

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
7-1-2011	10-CV-2763	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Ducharme v. John C. Heath Attorney At Law, PLLC</b> Plaintiff alleges two counts for violation of the Credit Repair Organizations Act ("CROA"), a count for violation of California's Unfair Competition Law and a count for Unjust Enrichment/Restitution against Defendants.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who entered into a credit repair contract with Heath PLLC or Fullman or any related or affiliated entities or individuals, including but not limited to any entities or individuals known as Lexington Law Firm or Lexington Law California.</p> <p>Settlement: Defendants have agreed to provide between \$6,250,000 and \$12,500,000 worth of Defendants' "IdentityProtect" services to the settlement class members.</p> <p>There are approximately 2,346 District residents in the settlement class.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information <a href="http://www.croasettlement.com">www.croasettlement.com</a></p> <p>or</p> <p>Adam Fullman or John Heath 360 North Cutler Dr. Salt Lake City, Utah, 74054</p>
7-5-2011	09-CV-18053	(C.C. Ill.)	<p><b>Christine Doering v. KFC Corporation</b> Plaintiff alleged that in the summer of 2009, four putative class actions were filed that challenged KFC's handling of the Oprah Promotion. The Plaintiff brought claims for breach of contract, fraud/misrepresentation, and violation of various states' consumer fraud statutes. It was also alleged that KFC</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Jay Edelson Michael J. McMorrow Edelson McGuire LLC 350 North LaSalle Suite 1300 Chicago, Il. 60654</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>failed to properly disclose that the topical seasoning for Kentucky Grilled Chicken® contained "beef products."</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who (a) downloaded an Original or PDF Coupon between 5-5-2009 at 9:00am central time and 5-6-2009 at 11:59pm central time from Oprah.com or unthinkkfc.com, and (b) did not receive (i) the KGC Free Meal pursuant to the Original Coupon, the PDF Coupon, or the RainCheck Coupon, (ii) a "Chicken Check" or other compensation from KFC in response to a complaint concerning the Oprah Promotion, or (iii) a free meal or other consideration at a restaurant unaffiliated with Defendants that agreed to accept the KFC Coupon.</p> <p>There are 1,489 District residents in the settlement class.</p>		
7-6-2011	11-CV-67	(S.D. Iowa)	<p><b>Kelly, et al. v. Phiten USA, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleges Defendant made false and misleading statements in connection with advertising and marketing of Phiten Products.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased a Phiten Product in the United States during the Class Period, 2-14-2007 to (date of preliminary approval).</p> <p>Settlement: Defendant has agreed to pay up to \$3.5 million to the settlement fund.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information</p> <p>Hudson Mallaney Shindler &amp; Anderson, P.C. J. Barton Goplerud, Esq. 5015 Grand Ridge Dr, Suite 100 West Des Moines, IA 50265</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
 Received in July, 2011 by the  
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			There are 1,923 DC Residents identified as class members in this action.		Or web site <a href="http://www.p-usasettlement.com">www.p-usasettlement.com</a>
7-6-2011	09-CV-625	(N.D. Okla.)	<p><b>Ryals, et al. v. Hire Right Solutions, Inc.</b>          Plaintiff alleges that certain policies and practices of Defendants in connection with background checks sold by HireRight Solutions to prospective employers are in willful violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"). Specifically, defendants (1) failed to provide consumers with notice that they were furnishing an employment-purposed consumer report "at the time" they were doing so; (2) failed to follow proper procedures for providing information to consumers upon their request; (3) failed to follow proper procedures for initiating and resolving consumer disputes; (4) failed to timely update employment applications' criminal record histories to show that such records have been expunged; and, (5) failed to ensure that criminal records were not duplicatively reported in a single background check report.