

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
12-1-2011	10-CV-02675	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Carole Van Tassell, et al. v. United Marketing Group, LLC Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, following their purchase of various products on websites owned and/or operated by Permission, Pikes Peak and Taylor Gifts, were improperly enrolled in and charged for one or more of UMG's membership programs without their knowledge or consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who were charged for a Membership Program as the result of an online transaction between 3-26-2006 and [date of settlement]. As used herein, the term "Membership Program" means UMG's (1) Buyer's Edge, (3) Dental Protector, (3) ESPN The Magazine Club, (4) Field & Stream Club, (5) Hi-Tech Auto Hotline, (6) Money Ahead Now, (7) My Advisor, (8) OneCall Credit Card Security Plan, (9) Perfect Home, (10) Prescription Savings Plan, (11) Prevention Healthy Rewards, (12) Simply Mine, (13) TechXperts for Consumer, (14) Travel & Entertainment, (15) Money Ahead, (16) Club Travel Rewards, and (17) Budget Travel Rewards subscription membership programs.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Settlement Class Counsel:</p> <p>Jay Edelson Rafey S. Balabanian Benjamin H. Richman Edelson McGuire LLC 350 N. La Salle, Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60654</p>
12-2-2011	05-CV-1897	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Dynex Capital, Inc. Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the SEC Rule, on behalf of purchasers of Merit Securities' Collateralized bond Series 13 Bond ("Merit 13 Bonds") between 8-11-1999 and 5-11-2004 (the "Initial Complaint Class Period").</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.gcginc.com or Claim Form</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Class Members are all purchasers of Merit Securities Corporation's collateralized bonds Series 12 and Series 13 Bonds during the period between 2-7-2000 and 5-13-2004 that were damaged thereby.		www.choenmilstein.com/cases/234/dynex-capital or from defendants www.dynexcapital.com/payment
12-2-2011	09-CV-378	(D.N.J.)	<p>Glenz v. RCI, LLC Plaintiffs allege that RCI rented or otherwise made available certain timeshare inventory deposited by members of the RCI Points Exchange Program to non-members, rather than make that inventory available for exchange solely for members, in violation of certain laws including those designed to protect consumers.</p> <p>Class Members are: 1) who live in the US or its territories; 2) were a member of the RCI Points Exchange Program, either directly or through a vacation club, at any time prior to (no date set) 2011.</p>	Not set yet	No information
12-5-2011	08-CV-1708	(D. Conn.)	<p>In re: Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. ERISA Litigation Plaintiffs allege that on 11-21-2008, Douglas W.J. Ninow filed a lawsuit against the Hartford Defendants, alleging that they breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA by continuing to offer The Hartford stock as an investment option in the Plan during a period when he claimed it was imprudent to do so, and that the Hartford</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Wayne T. Boulton Izard Nobel LLP 29 South Main Street Suite 215 West Hartford, CT 06107</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>defendants made misrepresentations about The Hartford stock. A number of similar lawsuits were later filed by other Plan participants making similar claims.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons, other than Defendants, who were participants in, or beneficiaries of, the Plan at any time between 12-10-2007 and the present and whose accounts included investments in The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. Common Stock Fund.</p>		
12-5-2011	08-CV-3109	(W.D. Mo.)	<p>Braden v. Wal-Mart stores, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that all or some of the Wal-Mart Defendants violated ERISA by failing to prudently and loyally manage the assets of the Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plan ("Plan") by offering Investment Options that caused the Plan to incur excessive fees and expenses and that provided inferior returns; failing to properly monitor the Plan's fiduciaries; failing to provide Plan participants with complete and accurate information regarding fees and expenses; breaching their duties and responsibilities as co-fiduciaries; and engaging in prohibited transactions with the Plan trustee and record keeper, the Merrill Lynch Defendants.</p> <p>Class Members are all who were participants in, or beneficiaries of, the Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plan, or the predecessors or successors thereto, who have</p>	3-7-12	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Keller Rohrback L.L.P. Attn: Lynn Lincoln Sarko 1201 Third Avenue, Ste 3200 Seattle, WA. 98109</p> <p>Facsimile: (206) 623-3384</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			held assets in the Plan Investment Options at any time between 7-1-1997 to the Agreement Execution Date, inclusive, and (a) as to each Person within the scope of subsection (a) of [Settlement Agreement] Section 1.44, his, her, or its beneficiaries, alternate payees, Representatives and Successors in Interest.		
