

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-1-2012	05-CV-07116	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Robert Ross, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants Bank of America, Capital One, Chase, Citibank, Discover, HSBC and the NAF violated federal law by conspiring, with each other and certain non-defendants, to require that the banks' cardholders (a) take all legal disputes to arbitration rather than court and (b) give up any right to participate in class actions against these credit card companies.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals, small businesses and agencies that hold a consumer or small business credit card issued by Bank of America, Capital One, Chase, Citibank, Discover or HSBC.</p>	4-27-12	<p>For more information visit: www.arbitration.ccfsenttlement.com or call: 1-(800) 654-4393</p>
1-1-2012	07-MD-1827	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize prices of TFT-LCD Flat Panels resulting in overcharges to consumers who bought products containing the TFT-LCD Flat Panels.</p> <p>Class Members are Statewide and Nationwide and include any person or business that indirectly purchased TFT-LCD panels incorporated in TVs, monitors and/or notebook computers from one or more of the Defendants or Quanta Display Inc., from 1999 to 2006, for their own use and not for resale, while residing in the 24 states or DC. The purchase must have been made in the same state where the person/business resided.</p>	4-23-12	<p>For more information visit: www.LCDclass.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-3-2012	07-CV-6377	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Amaranth Natural Gas Commodities Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the Floor Broker Defendants had knowledge of, and provided substantial assistance to, the Amaranth Defendants' foregoing alleged manipulations of NYMEX natural gas prices in violation of Section 22(a)(1) of the Commodity Exchange Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who:</p> <p>(1) Purchased, between 2-16-2006 and 9-28-2006 ("Class Period"), New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") natural gas futures contracts for 12-2006, 1-2007, 2-2007, or 3-2007 either (i) to liquidate prior to 9-1-2006, a short position in the contract, or (ii) as a long position in such contract which was not liquidated until after 5-10-2006; (2) Purchased, during the Class Period, a NYMEX natural gas futures contract for 3-2006, 4-2006, 5-2006, 6-2006, 7-2006, 8-2006, 9-2006, 10-2006, or 11-2006 ("the 2006 Contracts") or 4-2007 as a long position in such contract, and liquidated such position after 5-10-2006; (3) Purchased a 2006 Contract as a long position in such contract, held such a position as of the start of or acquired such a position during any of the following time periods, and sold all or a portion of such position during or after the end of such time period and on or prior to 9-28-2006. <i>Time Periods:</i> (i) 2:00 p.m.-2:30 p.m. on 2-24; (ii)</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p>http://www.amaranthcommoditieslitigation.com/</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			2:00 p.m.-2:30 p.m. on 3-29; or (iii) 2:00 p.m.-2:30 p.m. on 4-26-2006.		
1-4-2012	11-CV-00987	(W.D. Pa.)	<p>Hanlon v. Palace Entertainment Holdings, LLC Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated FACTA as late as 7-2011. It is further alleged that Palace willfully used cash registers and other devices at the point of sale which electronically printed receipts containing more than five digits of customers' credit or debit card number or the cards, expiration dates.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who received electronically printed receipts at any Participating Palace Park at the point of sale or transaction in a transaction occurring between 12-4-2006 and the Preliminary Approval Date wherein the receipt displayed more than the last five digits and the expiration date of the credit card or debit card number.</p>	3-30-12	<p>For more information visit: http://www.fjc.gov</p>
1-5-2012	11-CV-01301	(W.D. Wash.)	<p>Alyson Herfert v. Crayola LLC Plaintiffs allege that Crayola's Washable Colored Bubbles were distributed and sold at retail stores in 2011. The lawsuit claims that the labeling and advertising of the bubbles as "washable" was not and is not true.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. and its territories who purchased or acquired (including by gift) 2011 Washable Colored Bubbles, through the date of Final Settlement</p>	4-13-2012	<p>For more information visit: www.2011washablecoloredbubblesettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Approval.		
1-6-2012	06-CV-12866	(E.D. Mich.)	<p>Gary Caudill, Sylvester J. Derosa, Steven D. Ford, Sr., James Hilton, David McKillop, Donald Murphy, Suzanne J. Novak, and Gregory Till v. Sears Transition Pay Plan as amended and restated 1-1-2004</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are in violation of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). In May of 2011, a Notice of Judgment advised that a judgment was entered in favor of the class on 10-8-2010.</p> <p>Class Members are all full-time HVAC Sales Associates who: (1) had a minimum of one year of service with Defendant Sears Roebuck and Co. at the time of the transition of Defendant and (2) applied for benefits under the Transition Pay Plan.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information send e-mail:</p> <p>dbrault@dibandfagan.com</p> <p>or call:</p> <p>(248) 542-6300</p>
1-7-2012	07-CV-0174	(E.D.N.C.)	<p>Liliana Martinez-Hernandez, et al. v. Butterball, LLC Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are in violation of federal and North Carolina law, in that Butterball employees were not paid all compensation to which they were entitled under the law due to alleged off the clock pre- and post-shift and meal break work time and therefore seek to recover damages from Butterball for the alleged unpaid overtime and straight time wages.</p>	3-19-2012	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>Robert Willis (919) 821-9031</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Class Members are all current and former production employees at Butterball's Mt. Olive, North Carolina turkey processing facility between 3-30-2005 and the Effective Date of Settlement who are or were paid on a GANG time basis.		
