| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **12-1-2016** | **16-CV-21147** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Dorado v. Bank of America**  The Action contends that Bank of America, N.A. (“BANA”) breached the promissory notes underlying the class’s FHA-insured home loans when, in violation of FHA regulation 24 C.F.R. § 203.558 (which was incorporated into the promissory notes), BANA collected post-payment interest (i.e., interest for the remainder of the month during which the loan was paid off) without providing an FHA-approved payoff disclosure to borrowers who made a pre-payment inquiry, request for payoff figures, or tender of prepayment. The FHA-insured loans at issue were; (i) entered into between 6-1-2006 and 1-20-2015, (ii) prepaid within the statutes of limitations applicable to the loans, and (iii) owned by BANA or for which BANA otherwise held legal title. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Steven Rosenwasser**  **BONDURANT, MIXSON &**  **ELMORE, LLP**  **3900 One Atlantic Center**  **1201 W. Peachtree St., NW**  **Atlanta, Georgia 30309** |
| **12-1-2016** | **13-CV-3417** | **(D. N.J.)** | **Gray, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC, et al.**  This consumer complaint is about model-year 2004 to 2010 U.S. specification BMW 6 Series (E64) Convertibles (“Class Vehicles”). The lawsuit alleges that the convertible top on Class Vehicles will not completely open or close, becomes stuck in a fixed position, the convertible top operation light flashes, causing the “top not locked” warning message to appear, and/or causes an alarm to sound while convertible top is stuck. | **Not set yet**  Prepared by Brenda Berkley | **For more inforamtion write or e-mail:**  **Matthew R. Mendelsohn**  **Mazie Slater Katz &**  **Freeman, LLC**  **103 Eisenhower Parkway**  **Roseland, NJ 07068**  [**mmendelsohn@mskf.net**](mailto:mmendelsohn@mskf.net) |
| **12-1-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **14-CV-00507** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: NTN Corporation and NTN USA Corporation**  The lawsuits claim that the Defendants in each lawsuit conspired to unlawfully raise the price of certain motor vehicle bearings. As a result, dealers of trucks and/or equipment who purchased for resale or lease trucks and/or equipment containing those parts or who indirectly purchased those parts as replacement parts, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant or any subsidiary, affiliate, or alleged co-conspirator of a Defendant may have paid more than they should have. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 866 742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **12-8-2016** | **13-CV-05693** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Flo & Eddie, Inc. v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that Sirius XM has violated California Civil Code Section 980(a)(2) and is liable for conversion, misappropriation, and unfair competition. Plaintiffs sought damages, restitution, and injunctive relief on behalf of itself and the putative class. It is further alleged that Sirius XM, without a license or authorization, was performing, distributing, reproducing, and otherwise exploiting those pre-1972 recordings in California as part of its satellite and Internet radio services. | **5-8-2017** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 855 720-2382 (Ph.)**  [**www.pre1972soundrecordings.com**](http://www.pre1972soundrecordings.com) |
| **12-8-2016** | **14-CV-03772** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Washington v. Silverleaf Resorts, Inc.**  The Complaint alleges that members of the Settlement Classes were not compensated for all hours worked, including work performed during meal breaks and not paid overtime wages in violation of the Illinois Minimum Wage Law and the Fair Labor Standards Act. | **3-1-2017** | **For more information write to:**  **Robin Potter**  **Nieves Bolanos**  **Patrick Cowlin**  **Robin Potter & Asso. P.C.**  **111 E. Wacker Dr.**  **Suite 2600**  **Chicago, IL 60601** |
| **12-8-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00503** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: NTN Corporation and NTN USA Corporation**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendant manufacturers, and suppliers of automotive bearings globally and in the United States engaged in a lengthy conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the bearings industry by agreeing to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of these products, which were sold to automobile and other manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion visit or call:**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com)  **1 866 742-4955 (Ph.)** |
