

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
6-3-2013	11-CV-01978	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Carl Evans, Donald Spencer, Valerie Spencer and Naomi Hemingway v. Linden Research, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Linden Research closed their Second Life accounts against their wishes and failed to return to them their money, virtual items, and virtual land in their closed accounts.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons whose assets, including virtual items, virtual land, and/or currency in Lindens and/or U.S. dollars, have been deliberately and intentionally converted by Defendant Linden's suspension or closure of their Second Life account.</p>	11-14-2013	No information
6-3-2013	09-CV-440 League	(W.D. Ky.)	<p>Dana Bowers, et al. v. Windstream Kentucky East, LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Windstream violated federal and state laws in collecting from the Settlement Class a Kentucky Gross Receipts Surcharge on certain services without including it in appropriate tariffs.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were customers at any time from 6-1-2007 to 5-29-2012 and were assessed a Kentucky Gross Receipts Surcharge.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>David T. Royse Douglas F. Brent Deborah T. Eversole Stoll, Keenon Ogden PLLC 2000 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson St. Louisville, KY 40202</p>
6-3-2013	08-CV-00042	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Precision Associates, Inc., et al. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) LTD, et al. Defendants: Morrison Express Logistics Pte. Ltd. (Singapore) and Morrison Express Corporation (U.S.A.),</p>	8-13-2013	<p>For more information call or e-mail:</p> <p>Mary Ellen Hennessy 312 902-5331</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Amended Fairness Hearing Date (collectively, "Morrison Express").</p> <p>Kuehne + Nagel International AG and Kuehne + Nagel, Inc. (collectively, "Kuehne + Nagel")</p> <p>Deutsche Bahn AG, Schenker AG, Schenker, Inc., BAX Global, Inc., and DB Schenker (collectively, "Schenker")</p> <p>Nishi-Nippon Railroad Co., Ltd.) ("Nishi-Nippon")</p> <p>Vantec Corporation and Vantec World Transport (USA), Inc.) (collectively Vantec")</p> <p>United Aircargo Consolidators, Inc. ("UAC")</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated antitrust laws, by fixing various charges and surcharges for freight forwarding services during certain time periods. The Plaintiffs claim that the Defendants' conspiracies were worldwide, on shipping routes between the United States and China, Hong Kong, Japan, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom.</p> <p>Class Members include persons who: 1) directly purchased freight forwarding services; 2) from any of the Defendants, their subsidiaries, or affiliates; 3) in the United States or outside the United States for shipments within, to, or from the United States; and 4) from 1-1-2001 through 9-14-2012.</p>		<p>Maryellen.hennessy@kat-tenlaw.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
6-3-2013	12-CV-00780	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Wood Dale Chiropractic, Ltd. v. DrFirst.com, Inc. and Jon Does 1-10 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sent an unsolicited facsimile advertisement from DrFirst and that the sending of this fax violated the Federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act, and Illinois common law (conversion). Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of persons to whom DrFirst sent unsolicited advertising facsimiles.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities with facsimile numbers who, on or after 2-3-2008, through and including 2-23-2012, were sent faxes by or on behalf of Defendant DrFirst.com, Inc., promoting its goods and services for sale.</p>	11-6-2013	<p>For more information write to, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Edelman, Combs, Latturner & Goodwin, LLC 120 S. LaSalle Street Suite 1800 (26426) Chicago, IL 60603</p> <p>312 917-4504</p> <p>www.edcombs.com</p>
6-4-2013	10-CV-03200	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Roy Lee Jefferson, Walter Beach, Dr. Clinton Jones, Clifton McNeil, John Brodie, Chuck Bednarik, and Paul Hornung v. Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP and McKool Smith, PC Plaintiffs allege that Defendants committed malpractice in their representation of the Plaintiffs Class and failed to adequately represent Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' complaint alleges claims for legal malpractice and breach of fiduciary duty.</p> <p>Class Members are all retired NFL players who executed a group licensing authorization form (GLA) with the NFLPA that was in effect at any time from 2-14-2003 to 2-14-2007 and which contains the following language: "[T]he moneys</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1 866 697-5552</p> <p>www.retiredplayersclassaction.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			generated by such licensing of retired players group rights will be divided between the player and an escrow account for all eligible NFLPA members who have signed a group licensing authorization form."		