</p> <p>Class Members are all consumers for whom HireRight Solutions issued a consumer report for employment purposes requiring notice under §1681k(a), on or after 10-5-2004 but on or before 10-15-2010.</p> <p>Settlement: (1) If HireRight Solutions issued your consumer report on or after 10-5-</p>	11-3-2011	<p>For more information</p> <p>Class Counsel          Leonard A. Bennett          Consumer Litigation          Associates, P.C.          12515 Warwick          Boulevard, Suite 100          Newport News, Va.          23606</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>2004 but before 12-17-2007 - an expected net payment of approximately \$10.00; (2) If HireRight Solutions issued your consumer report on or after 12-17-2007 but before 6-25-2008 - an expected net payment of approximately \$55.27; (3) If HireRight Solutions issued your consumer report on or after 6-25-2008, but on or before 10-15-2010 - an expected net payment of approximately \$16.00.</p>		
7-7-2011	08-CV-456	(D.R.I.)	<p><b>Trombley v. Bank of America</b> Plaintiffs allege Bank of America violated the Truth in Lending Act and breached the contract between credit card holders and the Bank of America when Bank of America failed to post same-day credit for payments made on or before the due date for credit card payments.</p> <p>Class consists of all persons who, at any time between 8-1-2006 and 2-22-2010, had a credit card account with FIA and made a qualifying payment in connection with that credit card account in person at a Bank of America banking center, or by phone using Bank of America's pay-by-phone service, or electronically using Bank of America's online banking services, and incurred a late payment fee, finance charge, or other fees, penalties or charges in connection with the timing of such payment that was not waived or refunded.</p>	12-8-2011	<p>Website not yet established Class Counsel is Donovan Searls &amp; Axler LLC <a href="http://www.donovansearles.com">www.donovansearles.com</a> Michael D. Donovan 1845 Walnut Street Suite 1100 Philadelphia, PA 19103</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Under the proposed settlement agreement Class Members would receive either a cash payment or an account credit up to \$28.00 for fees or penalties imposed on their credit card accounts by filing a timely claim.</p> <p>Approximately 1,121 Class Members reside in the District.</p>		
7-8-2011	09-CV-10087	(S.D. N.Y.)	<p><b>In re Canadian Superior Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Canadian Superior and certain of its current and former officers and directors (collectively, the "Defendants") disseminated misrepresentations in connection with the drilling of an offshore, exploratory gas well, "Mariner 1-85", in the Nova Scotia basin from 11-2003 until 3-2004.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased securities of Canadian Superior Energy, Inc. ("Canadian Superior"), in Canada, from 11-1-2003 to 3-11-2004.</p> <p>Settlement: The sum of \$2.15 million (the "Settlement Fund") shall be paid to settle the claims of all Class Members, wherever they may reside.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information <a href="http://www.classaction.ca">www.classaction.ca</a> or <a href="http://www.jssbarristers.ca/">www.jssbarristers.ca/</a> and <a href="http://www.rgrdlaw.com">www.rgrdlaw.com</a>  or hard copy call 1-800-461-6166 ext. 217</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
7-11-2011	08-MD-1919	(W.D. Wash.)	<p><b>In re Washington Mutual, Inc. Securities Litigation</b>            Plaintiffs allege Defendant violated federal securities laws including inflation of security prices.</p> <p>Class consists of all persons and/or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired the following securities issued by WMI and its subsidiaries: WMI common stock; Floating Rate Notes offered in WMI's 8-2006 Offering (CUSIP 939322AW3); the 7.250% Notes offered in WMI's 10-2007 Offering (CUSIP 939322AY9); the 7.75% Series R Non-Cumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock offered in WMI's 12-2007 Offering (CUSIP 939322814); and Washington Mutual Capital Trust 2001's 5.375% Trust Preferred Income Equity Redeemable Securities (PIERS) Units, maturing 7-1-2041 ("Capital Trust Unit Preferred") (CUSIP 939322848) (collectively, the "WMI Class Securities") from 10-19-2005 to 7-23-2008 (the "Class Period"), and were damaged thereby.