12-5-2011	10-CV-1327	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Beckman Coulter, Inc. Securities Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants knew or reckless disregarded allegedly long-standing and systemic quality, safety, and compliance problems made material misrepresentations and omissions regarding these alleged problems and the likely impacts on the Company's recurring revenue and earnings.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Beckman common stock between 7-31-2009 and 7-22-2010, inclusive, and who were allegedly damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information contact:</p> <p>Christopher J. McDonald Labaton Sucharow LLP, 140 Broadway New York, NY 10005,</p> <p>Or call</p> <p>Tel: 888-219-6877</p> <p>Or e-mail</p> <p>www.labaton.com settlementquestions@labaton.com</p>
12-5-2011	11-CV-00412	(D. Colo.)	<p>Wooten v. Emergency Medical Services Corp.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege violation of federal securities laws and breach of state law fiduciary duties in connection with the proposed acquisition, announcement on 2-14-2011, of EMSC by an affiliate of CD&R for \$64.00 per share in cash (the "Merger").</p>	Not yet set	No information

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons who were record holders or beneficial owners of Emergency Medical Services Corporation ("EMSC" or the "Company") common stock at any time from 12-13-2010, through and including 5-25-2011, the effective date of the merger between EMSC and an affiliate of Clayton, Dubilier & Rice, LLC ("CD&R").</p>		
12-6-2011	09-CV-00491	(W.D. Wa.)	<p>Hovila v. Tween Brands, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Tween Brands violated Washington law by sending pre-recorded messages from an Automatic Dialing and Announcing Device ("ADAD") to the home telephones of customers. The calls were made by SmartReply, Inc. ("SmartReply") for Tween Brands, Inc.</p> <p>Class Members are all person who between 3-11-2005 and 8-10-2011, received a pre-recorded telephone message in the State of Washington from Limited Too or Justice.</p>	12-7-2011	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Rob Williamson Kim Williams Williamson & Williams 17253 Agate Street NE Bainbridge Island, WA 98110</p> <p>Or call or visit 1 (888) 409-2905</p>
12-6-2006	09-CV-12	(M.D.N.C.)	<p>John and Jane Does 1-5 v. N.C. Baptist Hospital and the N.C. Baptist Hospital and Applicable Affiliates Group Health Plan Plaintiffs allege that when dealing with the Plan's assets (which they assert include participant contributions) NCBH did not seek the PPO network which offered the lowest reimbursement rate for the Plan's participants and beneficiaries and that there was no difference in quality between the alternate</p>	2-24-2012	<p>For more information visit: www.wakehealth.edu/erisa.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>networks that would justify the cost disparity. Rather, Plaintiffs allege that because NCBH was, itself, a healthcare provider its incentive was to choose the network that provided it the greatest reimbursement.</p> <p>Class Members are all who were participant in the Plan and paid Participant contributions to the Plan during the period 3-6-2002 through 12-31-2008.</p>		
12-7-2011	09-CV-00656	(D. Colo.)	<p>Josephine Gianzero and Jennifer Jensen, v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Wal-Mart and the other Defendants improperly dictated and controlled the medical treatment received by injured employees in violation of Colorado and federal law.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former employees of Wal-Mart and Sam's Club (together, "Wal-Mart") who have received, or are currently receiving, workers' compensation benefits pursuant to the Colorado Workers' Compensation Act for injuries sustained during the course and scope of their employment with Wal-Mart between 1-1-2001 and 11-1-2011.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Contact the Claims Administrator: 1-877-242-8427 Or visit www.gilardi.com/walmart</p>
12-8-2011	08-CV-0201	(W.D. Mo.)	<p>Casey v. Coventry Health Care of Kansas, Inc., Plaintiffs allege that Coventry improperly imposed upon individuals enrolled in a Coventry Missouri HMO plan both a coinsurance and co-</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.CoventryMissouri</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>payment amount for the same healthcare service in violation of a Missouri regulation and ERISA.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals enrolled in a Coventry Missouri HMO plan at any time between 5-30-1998 and 5-4-2011, upon which Coventry imposed both a co-payment and coinsurance charge for the same healthcare service.</p>		<p>Settlement.com</p> <p>or write to:</p> <p>Gerald McGonagle David J. Spencer McGonagle Spencer, P.C. 1533 Locust Street Kansas city, MO 64106</p>