6-5-2013	10-CV-00359	(D.N.J.)	<p>Hertz PlatePass Class Action Settlement Plaintiffs allege that Defendants did not properly or adequately disclose certain PlatePass fees and charges and breached the Hertz rental vehicles agreement terms.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who rented a vehicle from Hertz in the United States at any time between 7-1-2006 and 3-31-2010, and during that vehicle rental used the PlatePass electronic toll payment service and paid PlatePass® service fees (f/k/a administrative fees).</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or e-mail:</p> <p>Steven R. Jaffe Farmer, Jaffe, Weissing, Edwrds, Fistos & Lehrman, P.L. 425 North Andrews Ave Suite 2 Fort Lauderdale, Fl 33301</p>
6-5-2013	11-CV-2072	(D. Kan.)	<p>Stan Better and YRC Investors Group v. YRC Worldwide Inc., William D. Zollars, Michael Smid, Timothy A. Wicks, and Stephen L. Bruffett Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made materially false and misleading statements and omissions regarding the purported success of the technical integration of Yellow Corp. and Roadway Corp.; the financial condition of the company, including its cash flows, liquidity, revenues, compliance with credit agreements, and payment of debt; the success of its customer relationships; and the adequacy of the Company's internal controls. The complaint alleges that</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Lewis s. Kahn Kahn Swick & Foti, LLC 206 Covington Street Madisonville La 70447</p> <p>504 455-1400</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>on 11-2-2009, the previously omitted or misstated facts were fully revealed to the public.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly-traded common stock of YRC Worldwide Inc. ("YRCW") (trading symbol NASDAQ: YRCW) between 4-24-2008 and 11-2-2009, inclusive.</p>		
6-5-2013	04-CV-01639	(D.D.C.)	<p>In re: Fannie Mae Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants inflated the value of Fannie Mae securities by making a series of materially false and misleading statements and omissions concerning, among other things, Fannie Mae's track record of reporting steady and stable quarter to quarter earnings growth and Fannie Mae's compliance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP").</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of the publicly traded common stock and call options of Fannie Mae, and sellers of Fannie Mae publicly traded put options during the period from 4-17-2001 through 12-22-2004 (the "Class Period") who suffered damages thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Marc Dann State Office tower 30 East Broad Street 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215</p> <p>614 466-4320</p>
6-6-2013	11-CV-07667 12-CV-09820 12-CV-09824	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Aarons, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC Bonomo v. BMW of North America, LLC Bourne-Miller, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC (together "Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives")</p>	7-15-2013	<p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Roland Tellis</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Plaintiffs allege that BMW of North America, LLC ("BMW NA") engaged in unfair and deceptive conduct, was unjustly enriched, and breached express and implied warranties by failing to disclose that certain MINI vehicles equipped with CVTs are defective and may sustain premature CVT failure. Plaintiffs in the litigation assert claims for: 1) violation of California Civil Code § 1750 et seq., 2) violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., 3) fraud, 4) breach of implied warranty pursuant to Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act, 5) unjust enrichment, 6) violation of the New Jersey, South Carolina, Kentucky, Connecticut, and Illinois consumer fraud laws, 7) breach of written warranty under the Magnusson-Moss Warranty Act (15 U.S.C. § 2301 et seq.), 8) breach of express warranty, 9) breach of implied warranty of merchantability, 10) breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, 11) unjust enrichment, 12) injunctive relief, and 13) violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former owners and lessees within the United States of the following vehicles ("Class Vehicles") equipped with a CVT: 1) 2002 through 2006 model year MINI Cooper (R50) (produced 6-11-2001 through 12-28-2006; and 2) 2005 through 2008 model year MINI Convertible (R52) (produced 3-6-2004 through 7-31-2008).</p>		<p>Mark Pifko Baron & Budd, P.C. 15910 Ventura Blvd. Suite 1600 Encino, CA 91436</p> <p>818 839-2333</p> <p>818 986-9698</p> <p>trellis@baronbudd.com</p> <p>mpifko@baronbudd.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
