Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
7-1-2013	11-MD-02293	(S.D.N.Y)	In re: Electronic Books Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that there was a conspiracy among MacMillan, Penguin, and other top U.S. publishers and Apple Inc. ("Apple") to fix and raise retail prices of E-books. Class Members are: 1) purchasers of an E-book that was published by Hachette, HarperCollins, Simon & Schuster, Macmillan, or Penguin (as well as all other names under which these publishers also publish E-books); 2) whose purchases were made from 4-1-2010 through 5-21-2012 and 3) who were residents of a) any U.S. State or Commonwealth, b) the District of Columbia, or c) one of the five U.S. Territories at the time of purchase.	Not set yet	For more information visit, write or call: www.EBooksAGSettlement.com E-books AG Settlements Administrator P.O. Box 2825 Faribault, MN 55021 1 866 621-4153
7-1-2013	07-CV-02046	(C.D. Ill.)	Kim Nolte, et al. v. CIGNA Corporation, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated ERISA by causing the Plan to pay excessive fees for investment options to its service providers, providing insufficient disclosures, profiting from the sale of Cigna's Retirement and Investment Services business to Prudential, and investing in the Plan's Fixed Income Fund in an annuity contract backed by the assets of the general account of an insurance company, as opposed to investing in a contract backed by an insurance company in a separate account. Class Members are all persons who, at any time between 4-1-1999 and 5-31-2013 (the "Class Period"), participated in the Cigna 401(k) Plan	Not set yet	For more information write, visit, call or fax: Schlichter, Bogard & Denton Attn: Cigna ERISA Settlement 100 S. Fourth St. Suite 900 St. Louis, MO 63102 www.cigna401ksettlemen t.com 314 621-6115 314 621-7151

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue ("the Plan"), or were surviving spouses, beneficiaries or alternate payees of persons who participated in the Plan between 4-1-1999 and 5- 31-2013.	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
7-3-2013	10-CV-00302 12-CV-05122 12-CV-05126	(C.D. Cal.)	Maine State Ret. Sys. v. Countrywide Financial Corp.(CFC) Western Conf. of Teamsters v. CFC Luther v. CFC Plaintiffs allege Defendants violated the federal securities laws, made material misstatements and omissions concerning Countrywide's loan origination and underwriting practices, including how properties were appraised, how borrowers were deemed credit worthy and how the value of the collateral underlying loans was determined. Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the individual securities issued as part of the 429 Offerings at issue in the action (the "Certificates") during the period 3-12-2004 through [the date of preliminary approval].	Not set yet	For more information write to: Steven J. Toll Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC 1100 New York Ave. NW Suite 500, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20005
7-8-2013	13-CV-11212	(D. Mass.)	Brenner v. J.C. Penny Company, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that J.C. Penny unlawfully collected ZIP codes in conjunction with a credit card purchase. J.C. Penny used customers' ZIP codes to identify their mailing addresses to which J.C. Penny targeted marketing materials. Class Members are all persons from whom J.C. Penny requested and recorded a ZIP code in	10-9-2013	For more information write, call or fax: Christopher B. Parkerson One Constitution Center Third Floor Boston, MA 02129 617 241-3000

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			conjunction with a credit card transaction in a Massachusetts retail store from 3-10-2009 through 3-13-2013, and for whom J.C. Penny maintains an email address or mailing address.		617 241-5115
7-8-2013	11-CV-2769	(C.D. Cal.)	Dan Katz, et al., v. China Century Dragon Media, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements to the investing public and the SEC. Specifically, the Complaint alleges that in connection with its Initial Public Offering, China Century overstated, by between 29% and 74,250%, its cash and cash equivalent holdings, revenue, gross profit, income from operations, and net income in its Registration Statements and Prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in February 2011. Class Members are all who purchased or otherwise acquired China Century Stock during the period from 2-7-2011 through and including 3-21-2011.	10-7-2013	For more information write to: Patrick M. Kelly David S. Eisen Patricia Ann Goldson Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 555 South Flower St. Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071
7-10-2013	05-CV-681	(S.D. Ohio)	Judith A. Patrick, et al. v. AK Steel Corporation, et al. Plaintiffs allege that AK Steel Corporation Noncontributory Pension Plan reduced benefits to AK Steel Employees' widows and widowers who earned Social Security and other similar earnings/benefits. Class Members are all beneficiaries of the AK Steel Corporation Noncontributory Pension Plan	Not set yet	For more information write to: Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP AK Plan Settlement 301 East Fourth St. Suite 3500 Great American tower Cincinnati, OH 45202