1-10-2012	10-CV-00927	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Nucoa Real Margarine Litigation Plaintiffs allege that the Nucoa Real Margarine stick product was misleadingly labeled as a product beneficial to heart health when in fact it contained trans fat.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased Nucoa Real Margarine in stick form between 1-1-2000 and 1-6-2012.</p>	4-16-2012	<p>For more information Visit: www.nucoasettlement.com</p>
1-11-2012	10-CV-4210	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Gram v. IntelliGender, LLC Plaintiffs allege that the test created by IntelliGender to predict the sex of an unborn baby (the "Test") does not provide accurate predictions, and that the Defendant's marketing and advertising are false and misleading with respect to the Test, and that defendant's conduct violated state laws prohibiting such conduct. The claimed injuries to the Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members (as defined below) are that they were misled into believing that the Test they purchased would work as accurately as marketed.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who</p>	3-19-2012	<p>For more information visit: www.genderpredictionsettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			personally purchased a Test between 11-1-2006 and 1-31-2011, in the United States and personally used the Test.		
1-11-2012	09-CV-04797	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Wang v. Asset Acceptance LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Asset improperly furnished information to TransUnion regarding purported delinquent accounts without reporting that the consumer had disputed the debt. Plaintiff further alleges that TransUnion subsequently issued credit reports to third parties containing information regarding the subject accounts but did not indicate that the consumer had disputed the debt.</p> <p>Persons are Class Members if, between 6-22-2009 and 10-15-2009, TransUnion issued a credit report to a third-party user of such a report in connection with an application for credit, insurance or employment, where the report described one or more Asset-owned delinquent accounts that were disputed but did not contain an "Account In Dispute" or "AID" remark.</p>	4-13-2012	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p>www.wangclassactionsettlement.com</p>
1-12-2012	08-CV-5523	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re Lehman Brothers Equity/Debt Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that during the Settlement Class Period (6-12-2007 through 9-15-2008, inclusive) and in connection with the Offering Materials, Defendants made misrepresentations and omissions of material facts concerning</p>	4-12-2012	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p>www.LehmanSecuritiesLitigationSettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>certain aspects of Lehman's financial results and operations.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Lehman securities identified in Appendix A (the "Lehman Securities") pursuant or traceable to the Shelf Registration Statement and Offering Materials incorporated by reference in the Shelf Registration Statement and were damaged thereby.</p>		
1-13-2012	10-CV-03835	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Anderson, et al., v. Nationwide Credit, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that the Defendant Nationwide Credit, Inc. left a message on a telephone answering device which did not identify Defendant as the caller, state the purpose or nature of the communication, or disclose that the communication was from a debt collector during the period beginning 8-19-2009 and ending _____, 2012.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons with addresses in the U.S. who received a message left by Defendant Nationwide Credit, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Nationwide Credit") on a telephone answering device which did not identify Defendant as the caller, state the purposes or nature of the communication, or disclose that the communication was from a debit collector and which message was left during a period beginning 8-19-2009 and ending _____, 2012.</p>	5-23-2012	<p>For more information Contact:</p> <p>William F. Horn 188-01B 71st Crescent Fresh Meadows, NY 11365</p> <p>Or call:</p> <p>(718) 785-0543</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-17-2012	08-CV-12229	(D. Del.)	<p>Nantahala Capital Partners, LP v. Washington Mutual, Inc. Plaintiffs allege the Officer Defendants, as defined in the complaint, knowingly participated in a fraudulent scheme to defraud investors/Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs allege the Director Defendants and the Initial Purchaser Defendants, as defined in the complaint, participated in the dissemination of fraudulent statements without awareness of the actual fraud.</p> <p>Class Members are all parties that hold (or hereafter acquire) Litigation Tracking Warrants that were originally issued by Dime Bancorp on 12-22-2000 and relate to the litigation entitled <i>Anchor Savings Bank FSB v. United States</i>, No. 95-39C.</p>	2-1-2012	<p>For more information visit: http://www.kccllc.net/wamu</p>
1-19-2012	11-CV-1086	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re: Nutella Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendant Ferrero made statements suggesting that Nutella is healthier than it actually is.</p> <p>Class Members are two classes:</p> <p>1) The California Class includes anyone who purchased Nutella in California between 8-1-2009 and the present. 2) The Nationwide Class includes anyone who purchased Nutella in any state other than California, between 1-1-2008 and the present.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information contact:</p> <p>Joseph P. Guglielmo Scott+Scott LLP 500 Fifth Avenue 40th Floor New York, NY 10110</p> <p>Or call: (212) 223-6444</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