6-7-2013	10-CV-01610	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Apple iPhone/iPOD Warranty Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Apple wrongfully denied warranty coverage by stating that their iPhone and iPod touch phone had been damaged by liquid because a Liquid Contact Indicator ("LCI") or Liquid Submersion Indicator ("LSI") was activated.</p> <p>Class Members are persons who are or were owners of a Class Device (a) that was tendered to Apple in the United States for repair or replacement during the Relevant Time Period; (b) at the time of tender, the Class Device was within either the one-year limited warranty period or, if covered by an AppleCare Protection Plan, the two-year plan coverage period; and (c) repair or replacement of the tendered Class device was denied by Apple on the basis of Apple's former damage by exposure to liquids policy.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, e-mail or call:</p> <p>Jeffrey L. Fazio Dina E. Micheletti Fazio Micheletti LLP 2410 Camino Ramon Suite 315 San Ramon, CA 94583</p> <p>info@fazmiclaw.com</p> <p>925 543-2555</p>
6-11-2013	11-CV-2794	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Stanger, et al., v. China Electric Motor, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants overstated, by between 124% and 44,165%, cash and cash equivalents, revenue, gross profit, and net income in Registration Statements and Prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in January and March of 2010.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the common stock of China Electric Motor, Inc., during the period from 1-29-2010 through and including 3-30-2011.</p>	9-16-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Jerome S. Fortinsky Brian G. Burke Sherman & Sterling LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, N.Y. 10022</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
6-11-2013	08-CV-2177	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re: Merck & Co., Inc. Vytorin/Zetia Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants Merck; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; MSP Distribution Services (C) LLC; MSP Singapore Company LLC; Richard T. Clark; and Deepak Khanna violated the federal securities laws by failing to disclose material information concerning the commercial prospects of Vytorin (a cholesterol-lowering drug), and the results of a clinical trial that tested safety and efficacy of Vytorin.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities that purchased or acquired Merck common stock, or call options, and/or sold Merck put options, during the period between 12-6-2006 through and including 3-28-2008, and who did not sell their stock and/or options on or before 1-14-2008, and who were damaged thereby.</p>	10-1-2013	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Jay W. Eisenhofer Daniel Berger Grant & Eisenhoffer P.A. 485 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017</p> <p>646 722-8500</p>
6-11-2013	08-CV-397	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re: Schering-Plough Corporation/Enhance Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the federal securities laws, for among other reasons, failing to disclose material information concerning the commercial prospects of Vytorin (a cholesterol-lowering drug) and the results of a clinical trial that tested Vytorin. The Action also alleges that Schering, certain of Schering's officers, the Director Defendants, and the Underwriter Defendants are statutorily responsible for false or misleading statements</p>	10-1-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Salvatore J. Graziano Berstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP 1285 Ave. of the Americas New York, NY 10019</p> <p>Christopher J. McDonald</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>made in connection with offerings of Schering common stock and Preferred Stock in 8-2007.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities that purchased or acquired Schering common stock, 6% mandatory convertible preferred stock maturing 8-13-2010, or call options, and/or sold Schering put options, during the period between 1-3-2007 and including 3-28-2008, and who did not sell their stock and/or options on or before 12-11-2007, and who were damaged thereby.</p>		<p>Labaton Sucharow LLP 140 Broadway New York, NY 10005</p>
6-12-2013	10-CV-01073	(M.D. Fla.)	<p>City of St. Clair Shores General Employees Retirement System v. Lender Processing Services Inc., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by making misrepresentations and omissions in connection with Lender Processing Services, Inc. (LPS) business and financial statements.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who, during the period from 8-6-2008 to and through 10-4-2010, inclusive, purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly common stock of LPS and who were allegedly damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Jonathan Gardner Labaton Sucharow LLP 140 Broadway New York, NY 10005</p> <p>Jack Reise Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd, LLP 120 E. Palmetto Park Road Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432</p>