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
7-10-2013	11-CV-08276 11-CV-08007 11-CV-01701 11-CV-09412 11-CV-09677	(C.D. Cal.)	entitled to a Surviving Spouse Benefit under the Plan, whose benefits were reduced for Social Security benefits or other earnings. The class period begins with 10-20-1995, and ends with 1-30-2009 for widows or widowers who received a Surviving Spouse Benefit under the Plan after 10-20-1995, and were widowed before 1-30-2009. Pappas v. Naked Juice Co. of Glendora, Inc. (Lead Case consolidated) This lawsuit is a combination of five separate class action lawsuits filed against Naked Juice. Plaintiffs allege that Naked Juice violated certain state and federal laws and consumer protection statutes in connection with the marketing and sale of certain eligible products since 9-27-2007. Plaintiffs claim that Naked Juice, in connection with the marketing and sale of eligible products, misrepresented the nature of the eligible products by falsely labeling them as "All Natural" and "Non-GMO." Class Members are all persons and entities in the United States who purchased one or more of the eligible products from 9-27-2007 up to and including the notice date.	12-2-2013	For more information write, call or email: Robert Ahdoot Ahdoot & Wolfson, PC 2355 Westwood Blvd. Suite 337 Los Angeles, CA 90064 info@ahdootwolfson.com 888 333-8996
7-12-2013	12-CV-3664	(N.D. Tex.)	Barfuss v. DGSE Companies, Inc. et al. Plaintiff alleges that individual Defendants, as senior executive officers, agents, and/or directors of DGSE and its subsidiaries and affiliates knew or recklessly disregarded the fact that adverse information regarding the	10-21-2013	For more information write or call: Thomas W. Elrod Beverly T. Mirza Ira M. Press

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue financial condition of DGSE Companies was not disclosed to, and was concealed from, the investing public. Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired DGSE common stock from 4-15-2011 through and including 4-17-2012, and were damaged thereby.	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link Kirby McInerney LLP 825 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 212 371-6600
7-12-2013	12-CV-611	(E.D. Va.)	Knight v. Lavine, et al. Plaintiff claimed that the Defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA") to the Plan, its participants, and beneficiaries by misvaluing BNA stock and by not disclosing material information so that the Plan's participants and beneficiaries could make informed decisions about whether or not to retain BNA stock. Class Members are all persons who were participants in, or who were beneficiaries of, the BNA 401(k) Plan at any time from 3-10-2011 through 8-25-2011, and whose Plan accounts were invested in Company Stock, and who divested Company Stock from their Plan account during the Class Period.	Not set yet	For more information write or e-mail: William A. Sherman, II Dinsmore & Shohl LLP 801 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Suite 610 Washington, DC 20004
7-15-2013	07-CV-05107	(C.D. Cal.)	In re: Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. and Asiana Airlines Inc., conspired in violation of the federal antitrust laws, to fix the prices	Not set yet	For more information write, visit or call: Susan g. Kupfer Glancy Binkow &