</p> <p>Settlement Fund of \$105 million has been reserved by Defendant's underwriters. Class Members who submit timely claims for damages will be awarded benefit payments from the settlement fund when approved by the court.</p>	Not set yet	Class Counsel: Hannah G. Ross Bernstein Lifowitz Berger & Grossman LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas NY, NY 10019 (800) 380-8496 blbg@blbglaw.com Web site not yet established

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
7-11-2011	10-CV-03942	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Smith v. TeleNav, Inc. et al.</b> Plaintiff alleges that TeleNav and certain of its executives and underwriters violated the federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements and omissions in TeleNav's Registration Statement and Prospectus issued in connection with the Company's 5-13-2010 Initial Public Offering regarding contract renegotiations with Sprint Nextel Corporation - TeleNav's largest and most significant customer. Class Members suffered damages as a result of the decline in the price of TeleNav's common stock.</p> <p>Class Members are all Persons who purchased TeleNav common stock between 5-13-2010 and 9-2-2011, inclusive.</p> <p>Settlement: TeleNav has agreed to pay \$3,800,000 in cash. The Settlement Fund will be divided among all eligible Class Members who send in valid Proofs of Claim.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information 1-800-449-4900 Or <a href="http://www.gilardi.com">www.gilardi.com</a></p>
7-12-2011	10-CV-1068	(W.D. La.)	<p><b>Johnson v. Recatto et al.</b> Plaintiffs allege Defendants violated federal securities laws in making statements or omitting facts from the Proxy Statement (in relation to a proposed merger) that were material, false, and misleading and Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to stockholders.</p>	11-17-2011	<p>Bull &amp; Lifshitz, LLP 18 East 41<sup>st</sup> Street NY, NY 10017 (212) 213-6222</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class consists of all record or beneficial holders of OMNI's common stock at any time from 6-4-2010 to 10-27-2010.</p> <p>The proposed settlement to make certain supplemental disclosures via a Form 8-k is declared in a Memorandum of Understanding between the parties.</p>		
7-12-2011	09-CV-01376	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Wells Fargo Mortgage Backed Certificates Litigations</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege violations of Federal Securities Laws including False Statements and misrepresentations of the value and stability of mortgage backed certificates.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or acquired mortgage pass-through certificates pursuant or traceable to Wells Fargo Assets Securities Corporation's 7-29-2005 Registration Statement.</p> <p>Wells Fargo and individual defendants have proposed a settlement of \$125 million to resolve this class action. After lead plaintiff, class counsel, and expenses are paid the net settlement fund will be distributed to authorized claimants who timely submit acceptable claim forms under the court approved allocation plan. Share of net settlement will depend on the aggregate number of Wells Fargo mortgage backed pass-thru certificates represented by valid claim</p>	10-27-2011	<p>For more information</p> <p><a href="http://www.wellsfargormbslitigation.com">www.wellsfargormbslitigation.com</a></p> <p>or</p> <p>claim form</p> <p><a href="http://www.blbglaw.com">www.blbglaw.com</a></p> <p>or write to:</p> <p>Berstein Litowitz Berger &amp; Grossmann LLP David R. Stickney Niki L. Mendoza 12481 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300 San Diego, California 92130-3582</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			forms relative to the net settlement fund; how many mortgage pass-thru certificates were purchased, whether the certificates were held or sold, and at what price they were sold.		