1-20-2012	11-CV-2818	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Ericka Mitchell v. CrossMark, Inc., Chi Management Group, LP, and CrossMark Home Improvement Services, LLC</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege violations of the California Labor Code, Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and the California Business and Professions Code. The Lawsuit seeks to certify a class of all hourly, non-exempt employees who were employed by CrossMark from 10-8-2006 through _____, 2012, (the "Settlement Class"). The lawsuit alleges that members of the Settlement Class were not timely paid all wages owed and were required to incur business expenses for which they were not fully reimbursed, and seeks recovery of alleged unpaid wages, expense reimbursement, statutory and civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former California employees of CrossMark who were employed as hourly, non-exempt employees at any time from 10-8-2006 through _____, 2012.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Write to:</p> <p>Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. 21550 Oxnard St. Suite 980 Woodland Hills, CA 91367</p>
1-20-2012	11-MD-02247	(D. Minn.)	<p>In re: Uponor, Inc., F1807 Plumbing Fittings Prod. Liability Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants designed, marketed and sold RTI Plumb-PEX Plumbing systems, containing ASTM standard F1807 brass insert fittings and stainless steel clamps that may leak and cause damage to property.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p>www.RTIsettlement.com</p> <p>or write to:</p> <p>Shawn M. Raiter</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members: Anyone who owns or has owned a property containing a TRI Plumb-PEX Plumbing System with ASTM standard F1807 brass insert fittings and stainless steel clamps that was installed on or after 5-15-1999. Also included are people and entities that paid for damages or repairs caused by a leak resulting from an RTI Plumb-PEX Plumbing Systems design or manufacturing defect.</p>		<p>Larson King, LLP 30 East Seventh St. Suite 2800 Saint Paul, MN 55101</p>
1-26-2012	08-CV-6171	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re Wachovia Equity Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made materially untrue and misleading statements and omissions resulting in violations of Sections 10(b), 20(a) and 20A of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Wachovia common stock between 5-8-2006 and 9-29-2008, inclusive, and were damaged thereby and a subclass of all Persons who acquired Wachovia common stock through any of Wachovia's: (a) offerings of common stock in connection with its acquisitions of Golden West Financial Corp., and/or A.G. Edwards, Inc., and/or (b) 5-14-2008 common stock offering, and were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>c/o Rust Consulting, Inc., Claims Administrator P.O. Box 8027, Faribault, MN 55021-9427</p>
1-26-2012	07-CV-142	(D. Del.)	<p>Rochester Drug Co-operative, Inc., et al. v. Braintree Laboratories, Inc.</p>		<p>For more information visit:</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Plaintiffs allege that Braintree violated federal antitrust laws by engaging in an unlawful scheme to delay or block the market entry of a less expensive, generic version of MiraLax. Plaintiffs also allege that this unlawful scheme involved: (1) improperly listing Patent No. 5,710,183 in the "Orange Book," a document maintained by the Food and Drug Administration; and (2) filing and pursuing sham litigation against a potential generic competitor.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities in the United States or U.S. territories that purchased MiraLax directly from Braintree at any time from 12-23-2003 through 12-1-2006.</p>		<p>www.heffler/miralaxsettlement.com</p> <p>write to:</p> <p>Eric L. Cramer Berger & Montague, PC 1622 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102</p> <p>Or call:</p> <p>(215) 875-3000</p>
1-26-2012	06-CV-1231	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>In re InfoSonics Securities Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that during the period 2-6-2006 through 8-9-2006, Defendants made misrepresentations concerning: (i) the demand in the U.S. market for InfoSonics' VK Mobile 530 phone and concealed InfoSonics' U.S. sales; and (ii) improperly delayed until 6-12-2006, the disclosure that InfoSonics' financial statements for the first quarter ended 3-31-2006 would need to be restated. It is further alleged that these materially false and misleading statements were made with knowledge of, or in reckless disregard of the true facts.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased InfoSonics' publicly traded securities during</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>(800) 983-6533</p> <p>or visit:</p> <p>www.abdatalawserve.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in January, 2012 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			the period from 2-6-2006 through 8-9-2006.		
1-30-2012	11-CV-00307	(S.D. Tex.)	<p>Ian Pastorius and Brian Starr vs. Lowe's Home Center, Inc. and Lowe's HIW, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants: (1) misclassified their Loss Prevention Managers as exempt employees under the FLSA and equivalent state wage and hour laws and (2) failed to pay LPMs overtime compensation for hours worked over 40 in a week or over the maximum daily or weekly hours worked allowed under the applicable state law.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were employed by Defendants Lowe's HIW, Inc. and/or Lowe's Home Center, Inc. as a Loss Prevention Manager at any time from 7-5-2008 through [date of preliminary approval of settlement agreement].</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Bruckner Burch PLLC Richard Burch, Esq. 8 Greenway Plaza Suite 1500 Houston, Tex. 77046</p> <p>Or call: (713) 877-8788</p>