12-8-2011	11-CV-181	(D. Vt.)	<p>Davis v. Central Vermont Public Services Corporation</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the CVPS Board failed to fulfill its fiduciary duties with respect to CVPS's entrance into the Fortis Agreement and the Proposed Transaction by, among other things, allegedly obtaining an unfair and inadequate price, failing to undertake an adequate sales process, engaging in self-dealing, including various "deal protection devices," and failing to disclose to CVPS shareholders information necessary to make an informed decision with respect to the Proposed Transaction. Plaintiff also alleged that CVPS, Gaz Métro and Danaus aided and abetted the CVPS Board in breaching its fiduciary duties.</p> <p>Class Members are all record holders and beneficial owners of any share(s) of Central Vermont Public Service Corporation ("CVPS") common stock ("Common Stock") who held any such share(s) at any time during the period beginning on and including 1-1-2011, through and including the date of the Preliminary Approval Order.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Plaintiff Counsel</p> <p>A. Jeffry Taylor Abatiell Associates, P.C. One Justice Square Rutland, VT 05701</p> <p>Or call (802) 775-2508</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
12-13-2011	10-CV-4974	(S.D. Tex.)	<p>Mills v. HEB Grocery Plaintiffs allege that at certain times, some HEB Grocery Company, L.P. ("H-E-B") automatic teller machines ("ATMs") lacked certain fee notice signs required by the Electronic Funds Transfer Act ("EFTA").</p> <p>Class Members are all non-Frost Bank and non-HEB Federal Credit Union customers who were charged a transaction fee at any ATM owned or operated by H-E-B between 12-14-2009 and [Date of Preliminary Approval] may be eligible to receive a settlement check.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.carlsonlynch.com</p> <p>or call (800) 467-5241</p> <p>e-mail bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com.</p>
12-14-2011	11-CV-00987	(W.D. Pa.)	<p>Hanlon v. Palace Entertainment Holdings, LLC Plaintiffs allege that Palace printed more than the last five digits of the credit card or debit card number, and/or the expiration date of the credit card or debit card on receipts presented to customers at the point of sale in its amusement parks, in violation of FACTA, as specifically set forth in the Complaint on file.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who received electronically printed receipts at any participating Palace Park at the point of sale or transaction in a transaction occurring between 12-4-2006 and [Preliminary Approval Date] wherein the receipt displayed last five digits of the credit card or debit card number, and/or the expiration date of the credit card or debit card.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Write to</p> <p>R. Bruce Carlson, Esq. Carlson Lynch Ltd. 231 Melville Lane P.O. Box 367 Sewickley, PA 15143</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
12-14-2011	09-CV-01808	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Kevin Embry v. Acer America Corporation Plaintiffs allege that Acer marketed each of its computers as including a specified version of Microsoft Windows such as "Windows Vista Home Premium" or "Windows XP Professional." Plaintiffs further allege that with each computer, Acer provided a preinstalled OEM version of Windows but did not provide any systems or recovery CDs in the box.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased an Acer computer in the U.S. between 3-24-2005 and 5-1-2011.</p>	2-13-2012	<p>For more information Contact:</p> <p>Acer Settlement Gutride Safier LLP P.O. Box 460823 San Francisco, CA 94146</p>
12-14-2011	10-CV-06484	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Christensen et al. v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that VWGoA's advertising and product descriptions misrepresented characteristics of the Bluetooth® system in Settlement Class Vehicles.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. and Puerto Rico who have purchased or leased, not for resale, the following vehicles distributed by Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.: model year 2010 Volkswagen Jetta Sedan (in trim levels Limited, SE, and Wolfsburg), model year 2010 Jetta SportWagens (in trim levels SE and TDI) and model year 2010 Golfs (in Trim level TDI), as well as model year 2010 Volkswagen Jetta Sedan S, Jetta SportWagen S and Golf 2.5 vehicles originally purchased or leased with the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Rust Consulting, Inc. Re: Christensen v. VWGoA P.O. Box 2657 Faribault, MN 55021-9657</p> <p>Or visit: www.sfmslaw.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Bluetooth® option.		
