60601 aburke@burkelawllc.com
9-25-2014	13-CV-00316	(C.D. Cal.)	Kacsuta, et al v. Lenovo (United States), Inc. Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Lenovo sold certain Ultrabook computers that contained a design defect that affects the Wi-Fi performance of the computers. Plaintiffs assert that Lenovo violated the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, the California Unfair Competition Law, and the Lenovo breached express and implied warranties to purchasers of the Ultrabook computers.	Not set yet	For more information write to: Gina M. Tufaro Paradis Law Group, PLLC 570 Seventh Avenue 20 th Floor New York, NY 10018
9-25-2014	11-CV-0992	(M.D. Tenn.)	Lawrence Blitz v. AgFeed Industries, Inc. et al. Investor-plaintiffs allege that, during the Class Period, AgFeed's stock price was artificially inflated as a result of a series of untrue or materially misleading statements regarding AgFeed's financial performance and internal controls. Class Plaintiffs further contend that Defendants made these statements knowing them to be false or misleading, or recklessly disregarding their false or misleading natures, and investors suffered injury as a result of the alleged inflation and ineffective internal controls. Class Plaintiffs additionally allege that AgFeed's failed to conduct proper audits of AgFeed's financial statements and acted recklessly in conducting audits of AgFeed's financial statements.	Not set yet	For more information write to: Joshua B. Silverman Pomerantz LLP Ten South LaSalle Street Suite 3505 Chicago, ILL 60603

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-25-2014	13-CV-0618	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Hazlin v. Botanical Labs, Inc., et al. Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendants' advertising for Wellesse Joint Movement Glucosamine was likely to mislead consumers because, according to Plaintiffs, Wellesse Joint Movement Glucosamine does not improve joint health, mobility, flexibility, and lubrication. Plaintiff's complaint included causes of action for violations of California's Unfair Competition Law, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq., California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Civ. Code § 1750, et seq., and breach of express warranty.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Todd D. Carpenter Carpenter Law Group 402 West Broadway, 29th Floor San Diego, CA 92101</p>
9-26-2014	13-CV-00081 14-CV-06759	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Jeffery Etter, et al. v. Thetford Corporation, et al. Charles Chow, et al. v. Thetford Corporation, et al. Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Defendants Norcold 1200 Series, N8 Series and N6 Series gas absorption refrigerators, typically installed in RVs (motorhomes, travel trailers, and boats), share a safety-related defect in the cooling unit which, in certain circumstances, causes the boiler tubes to corrode and leak flammable gas, exposing owners and other users of the RVs to the risk of fire. The lawsuits allege class claims for breach of state consumer protection statutes and for breach of express and implied warranties.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Hart L. Robinovitch J. Gordon Rudd, Jr. Bradley C. Buhrow Zimmerman Reed, PLLP 14646 N. Kierland Blvd. Suite 145 Scottsdale, AZ 85254</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-26-2014	12-CV-92177	(E.D. Mo.)	<p>Hellman v. Cataldo, et al. Plan-participant-plaintiff alleges that Defendants were fiduciaries of the Plan and that they breached fiduciary duties owed to the Plan's participants, including the Named Plaintiff, by, among other things, continuing to permit investment in CPI Corp. stock through the Plan and failing to take appropriate action when such investments allegedly became imprudent. Plaintiff further alleges that because the Plan participants invested in CPI Corp. stock through the Plan, Plaintiff retirement account lost value.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail: Connolly Wells & Gray, LLP Gerald D. Wells, III 220 Renaissance Blvd. Suite 308 King of Prussia, PA 19406 610 822-3700 (Ph.) gwells@cwg-law.com</p>
9-29-2014	14-CV-07484	(W.D.N.Y.)	<p>Salva, et al. v. Hewlett-Packard Company Employee-plaintiffs allege that Defendant misclassified these employees in the ITO Service Delivery, Service Information Development, and Technical Consulting job families. The complaint alleged that Defendant misclassified these employees in the above-mentioned job families as exempt from the overtime requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and failed to pay overtime wages for hours worked over 40 in a week. Plaintiffs asserted individual claims seeking unpaid overtime under the FLSA, as well as collective action claims under Section 216(b) of the FLSA seeking unpaid overtime for those alleged to be similarly situated.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax: Seth R. Lesser Fran L. Rudich Michael J. Palitz Klafter Olsen & Lesser LLP Two International Drive Suite 350 Rye Brook, NY 10573 914 934-9200 (Ph.) 914 934-9220 (Fax)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in September 2014 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-29-2014	13-CV-00627	(N.D. Fla.)	<p>Juan Velasquez, et al. v. USPlabs, LLC and GNC Corporation</p> <p>Consumer-plaintiffs allege that they relied on allegedly false and misleading statements on labeling and in advertisements regarding the lawfulness, safety, and effectiveness of the USPlabs Products, and that such statements violate state consumer protections laws (including the Florida Drug and Cosmetic Act, Florida Statutes § 501.201 - § 501.213; Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act; the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act; California Business & Professionals Code §§ 17200, et. seq.; the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act), as well as the Magnusson Moss Warranty Act, and that as a direct result of such violations Defendants have been unjustly enriched.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>P. Tim Howard Howard and Associates, P.A. 2120 Killarney Way Suite 125 Tallahassee, FL 32309</p> <p>Aashish Y. Desai Desai Law Firm, P.C. Pacific Arts Plaza 3200 Bristol Street Suite 650 Costa Mesa, CA 92626</p>