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			for air passenger travel between the U.S. and the Republic of Korea from 1-1-2000 through 8-1-2007. Class Members are all persons who bought at least one ticket for air passenger travel on Asiana Airlines or Korean Air Lines. The purchase must have been: 1) made in the U.S., 2) for a flight originating in the U.S. and ending in Korea or a flight originating in Korea and ending in the U.S., and 3) made between 1-1-2000 and 8-1-2007.		Goldberg LLP One Embarcadero Center Suite 760 San Francisco, CA 94111 www.KoreanAirPassengersCa ses.com 1 888 261-1921
7-16-2013	11-CV-02146	(N.D. Cal.)	Edhi and Charlene Rotandi v. Miles Industries, LTD. Plaintiffs allege that the Defendant failed to inform customers that the sealed glass front of gas fireplaces could be dangerous and cause serious burns from contact with the glass. Class Members are all U.S. consumers with a home or dwelling(s) who bought one or more Valor brand sealed glass-fronted fireplace(s) manufactured and distributed by Miles Industries, Ltd. of North Vancouver, Canada between 1-1-2007 and 12-31-2012 and that was installed between 1-1-2007 and [date of Court's preliminary approval].	8-20-2013	For more information call or visit: 1 866 859-7390 www.MilesFireplaceSettlement.com.
7-17-2013	12-CV-01250	(N.D. Cal.)	Palm v. Sur La Table, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Sur La Table (SLT) owes him and other employees money for the following: 1) failure to provide rest breaks; 2) failure to provide accurate wage statements; 3) failure to pay all wages due upon termination; and 4) related penalties and interest.	8-5-2013	For more information write to: Richard A. Hoyer Hoyer & Associates 4 Embarcadero Center Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94114

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			Class Members are all persons employed by SLT as non-exempt hourly employees within the State of California between 7-24-2010 and date of preliminary approval.		
7-18-2013	12-CV-08238	(C.D. Cal.)	Humberto Daniel Klee and David Wallak v. Nissan North America, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that 2011-2012 model years Nissan LEAF™ vehicles contained a "thermal management" defect, where batteries lost charge over time at an excessive rate when operated in extreme temperatures and that the vehicles do not have the driving range represented by Nissan. The Plaintiffs brought claims against Nissan for breach of implied warranty; unjust enrichment; intentional misrepresentation; negligent misrepresentation; and violation of various State consumer protection statutes. Class Members are all current or former owners or lessees of a 2011-2012 Nissan LEAF™ vehicle in the United States and its territories, including Puerto Rico.	11-18-2013	For more information write to: Jordan L. Lurie Capstone Law APC 1840 Century Park East Suite 450 Los Angeles, CA 90067
7-19-2013	12-CV-06799	(N.D. Ill.)	Samantha Price, Natalie Fisher, Natalie Custy, and Mark Gonzales v. BP Products North America Inc.	11-26-2013	For more information write to:
			Plaintiffs allege that BP produced and distributed a limited quantity of gasoline with gum concentrations above specifications, and then sold it through certain retail outlets in Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin and through one		BP Objections c/o Edelman, Combs, Latturner & Goodwin, LLC 120 South LaSalle Street 18 th Floor Chicago, IL 60603

Notice	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness	Website Link
Date				Hearing	
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			retail outlet in Ohio from 8-13 through 9-7-2012.		
			Plaintiffs seek damages from BP for alleged		
			violation of consumer fraud statutes, breach of		
			express and implied warranties, strict products		
			liability and negligent practices.		
			Class Members are all owners or lessees of		
			vehicles or other conveyances with gasoline		
			powered engines that received gasoline purchased		
			at the retail outlets from 8-13 through 9-7-2012,		
			who, before 9-28-2012, either: (1) experienced		
			engine performance or drivability issues		
			resulting from the use of affected gasoline but		
			did not undertake vehicle repair or repairs; or		
			(2) undertook vehicle repair or repairs resulting		
			from the use of affected gasoline.		
7-22-2013	12-CV-10267	(E.D. Mich.)	Jane Simpson, et al. v. Citizens Bank	Not set	For more information
	12-CV-11604		Shirley D. Liddell v. Citizens Bank, et al.	yet	write to:
			Plaintiffs allege that Citizens Bank posted Debit		T. 55 Y. 6.1
			Card Transactions during overnight processing in		Jeffrey M. Ostrow Kopelowitz Ostrow P.A.
			the order of highest-to-lowest dollar amount,		200 SW 1 st Avenue
			which Plaintiffs allege resulted in an increased number of overdraft fees assessed to customers.		Suite 1200
			number of overdraft fees assessed to customers.		Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
			Class Members are all who: 1) have or had one or		
			more Citizens Bank consumer checking, demand		
			deposit or savings accounts that could be		
			accessed with a Debit Card and, 2) at any time		
			between 1-20-2006, through and including 1-20-		
			2012, where an overdraft fee was incurred as a		
			result of Citizens Bank's practice of posting		
			debit card transactions from highest to lowest		
			dollar amount.		