7-13-2011	07-CV-692	(S.D. Ohio)	<p><b>Moody v. Turner Corporation</b> Plaintiffs allege the Employees' Cash Balance Retirement Plan of The Turner Corporation failed to calculate benefits in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and the terms of the Plan.</p> <p>Class includes all persons who, prior to reaching age 65, received a lump sum distribution from the employees' cash balance retirement plan of the Turner Corporation at anytime on or before 8-17-2006.</p> <p>The net settlement benefit is estimated at \$9.8 million with benefits being divided among two subgroups of Class Members; A) Class Members who were paid their original lump sum within 6 years of the date the complaint was filed (between 8-21-2001 and 8-17-2006); and B) Class Members who were paid their original lump sum more than 6 years prior to the date the complaint was filed (between 1-1-1994 and 8-20-2001). Average estimated benefits for Subgroup A) \$9,900 and Subgroup B) \$1,100.</p> <p>Two District residents are Class Members.</p>	10-18-2011	<p><a href="http://www.moodypensionclassaction.com">www.moodypensionclassaction.com</a> Class Counsel: Eli Gottesdiener Gottesdiener Law Firm PLLC 498 7<sup>th</sup> Street Brooklyn NY 11215 (718) 788-1500</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
7-15-2011	10-CV-6928	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Omoruyi, et al. v. Guaranteed Rate, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleged that Guaranteed Rate (GR) failed to pay its loan officers overtime wages as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act. It is also alleged that GR improperly deducted the costs of sales-lead generation from loan officers' wages in violation of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act.</p> <p>Class Members are defined as all loan officers with the title Direct Mortgage Consultant and employees with equivalent job duties who worked for GR at any time between 6-1-2007 and 8-2-2011.</p> <p>Settlement: GR has agreed to pay \$45,000.00 - \$135,000.00 for Approved Claims and up to \$150,000.00 for debt adjustment.</p>	10-20-2011	<p>For more information</p> <p><b>Richard J. Doherty Bock &amp; Hatch LLC 134 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1000 Chicago, Ill. 60602</b></p> <p>Claim form call (855) 477-6926.</p>
7-20-2011	10-CV-8090	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Victoria Radaviciute v. Christian Audigier, Inc., Audigier, Inc.,</b> Plaintiff allege that the Defendants printed the expiration dates on its customers' credit or debit card number on receipts presented to them at Ed Hardy and/or Christian Audigier store locations in violation of FACTA.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who received an electronically printed receipt from any Ed Hardy or Christian Audigier store in the United States at the point of sale or transaction or via any internet purchase from</p>	10-19-2011	<p>For more information</p> <p><b>Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. Adam M. Tamburelli Zimmerman Law Offices, P.C. 77 West Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Ill. 60602 (312) 440-0020 (312) 440-4180</b></p> <p><a href="http://www.attorneyzim.com">www.attorneyzim.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>an Ed Hardy or Christian Audigier website occurring after 6-3-2008, which receipt displays the person's credit card or debit card expiration date.</p> <p>Settlement Class Members are eligible to receive a 25% discount off the total pre-tax purchase price from any Ed Hardy or Christian Audigier Store which will be held on 24 separate dates over the course of 12 weeks, with two "customer appreciation days" taking place each week over the 12 week period. This discount cannot be applied to any item already on sale and the maximum discount per transaction and per day is \$100.</p>		
7-20-2011	08-CV-4966	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Cadence Design Systems Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiff alleges Cadence and certain of its executives violated the federal securities laws by engaging in a scheme to manage revenues &amp; earnings, and to misrepresent and conceal Cadence's true financial and business condition.</p> <p>Class consists of all persons who purchased Cadence Design System's common stock between 4-23-2008 and 12-10-2008.</p> <p>Settlement of \$38 million will be distributed among all Class Members who submit qualified and timely claims in accordance with the court approved settlement plan.</p>	Not set yet	<p>Claims Administrator Gilardi &amp; Co. LLC <a href="http://www.gilardi.com">www.gilardi.com</a> P.O. Box 8040 San Rafael, CA 94912-8040 (800) 447-7657</p> <p>Class Counsel: Jeffrey Light Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP 655 W. Broadway , Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 231-1058</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