| **12-8-2016** | **12-CV-9350** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Kaplan, et al. v. S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P., et al.**  **Re Defendants: S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P., Point72 Capital Advisors, Inc., CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, CR Intrinsic Investments, LLC, S.A.C. Capital Advisors, LLC, Point72 Associates, LLC, Point72 Strategies, LLC, Point72 Select Investments, LLC, and Steven A. Cohen**  Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants traded on inside information concerning the Phase 2 clinical trial of an Alzheimer’s disease drug, bapineuzumab, obtained from two doctors involved in the clinical trial. The Plaintiffs allege that this conduct violated Sections 10(b), 20(a), and 20A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. | **4-27-2017** | **For more information call or visit:**  **800 332-7417 (Ph.)**  [**www.sac-elan.com**](http://www.sac-elan.com) |
| **12-9-2016** | **15-CV-00563** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Family Medicine Pharmacy LLC v. Perfumania Holdings, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendant sent unsolicited facsimile advertisements promoting Defendants’ goods and/or services, without prior consent or an established business  relationship, in violation of the Telephone  Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **James H. McFerrin**  **McFerrin Law Firm LLC**  **265 Riverchase Parkway East Suite 202**  **Birmingham, Alabama 35244**  **205 870-5704 (Ph.)**  **205 985-5093 (Fax)** |
| **12-9-2016** | **13-CV-01903** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Yamada Manufacturing Co., Ltd. And Yamada North America, Inc. (collectively, “Yamada”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendant and their co-conspirators: (1) suppressed price competition of electric powered steering assemblies throughout Wisconsin; (2) maintained artificially high prices for electric powered steering assemblies; (3) deprived consumers of free and open competition causing Plaintiffs and members of the damaged class to pay artificially inflated prices for electric powered steering assemblies. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.**  **E. Powell Miller  Devon P. Allard**  **950 W. University Drive**  **Suite 300**  **Rochester, Michigan 48307**  **248 841-2200 (Ph.)**  **248 652-2852 (Fax)**  [**epm@millerlawpc.com**](mailto:epm@millerlawpc.com)  [**dpa@millerlawpc.com**](mailto:dpa@millerlawpc.com) |
| **12-12-2016** | **15-CV-12945** | **(D. Mass.)** | **Jacqueline A. Cote v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.**  Plaintiff claims that Walmart discriminated against associates with same-sex spouses by failing to provide spousal health insurance benefits to the same-sex spouses of associates before 1-1-2014. Plaintiff is seeking damages for the Settlement Class Members who were denied the opportunity to enroll their same-sex spouses in a Walmart sponsored health insurance plan from 1-1-2011 to 12-31-2013 (“the Settlement Class Period). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Peter Romer-Friedman**  **Juno Turner**  **Outten & Golden LLP**  **685 Third Avenue**  **25th Floor**  **New York, NY 10017** |
| **12-12-2016** | **14-CV-01843** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Freeman v. Zillow, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Zillow failed to pay Inside Sales Consultants in California for overtime, failed to provide meal and rest breaks, and committed other related violations of California’s wage-and-hour laws and the Fair Labor Standards Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Geragos & Geragos**  **Historic Engine Co. No. 28**  **644 South Figueroa Street**  **Los Angeles, CA. 90017**  **213 625-3900 (Ph.)** |
| **12-12-2016** | **12-CV-00500**  **14-CV-00507**  **14-Cv-13356** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation Master Case (the “Bearings Action”)**  **(the “Truck and Equipment Dealer Cases”)**  **Re Defendant: Schaeffler Group USA Inc.**  For more information see CAFA Notice dated 11-22-2016. | **3-22-207** | **For more information write to:**  **Duane Morris LLP**  **30 South 17th Street**  **Philadelphia, PA 19103** |