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
7-23-2013	12-CV-02386	(D. Kan.)	Teresa Scott, et al. v. Lifetouch Portrait Studios Inc., et al. Plaintiff alleges that Lifetouch Portrait Studios Inc. ("Lifetouch"), which now owns and operates studios previously owned by Olan Mills, Inc. ("Olan Mills"), violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act by displaying the last five digits of credit or debit account numbers on printed sales receipts. Class Members are all persons who used a credit or debit card at any Olan Mills Portrait Studio location between 2-15-2007, and 12-31-2012, and who received a printed receipt displaying more than the last five digits of the credit or debit account number and/or the expiration date of the card.	9-30-2013	For more information write to: Michael F. Brady Brady & Associates Law Office 10901 Lowell Avenue Suite 280 Overland Park, KS 66210
7-23-2013	05-CV-01657	(E.D. La.)	In re: Vioxx Products Liability Litigation Plaintiff alleges that: 1) Merck falsely marketed Vioxx as having benefits greater than "non- selective" Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) like ibuprofen or naproxen, when no such benefits had been established; and 2) Merck misbranded Vioxx. Plaintiff alleges Merck's false marketing practices caused consumers to pay a higher price for Vioxx instead of using a less expensive alternative, such as an NSAID, or no medication at all. Class Members are all individual consumers who paid (or were required to pay) for all or part of the purchase price of Vioxx before 10-1-2004.	11-22-2013	For more information write to: Russ Herman Co-Lead Class Counsel Herman, Herman & Katz, LLC 820 O'Keefe Avenue New Orleans, LA 70113

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
2430			Summary of Issue	Date	
7-24-2013	10-CV-00407	(D.R.I.)	Capalli v. BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that BJ's breached customer contracts or unfairly profited by renewing memberships on the date the original membership expired rather than the date the membership was renewed by the customer. Class Members are all individuals who received a renewal notice in the mail or by email from BJ's. The settlement includes current and former BJ's members who, from 10-1-2000 to 6-30-2013, renewed their membership at least 16 days after their prior membership expired and whose renewal membership expired less than one year from the date it was purchased.	Not set yet	For more information write to: Marc R. Stanley Stanley Lola, LLP 3100 Monticello Avenue Suite 750 Dallas, TX 75205
7-26-2013	12-CV-02721	(N.D. Cal.)	Dixon, et al. v. Cost Plus, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Cost Plus common shareholders when Defendants agreed to sell Cost Plus shares to Bed Bath & Beyond without making an attempt to shop the company with any third parties, and further protected the deal by agreeing to a noshop provision and a termination fee in order to discourage any larger sale offers from being pursued. Plaintiffs additionally allege the Cost Plus Board made false and misleading statements to the SEC in filing the Recommendation of Sale Statement, which failed to supply material information to shareholders to assist them in making an informed decision regarding the proposed sale of the company to Bed Bath and Beyond.	12-5-2013	For more information write to: Rebecca Jarmon Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross LLP 600 Third Avenue 20 th Floor New York, NY 10016