7-22-2011	09-MD-02029	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Online DVD Rental Antitrust Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege on or before 5-19-2005 Defendants completed and entered into an illegal anticompetitive agreement to divide the markets for sales and online rentals of DVDs in the United States, with the purpose and effect of monopolizing and unreasonably restraining trade for online DVD rentals. Since entering the agreement, neither Wal-Mart Stores nor Wal-Mart.com has rented DVDs online and NetFlix has not sold new DVDs.</p> <p>Class includes any person or entity living in the U.S or Puerto Rico that paid a subscription fee to Netflix to rent DVDs anytime from 5-19-2005 to 9-30-2010.</p> <p>A settlement has been reached with Wal-Mart, but NetFlix has NOT settled the lawsuit. Wal-Mart has established a settlement fund of \$27,250,000 to be paid in cash or gift cards. The total "settlement fund" shall be equally divided by the total number of Class Members who submit timely qualifying claims.</p>	Not set yet	<p><a href="http://www.OnlineDVDclass.com">www.OnlineDVDclass.com</a> Class Counsel: Robert G. Abrams Baker &amp; Hostetler LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036</p> <p>(202) 861-1699</p>
7-22-2011	8-CV-2298	(N.D. Ga.)	<p><b>In re: NetBank, Inc. Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiff alleges that NetBank publicly issued false financial statements of its operating results and financial condition, as well as misrepresentations and omissions concerning its business operations.</p>	11-9-2011	<p>For more information Call 1 (800) 768-8450 or <a href="http://www.hrsclaimsadministration.com">www.hrsclaimsadministration.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons who, during the period 3-16-2005 through and including 5-21-2007, purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly-registered common stock of NetBank, Inc., held such stocks as of 5-21-2007, and were damaged as a result.</p> <p>Settlement: Defendants' insurers have paid \$12.5 million into an escrow account that is earning interest for the benefit of the Class. Depending on the number of eligible shares purchased by Class Members who elect to participate in the settlement and when those shares were purchased and sold, the average distribution is estimated to be \$0.664 per each average damaged share purchased in the Class Period.</p>		
7-25-2011	10-CV-01455	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Melissa Ferrington, Cheryl Schmidt, Christopher Bennett and Christi Hall v. McAfee, Inc.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that their debit and credit card accounts had been charged on a monthly basis because of a post-transaction marketing scheme.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who during the Class Period purchased software from MacAfee's website and subsequently accepted an Arpu pop-up advertisement offer presented at the conclusion of the McAfee transaction and were charged by Arpu for the product, service or</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information</p> <p><a href="http://www.ArpuMcAfeePopUpAd.info">www.ArpuMcAfeePopUpAd.info</a></p> <p>go to or call</p> <p><a href="mailto:AFriedman@cohenmilstein.com">AFriedman@cohenmilstein.com</a></p> <p>Class Counsel Andrew Friedman 202 408-4600</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
 Received in July, 2011 by the  
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>software sold in the Arpu pop-up.</p> <p>Settlement: Class Members who were billed by Arpu monthly, five dollars for each month the claimant paid charges to Arpu for Software, up to a maximum of thirty dollars per claim and for those Plaintiff Settlement Class Members who were billed annually for an annual Software license, five dollars for each prepaid month, up to a maximum of thirty dollars per claim; or Family Protection for either: (i) six months, for those Plaintiff Settlement Class Members who demonstrate fewer than six months of charges paid to Arpu for Software; or (ii) twelve months, for those who demonstrate six months or more of charges paid to Arpu for Software.</p>		
7-26-2011	9-CV-1746	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Duffy, et al. v. The Ticket Reserve, Inc.</b>          Plaintiff alleges that Defendant The Ticket Reserve, Inc. breached contracts and warranties with Plaintiffs and Class Members by not honoring all DIBZ and uDIBZ request for online account funds.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who, through an online account created with and maintained by The Ticket Reserve, Inc., purchased, traded and/or sold DIBZ or uDIBZ through the website associated with firstdibz.com and a) have not actually received payment of withdrawals requested from their online account(s) maintained by</p>	11-2-2011	<p>For more information</p> <p><b>Andrew J. Sciolla, Esq.</b>          Pogust Braslow &amp; Millrood, LLC          Eight Tower Breidge, Suite 1520          161 Washington Street          Conshohocken, Penn 19428  <a href="mailto:asciolla@pbmattorneys.com">asciolla@pbmattorneys.com</a>          (610) 941-4204 ext.</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Defendant ("Subclass a"); and/or b) have had a DIBZ and uDIBZ transaction reversed or voided by Defendant between 12-1-2008 and 2-1-2009 based on Defendant having concluded that the transaction was affected by third-party fraud on the firstdibz.com website.</p> <p>Settlement: Defendant has agreed to pay up to \$433,520.74 to settle all Class Members' claims. If the Court approves the settlement, every class member has a right to receive at least \$100.00, and those settlement Class Members who requested a withdrawal from their online accounts have a right to receive up to but not exceeding 62% of the balance of their online accounts that were not returned and/or refunded through a credit card vendor, all based on Defendant's records.</p>		105