| **12-13-2016** | **16-CV-02008** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Rhom v. Thumbtack, Inc.**  This suit challenges Thumbtack’s use of background checks on service professionals who were required to completed background check forms through outside companies Checkr, Inc., and Sterling Talent Solutions. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Anthony J. Orshansky**  **Alexandria R. Kachadoorian**  **Justin Kachadoorian**  **CounselOne, P.C.**  **9401 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 650**  **Beverly Hills, CA 90210** |
| **12-14-2016** | **16-CV-03557**  **16-CV-04172** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Lewis v. Green dot Corporation, et al.**  **Crook v. Green dot Corporation, et al.**  The lawsuit alleges that certain holders of Green Dot prepaid debit cards and Walmart  MoneyCards, issued by Green Dot, experienced a longer than anticipated disruption in service for portions of the period of time between 5-15-2016 and 5-22-2016 and cardholders experienced damages and losses as a result. The lawsuit alleges that Defendants are liable for various consumer fraud, contract, and negligence claims. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **John A. Yanchunis**  **MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX**  **LITIGATION GROUP**  **201 N. Franklin Street**  **7th Floor**  **Tampa, Florida 33602** |
| **12-15-2016** | **13-MD-02420** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: LG Chem, Ltd. and LG Chem America, Inc. (collectively, “LGC”)**  The lawsuit alleges that Defendants conspired to raise and fix the prices of cylindrical Li-Ion cells for over ten years, resulting in overcharges to indirect purchasers of portable computers, camcorders, and power tools containing Li-Ion cylindrical batteries. The complaint describes how the Defendants and co-conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. and state antitrust, unfair competition, and consumer protection laws by agreeing to fix prices and restrict output of these cells by, among other things, face-to-face meetings and other communications, customer allocation, and the use of trade associations. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.batteriesconsumerlitigation.com**](http://www.batteriesconsumerlitigation.com) |
| **12-15-2016** | **12-CV-05240** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Karim v. Hewlett-Packard Company**  This lawsuit claims that Hewlett-Packard Company incorrectly warranted on its online Home and Home Office Store website that certain wireless cards offered for customizable notebook computers would provide dual-band wireless connectivity when in fact they could only provide single-band wireless connectivity. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Robert M. Bramson**  **Jenelle Welling**  **BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER &**  **BIRKHAEUSER, LLP**  **2125 Oak Grove Road**  **Suite 120**  **Walnut Creek, CA 94598** |
| **12-16-2016** | **15-CV-00702** | **(S.D. Tex.)** | **Jeffrey K. Landrum, et al. v. Envision Healthcare Holdings, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants willfully violated the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2), because they did not make a clear and conspicuous disclosure in writing that a consumer report would be obtained for employment purposes. | **1-18-2017** | **For more informatino write, call or fax:**  **Salar Ali Ahmed, Esq.**  **ALI S. AHMED, P.C.**  **One Arena Place**  **7322 Southwest Freeway**  **Suite 1920**  **Houston, Texas 77074**  **713 223-1300 (Ph.)**  **713 255-0013 (Fax)** |
| **12-16-2016** | **14-CV-1720** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **Griffith, et al. v. Providence Health & Services, et al.**  **Re Defendants: Providence Health & Services, Retirement Plans Committee, Ellen Wolf, Rod Hochman Board of Directors of Providence Health & Services, Michael Holcomb, Chauncey Boyle, Isiaah Crawford, Martha Diaz Aszhenazy, Phyllis Hughes, Sallye Liner, Kirby McDonald, Dave Olsen, Al Parrish, Carolina Reyes, Peter J. Snow, Michael A. Stein, Charles Watts, and Bob Wilson (“Defendants”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants: (a) violated Employee Retirement Income Security Act’s (“ERISA’s) reporting and disclosure provisions; (b) failed to adhere to ERISA’s required minimum funding standards for the Plan; (c) failed to establish the Plan pursuant to a written instrument meeting the requirements of ERISA § 402; and (d) failed to establish a trust meeting the requirements of ERISA § 403. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants breached fiduciary duties owed to the Plan’s participants and beneficiaries, including Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs also allege that the Church Plan exemption, as claimed by Defendants, violates the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. | **3-21-2017** | **For more information write or fax:**  **Lynn Lincoln Sarko**  **Keller Rohrback L.L.P.**  **1201 Third Avenue**  **Suite 3200**  **Seattle, WA 98101**  **206 623-3384 (Fax)** |