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Class Members include any and all beneficial holders of Cost Plus stock, including any and all of their respective successors in interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of them who held any such Cost Plus stock at any time between and including 5-9-2012, and 6-29-2012.		
7-26-2013	10-CV-3026	(W.D. Mo.)	Wilhoite v. Department of Social Services Plaintiffs allege that the Missouri Department of Social Services asserted liens and received monies in violation of the federal anti-lien statute (42U.S.C. § 1396p). Plaintiff and Intervenors allege that the anti-lien statute prohibits paying the Department of Social Services' lien from those portions of settlements and judgments that were not paid as compensation for medical expenses. Class Members are all Missouri citizens who have received Medicaid benefits and who had liens asserted and monies taken by Defendants out of third party civil settlements or judgments where Defendants identified the Medicaid recipients' claims between 2-10-2005 and 7-1-2010.	9-9-2013	For more information write to: Craig R. Heidemann Nathan A. Duncan Douglas, Haun & Heideman, P.C. 111 W. Broadway P.O. Box 117 Bolivar, MO 65613
7-26-2013	10-CV-09802	(C.D. Cal)	Anthony Avery and Zina Avery v. Spectre Performance and AutoZone Parts (sued erroneously as AutoZone, Inc.) Plaintiffs allege that certain Spectre air	11-4-2013	For more information call or e-mail:

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
Date			Summary of Issue	Date	
			filters have a small metal badge affixed to them		
			that may become detached and "sucked up" into the		205 314-0528
			throttle of an automobile engine. Plaintiffs		
			allege that, if this event occurs, the throttle		WSmith@wcqp.com
			flap may "jam open, causing the car to be stuck in an uncontrollable fast acceleration mode."		
			Plaintiffs allege that Plaintiff Zina Avery		
			purchased a Spectra filter at AutoZone and		
			experienced this situation, and suffered damage		
			to Plaintiffs' automobile. Plaintiffs allege		
			that other persons have reported the same air		
			filter defect.		
			Class Members are all persons in the U.S. who		
			purchased (as a stand-alone product, or as		
			installed in a vehicle) between 1-1-2009 and 12-		
			31-2012 from a retail store (including internet		
			retailers) in the U.S. a new Spectre Performance		
			air filter with a badge attached to the intake side of the filter, bearing one of the following		
			part numbers: 883559, 883901, 883914, 883915,		
			883916, 884309, 885056, 885058, 885350, 886366,		
			886479, 886555, 886690, 886807, 887344, 887351,		
			887417, 887420, 887421, 887432, 887440, 887597,		
			887598, 887626, 887640, 888040, 888080, 888133,		
			888205, 888208, 888221, 888241, 888243, 888602,		
			888606, 888747, 888755, 888756, 888817, 888911,		
			888918, 888925, 888997, 889054, 889332, 889360, 889392, 889401, 889482, 889564, 889687, 889762,		
			889838, 889895, 889969, 8810014,8810165.		
			000000, 000000, 0000001,0010100.		
7-31-2013	11-CV-02131	(W.D. Tenn.)	Manjunath A. Gokare, P.C., et al. v. Federal	11-22-2013	For more information
			Express Corporation		write to:
			Plaintiffs allege that when FedEx delivered to		3 1
			certain non-residential addresses, FedEx		Steven Rosenwasser Bondurant, Mixson &

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
Date			Summary of Issue	Date	
			improperly: (i) assessed residential delivery charges, (ii) added a residential surcharge to delivery area and extended delivery area surcharges and/or (iii) calculated fuel surcharges based, in part, on improperly imposed residential delivery charges and/or residential surcharges added to delivery area and extended delivery area surcharges. Class Members are all FedEx National Account holders or Nine Digit Account holders who paid a residential delivery charge, delivery area residential surcharge, extended delivery area surcharge, or fuel surcharge component, between 8-28-2008 and 7-13-2011, for one or more FedEx shipments and did not receive a full refund of those charges.		Elmore, LLP 3900 One Atlantic Center 1201 W. Peachtree St. NW Atlanta, GA 30309-3417