12-15-2011	11-CV-06158	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Keller v. Gaspari Nutrition, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that improper statements were contained on the label and in advertisements for a certain Gaspari product called "Novedex XT" and that such statements violate consumer protection laws and were fraudulent. The Action sought damages, disgorgement of profits, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased Novedex XT for personal use during the period 11-2-2006 through 10-3-2011.</p>	2-6-2012	<p>For more information call: 1-(877) 341-4585</p> <p>Or visit: www.novedexxtsettlement.com</p>
12-16-2011	09-CV-02136	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Walter, et al. v. Hughes Communication, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that in connection with Hughes' satellite-based internet access service called HughesNet (formerly called DirecPC and DirecWay), (i) Hughes' advertised download, upload and other internet speeds and Hughes' disclosures regarding its Fair Access Policy were misleading, and (ii) the fees charged to subscribers when they cancelled their service before the end of their contract ("early termination fees") violated the law.</p> <p>Class Members should all receive notices about this settlement in the mail. Generally, the class includes everyone in the United States</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.satelliteinternetsettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			who, at anytime from 5-15-2005 to [Preliminary Approval Date], subscribed to any Hughes' Consumer Service Plans.		
12-19-2011	06-MD-1775	(E.D.N.Y)	<p>In re: Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' massive, global conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices of Airfreight Shipping Services through a number of mechanisms, including, inter alia, concertedly levying inflated surcharges, jointly agreeing to eliminate or prevent discounting of Airfreight Shipping services prices, agreeing on yields and allocating customers.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities in the United States (U.S.) that purchased Airfreight Shipping Services for shipments within, to, or from the U.S. indirectly from any of the Defendants or any predecessor, subsidiary, or affiliate of each, at any time during the period from no later than 1-1-2000 to present.</p> <p>Subclass Members are all persons outside the U.S. that purchased Airfreight Shipping Services for shipments solely between the U.S. and any European Union Member State.</p>	Not set yet	No information

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
12-20-2011	07-CV-6377	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Amaranth Natural Gas Commodities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, between 2-16-2006 and 9-28-2006, engaged in two separate but related manipulations of NYMEX natural gas futures prices. First, Plaintiffs alleged that the Defendants held dominant positions in NYMEX natural gas contracts with delivery months from 3-2006 to 4-2007 during certain parts of the Class Period and Defendants used these dominant positions to artificially inflate the spread between summer 2006 contracts and winter 2006-2007 contracts. Plaintiffs also alleged that Defendants manipulated NYMEX natural gas futures prices by engaging in so-called "slam the close" trades during the last 30 minutes of trading in the March, April and May 2006 contracts for the purpose of driving the price of those contracts lower in order benefit non-NYMEX natural gas positions and further inflate spread prices in violation of Section 22(a) (i) of the Commodity Exchange Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") Natural Gas Futures Contracts during the period of 2-16-2006 through 9-28-2006 inclusive.</p>	4-9-2012	<p>For more information visit: www.amaranthcommoditieslitigation.com</p>
12-21-2011	09-CV-1947	(D. Conn.)	<p>Roger H. Kaye and Roger H. Kaye, MD PC, v Aesthera Corporation Plaintiffs allege that the Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to: Aytan Y. Bellin Bellin & Associates LLC</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>"TCPA") by sending unsolicited facsimile advertisements.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who, on or after 8-1-2006, received facsimile communications from Aesthera Corporation making known the availability of products or services offered by Aesthera.</p>		<p>85 Miles Avenue White Plains, N.Y 10606</p> <p>Or call (914) 358-5345</p> <p>Or write Glenn A. Duhl Siegel, O'Connor, O'Donnell & Beck P.C. 150 Trumbul Street Hartford, Conn. 06103 (860) 727-8900</p>