| **12-19-2016** | **14-CV-06866** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Craftwood Lumber Company v. Senco Brands, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Senco Brands, Inc. violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (“TCPA”), by sending unsolicited facsimile advertisements and facsimile advertisements that do not comply with the TCPA’s opt-out notice requirements. | **6-6-2017** | **For more information write or call:**  **C. Barryl Cordero**  **Payne & Fears LLP**  **1100 Glendon Avenue**  **Suite 1250**  **Los Angeles, CA 90024**  **310 682-1750 (Ph.)** |
| **12-22-2017** | **16-CV-2965** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Torczyner v. Staples, Inc.**  The lawsuit alleges that Staples engaged in unfair and deceptive business practices by not adequately disclosing that it utilized pro rata coupon accounting when it calculated Staples Rewards earnings in transactions involving product-specific coupons. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Frank R. Schirripa**  **Hach Rose Schirripa &**  **Cheverie LLP**  **185 Madison Avenue**  **14th Floor**  **New York,NY 10016** |
| **12-22-2016** | **15-CV-04062** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Daniel Matera, et al. v. Google Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that Google violated the California Invasion of Privacy Act, Penal Code § 630 *et seq.*, (“CIPA”) and the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2510 *et seq.*, (“Wiretap Act”) by scanning the content of non-Gmail users’ emails while those emails were in transit to Gmail users, and then utilizing the results of the scans for advertising and/or user modeling purposes. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **MichaelW. Sobol**  **Nicole D. Sugnet**  **Lieff Cabraser Heimann &**  **Bernstein LLP**  **275 Battery Street**  **29th Floor**  **San Francisco, CA 94111** |
| **12-22-2016** | **16-CV-01066** | **(S.D. Ohio)** | **Ebony Moore, et al. v. Aerotek, Inc. and Jose Rubio-Delgado, et al. v. Aerotek, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that Aerotek violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by running background checks on employees and applicants without making legally required disclosures and, for some Class members, by taking adverse employment actions (terminating or not hiring or placing those individuals) due to the results of the background check. | **Not set yet** | **For more informtion write to:**  **E. Michelle Drake Berger &**  **Montague, P.C.**  **43 SE Main Street, Suite 505**  **Minneapolis, MN 55414** |
| **12-22-2016** | **14-CV-5547** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **McMahon v. Tuesday Morning, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Tuesday Morning failed to authorize and permit compliant rest periods to its non-exempt employees in California, and as a result, Tuesday Morning allegedly also failed to timely pay wages upon these employees’ termination of employment and violated California’s unfair competition law. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Shaun Setareh**  **SETAREH LAW GROUP**  **9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907**  **Beverly Hills, CA 90212**  **310 888-7771 (Ph.)** |
| **12-22-2016** | **14-CV-00566** | **(N.D. Fla.)** | **Arthur Biringer v. First Family Insurance, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiff alleges that First Family violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by making calls to telephone numbers that were listed on the National Do-Not-Call Registry. The class representative claims that First Family did not have the recipients’ permission to make these calls. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:**  **Anthony Paronich,**  **Broderick & Paronich**  **99 High Street**  **Suite 304**  **Boston, MA 02110**  [**anthony@broderick-law.com**](mailto:anthony@broderick-law.com) |
| **12-22-2017** | **13-CV-01191** | **(D. Conn.)** | **Diana Mey v. Frontier Communications Corporation**  Plaintiff alleges that Frontier violated the  Telephone Consumer Protection Act by allegedly initiating unsolicited telemarketing calls. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Edward A. Broderick**  **Anthony I. Paronich**  **Broderick & Paronich, P.C.**  **99 High Street, Suite 304**  **Boston, MA 02110** |