7-28-2011	10-CV-02722	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Kramer v. B2 Mobile, Inc., and LeadClick Media, Inc.</b></p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act because consumers did not consent to receive text message advertisements.</p> <p>Class Members: Anyone in the United States and its territories who, from 1-1-2008 until (date of preliminary approval), received an automobile-related text message from Short Code 77893 or an automobile-related text message containing one of the website links,</p>	Not set yet	For more information <a href="http://www.TextAdClass.com">www.TextAdClass.com</a>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>that was transmitted by or on behalf of B2Mobile and was sent without the recipient's prior express consent.</p> <p>Settlement Funds totaling \$12.2 million, made up of a \$10 million LeadClick Settlement Fund and a \$2.2 million B2Mobile Settlement Fund. Class Members may be eligible for a payment up to \$100. The actual amount of payments will be based on the number of valid claim forms received.</p>		
7-29-2011	10-CV-1171	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>James Rafton v. Rydex Series Funds, et al.</b> Plaintiffs alleged that the Registration Statements contained false and misleading statements and omissions regarding the Rydex Fund. The Registration Statement gave the false impression that the Rydex Fund would track the inverse of price movements of the Long Treasury Bond over periods of time longer than a single day. It is also alleged that the undisclosed risks of holding the Rydex Fund for longer than a single day materialized, resulting in losses to investors.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who acquired shares of the Rydex Inverse Government Long Bond Strategy Fund (the "Rydex Fund"), during the period from 8-1-2007 through 7-31-2009, inclusive, and had compensable damages.</p> <p>Settlement fund of \$5,500,000 was</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information go to: <a href="http://www.RydexFundSettlement.com">www.RydexFundSettlement.com</a> or <a href="http://www.sparerlaw.com">www.sparerlaw.com</a></p> <p>or write to: Alan W. Sparer c/o Sparer Law Group 100 Pine St, 33<sup>rd</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
Received in July, 2011 by the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>established. Recovery will vary depending on the number of shares acquired during the Class Period through purchase or reinvestment of dividends ("Qualified Shares"). Assuming that all eligible Class Member participate in the settlement, the estimated average recovery will be approximately \$0.036 per qualified share that sustained losses.</p>		
7-29-2011	05-CV-2724	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Cassese v. Washington Mutual, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleges fees were charged prior to satisfaction and settlement of Named Plaintiffs' and Class Members' home loans, mortgage loans, co-op loans, home equity loans or home equity lines of credit. These charges allegedly included various fees (also referred to by Plaintiffs as "penalties" and "finance charges"), including, but not limited to, fees that are often but not always listed on payoff statements or elsewhere as i) Fax Fees; ii) Payoff Statement Fees; iii) Recording Fees; and iv) "UCC-3 fees" in connection with requests for payoff statements or payoff amounts or the prepayment, repayment, discharge, satisfaction or settlement of loans secured by a residence (collectively, the "Disputed Fees"). It is not alleged that borrowers were required by Defendants to pay each of the Disputed Fees.</p> <p>Class Members that paid any Disputed Fee to WMB or any of its "Washington Mutual" branded</p>	9-15-2011	<p>Website: <a href="http://www.casseseactionsettlement.com">www.casseseactionsettlement.com</a></p> <p>Claims filing deadline: 8-31-2011</p> <p>Claims Administrator P.O. Box 6177 Novato, CA 94948-61777 (877) 695-7497</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
 Received in July, 2011 by the  
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>subsidiaries, between 1-1-1998 and 9-25-2008 (the Class Period") are entitled to submit a claim to be eligible to receive a payment from the settlement fund.</p> <p>Settlement: WMI has agreed to pay \$13,000,000 Dollars into the Cassese/WMI Settlement Account, to make payments to Class Members who paid any Disputed Fees during the Class Period and who timely complete and submit a valid Proof of Claim and Release Form.</p> <p>Approximately 9,594 residents of DC are potential Class Members.</p>		