12-23-2011	09-CV-06032	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Claridge v. RockYou, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that RockYou failed to secure and safeguard its users' sensitive personally identifiable information ("PII"), including e-mail addresses and passwords and login credentials for social networks such as MySpace and Facebook. Defendant knowingly violated its own terms of service and accepted long-standing industry standards by failing to protect Plaintiff and Class Members' PII.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals and entities in the United States who had a RockYou account in 2009.</p>	Not set yet	No information
12-28-2011	11-CV-20549	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Sid Murdeshwar v. SearchMedia Holdings Limited</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Settling Defendants targeted SearchMedia International Limited ("SMIL"), an operator of outdoor billboard and in-elevator advertising networks headquartered in China, as a target for acquisition. It is</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call: 1-800-231-1815,</p> <p>or visit www.searchmediasettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>also alleged that persons who acquired Ideation and SearchMedia securities during the Class Period or who held Ideation common stock as of 10-2-2009 and were entitled to vote on the merger at Ideation's 10-27-2009 special meeting were damaged when they relied on allegedly false statements in SearchMedia's press release, public filing, and the proxy documents and were denied the opportunity to make an informed decision on the Ideation-SMIL merger.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who: (i) purchased or otherwise acquired SearchMedia or Ideation securities between 4-1-2009 and 8-20-2010, inclusive; or (ii) held common stock of Ideation on 10-2-2009, and were eligible to vote at special meeting 10-27-2009.</p>		
12-29-2011	22-CV-4211	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re Medco/Express Scripts Merger Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants have breached the fiduciary duties they owe to the public shareholders of Medco and have acted to put their personal interests ahead of the interests of Medco's shareholders or acquiesced in those actions by fellow Defendants. It is alleged that the Individual Defendants have failed to take adequate measures to ensure that the interests of Medco's shareholders are properly protected and have engaged in a process that has deterred and will continue to deter competitive bidding and provides Express Scripts with an unfair advantage by effectively excluding alternative proposals.</p>	4-16-2012	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>James E. Cecchi CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO 5 Becker Farm Road Roseland, New Jersey 07068</p> <p>Or call:</p> <p>(973) 994-1700</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in December, 2011 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all record holders and beneficial owners of common stock of Medco Health Solutions, Inc. ("Medco") together with their successors and assigns, during the period commencing on the date on which the board of directors of Medco approved the proposed acquisition of all of Medco's shares by Express Scripts, Inc. and ending at the effective time of the closing of the proposed transaction.</p>		
12-30-2011	09-MD-2047	(E.D. La.)	<p>Chinese Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation Plaintiffs allege that drywall manufactured in China, and imported to and distributed in the United States, and used in the construction of houses, emits smelly, corrosive gases.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities with claims, known and unknown, against the Settling Defendants arising from, or otherwise related in any way to Chinese Drywall sold, marketed, distributed, and/or supplied by Interior/Exterior Building Supply, L.P. ("InEx").</p>	2-3-12	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Arnold Levin (LEVIN, FISHBEIN, SEDRAN & BERMAN 510 Walnut Street Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19106</p>