| **12-23-2017** | **15-CV-7206**  **16-CV-1409** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Leach, et al. v. NBCUniversal Media LLC, et al.**  **June, et al. v. NBCUniversal Media LLC, et al.**  A lawsuit was filed by current and former Parking Production Assistants (“PPAs”) alleging that PPAs are owed compensation for hours worked that they were not paid for, and related damages. Specifically, the PPAs allege, inter alia, that Media Rights Capital II violated the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law by not paying PPAs correctly for all of the hours, including overtime hours that they worked. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Balli Kane & Vagnini LLP**  **Robert J. Valli, Jr.**  **Sara Wyn Kane**  **James A. Vagnini**  **600 Old Country Road**  **Suite 519**  **Garden City, NY 11530**  **516 203-7180 (Ph.)**  [**www.vkvlawyers.com**](http://www.vkvlawyers.com) |
| **12-23-2016** | **14-CV-7126**  **14-CV-7907**  **14-CV-8342**  **14-CV-8576** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Alaska Electrical Pension Fund, et al. v. Bank of America Corporation, et al.**  Plaintiffs claimed that Bank of America (BOA) colluded to manipulate the ISDA fix; a key benchmark interest rate for a range of financial derivatives instruments, and that Plaintiffs’ incurred damages as a result. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Shelly L. Friedland**  **TRIEF & OLK**  **150 East 58th Street**  **34th Floor**  **New York, NY 10155**  **212 486-6060 (Ph.)**  **212 317-2946 (Fax)** |
| **12-27-2016** | **16-CV-00250** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Whitehead v. Advance Stores Company, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Advance Stores Company, Inc., failed to adequately protect the  confidential personal information and/or private personal information and/or financial  information (collectively referred to as "Sensitive Information") which led to the loss, theft, disclosure and/or breach of such information resulting in violation of Plaintiff and the putative class's federal and state constitutional rights, rights under the laws of the United States and the State of Florida, and damages. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **WHITTEL & MELTON, LLC**  **Jay P. Lechner**  **Jason M. Melton**  **One Progress Plaza**  **200 Central Avenue, #400**  **St. Petersburg, FL 33701** |
| **12-27-2016** | **15-CV-11318** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Michael Oaks v. Sears, Roebuck and Co.**  The lawsuit alleges that certain barbecue grills, sold by Sears were manufactured with firebox trays made out of galvanized steel, making the firebox trays defective and resulting in the firebox trays rusting and failing prematurely. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **James C. Shah**  **Shepherd, Finkelman, Miller & Shah, LLP**  **35 E. State Street**  **Media, PA 19063** |
| **12-28-2016** | **10-CV-0940**  **13-CV-02519** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Sonny Low, et al. v. Trump University LLC, et al.**  **Art Cohen, et al., v. Donald J. Trump, et al.**  Plaintiff in the Cohen (Nationwide) Action alleges that Donald J. Trump made certain misrepresentations about the Trump University Live Events in violation of federal law. Plaintiffs in the Low (California/Florida/New  York) Action, a related case, alleges that Trump University and Trump made similar misrepresentations about the Live Events in violation of state laws. | **3-30-2017** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 866 841-7311 (Ph.)**  [**www.trumpuniversitylitigation.com**](http://www.trumpuniversitylitigation.com) |
| **12-29-2016** | **14-CV-02440**  **14-CV-61058**  **15-CV-00570** | **(S.D.N.Y.)**  **(S.D. Fla.)**  **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Christina Melito, et al. v. American Eagle Outfitters, Inc., et al.**  **(Consolidated with USDC)**  **(Consolidated with USDC)**  Plaintiffs claim American Eagle Outfitters, Inc. violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by sending text messages to cellular telephones between 4-8-2010 and 2016, through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system without prior express consent or where any such consent had been revoked. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Keith Keogh, Esq.**  **Keogh Law, Ltd.**  **55 Monroe St., 3390**  **Chicago, IL 60603** |
| **12-29-2016** | **16-CV-06936** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Wesley Vincent, Noelle Morgante, Daniel Richman, and Ashley Peluchette, et al. v. People Against Dirty, PBC, and Method Products, PBC**  The lawsuit alleges that the Defendants violated certain laws in labeling, marketing, and advertising of certain METHOD and ECOVER branded products. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Adam Gonnelli**  **Sultzer Law Group**  **85 Civic Center Plaza**  **Suite 104**  **Poughkeepsie, NY, 12601** |
| **12-30-2017** | **15-CV-139** | **(M.D. Ga.)** | **Fred Heidarpour v. Central Payment Co., LLC**  Plaintiff alleges that Central Payment violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by making calls to residential or cellular telephones through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice and to telephone numbers that were listed on the National Do-Not-Call Registry. The class representative claims that Central Payment did not have the recipients’ permission to make these calls. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Edward Broderick**  **Anthony Paronich**  **BRODERICK & PARONICH, P.C.**  **99 High Street**  **Suite 304**  **Boston, Massachusetts 02110** |
| **12-30-2016** | **14-CV-6834** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: EZCORP Securities Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Paul Rothamel, Mark Kuchenrither, Phillip Ean Cohan, and MS Pawn Limited Partnership**  Plaintiff alleges that EZCORP and certain of its senior executives violated provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by disseminating false and misleading press releases, financial statements, filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and statements during investor conference calls. The Complaint alleges that EZCORP and certain of its senior executives misrepresented significant facts concerning EZCORP’s business and operations, including that EZCORP and its Cash Genie business complied with all relevant regulations governing its businesses. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Bernstein Litowitz Berger &**  **Grossmann LLP**  **Attn: Timothy A. DeLange**  **12481 High Bluff Drive**  **Suite 300**  **San Diego, CA 92130**  **858 793-0070 (Ph.)**  [**timothyd@blbglaw.com**](mailto:timothyd@blbglaw.com) |
| **12-30-2016** | **15-CV-99195** | **(D. Mont.)** | **William Wittman, et al. v. CB1, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that CB1 violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq. and the Montana Consumer Protection Act, § 30-14-101, et seq. Mont. Code Ann. In particular, Plaintiffs alleged that CB1 misled Plaintiffs and putative class members in debt collection communications as to CB1’s ability to collect a surcharge on credit and debit card payments, and improperly collected such surcharges when Plaintiffs and putative class members made payments to CB1 using a credit or debit card. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **John Heenan**  **Bishop, Heenan & Davies**  **1631 Zimmerman Trail**  **Billings, Montana 59102** |
| **12-30-2016** | **14-CV-5596** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Reyes v. Bakery & Confectionery Union & Industry Int’l Pension Fund**  **Re Defendants: Steven Bertelli, David B. Durkee, Jethro A. Head, Art Montminy, Robert Oakley, James Rivers, Randy D. Roark, Barbara Brasier, Travis Clemens, Jon McPherson, Lou Minella, Doug Ruygrok, and John Wagner**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Employee Retirement Income Security Act by adopting the 2012 Amendment. Specifically, the Class Representatives contend that the Pension Fund did not send its participants timely notice that the 2012 Amendment reduced their Golden 80/90 benefits, and that the Pension Fund was not properly determined to be in critical status prior to adopting the Rehabilitation Plan in 2012. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Judith L. Spanier**  **Nancy Kaboolian**  **ABBEY SPANIER, LLP**  **212 East 39th Street**  **New York, New York 10016**  **212 889-3700 (Ph.)**  [**jspanier@abbeyspanier.com**](mailto:jspanier@abbeyspanier.com) |
| **12-30-2016** | **15-CV-00716** | **(S.D. Ind.)** | **Randy Johnson v. Navient Solutions, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that NSI violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, when calling consumers on their cellular telephones, via an automatic telephone dialing system, at wrong numbers – in that the subscriber to or user of the phone number called was different from the party that NSI was trying to reach. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Aaron D. Radbil**  **Greenwald Davidson**  **Radbil PLLC**  **106 E. 6th Street**  **Suite 913**  **Austin, TX 78701** |