6-13-2013	09-MD-02034	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>In re: Comcast Corp. Set-Top Cable Television Box Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Comcast engaged in various anti-competitive activities and unfair trade practices related to the rental of Set-Top Boxes to Comcast's Premium Cable subscribers.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit or call:</p> <p>www.SetTopBoxSettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Class Members are all persons in the United States who subscribed to Premium Cable on or before 2005 and paid Comcast a rental fee for a Set-Top Box from the start of the Class Period to the Effective date.		1 800 517-7479
6-13-2013	12-CV-01307	(D. Colo.)	<p>Yost v. GeoResources, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to GeoResources stockholders and violated the federal securities law in connection with the proposed merger. Allegedly, GeoResources' board members breached their fiduciary duties by approving the merger by means of a purportedly unfair process and failed to disclose all material information concerning the merger to GeoResources stockholders, and Halcón aided and abetted such alleged breaches.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who owned GeoResources common stock at any point between 4-24-2012 and 12-31-2012, including any and all of their respective successors in interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, immediate and remote, or any person or entity acting for or on behalf of them.</p>	7-16-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP 369 Lexington Avenue 10th Floor New York, NY 10017</p> <p>Attn: James E. Monteverde</p>
6-13-2013	12-CV-4688	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Stumpf v. PYOD, LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Fair Debt Collection Practice Act (FDCPA) and Illinois Consumer Fraud Act (ICFA) by sending</p>	10-1-2013	<p>For more information call or e-mail</p> <p>Edelman, Combs,</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>letters threatening suit or filing a lawsuit against individuals for debts having to do with an auto retail installment contract and lease debt, in which the debt allegedly was barred by the four-year statute of limitations. Plaintiff contends that Defendants violated the law by filing lawsuits and sending collection letters after the statute of limitations had ended.</p> <p>Class One consists of (a) all individuals with addresses in one of the applicable jurisdictions (b) with respect to whom Defendant PYOD, LLC filed a lawsuit or sent or caused to be sent a letter (c) to collect auto retail installment contract and lease debts (d) more than four years after the later of default, repossession, or chargeoff and (e) which letter was sent or lawsuit was pending at any time during a period beginning 6-14-2011, and ending 7-4-2012.</p> <p>Class Two consists of (a) all individuals with addresses in one of the applicable jurisdictions (b) with respect to whom Defendant Weltman filed a lawsuit or sent or caused to be sent a letter (c) to collect auto retail installment contract and lease debts (d) more than four years after the later of default, repossession or chargeoff, (e) which letter was sent or lawsuit was pending at any time during a period beginning 6-14-2011, and ending 7-4-2012.</p> <p>Class Three consists of (a) all individuals with Illinois addresses (b) with respect to whom Defendant PYOD, LLC filed a lawsuit or sent or caused to be sent a letter (c) to collect auto</p>		<p>Lattuner & Goodwin, LLC</p> <p>312 917-4504</p> <p>info@edcombs.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			retail installment contract and lease debts (d) more than four years after the later of default, repossession or charge off, (e) which letter was sent or lawsuit was pending at any time during a period beginning 6-14-2009, and ending 7-4-2012.		
6-14-2013	10-CV-01993	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Just Film, Inc., et al. v. Merchant Services, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants misrepresented the terms and cost of bankcard processing and leasing services provided to merchants and improperly accessed merchant credit reports.</p> <p>Class Members are merchants who entered into agreements for bankcard processing and leasing services through one or more of the Defendants between 3-26-2006 and 3-20-2013, except those who remained in a bankcard processing or lease agreement through any of the Defendants for more than sixty days after the expiration of the term of the initial agreement.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.justfilmsettleme nt.com</p>
6-14-2013	12-CV-01612	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Gary Hanley v. Fifth Third Bank Plaintiff alleges that Fifth Third Bank made collection calls using an auto-dialer and prerecorded messages, without first obtaining consent to do so or after such consent was revoked. The lawsuit alleges that Fifth Third thereby violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") for calls made to cellular phones.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons to whom, on or</p>	1-16-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Beth E. Terrell Terrell Marshall Daudt & Willie PLLC 936 North 34th Street Suite 400 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			after 3-6-2008 through the date of Preliminary Approval, Fifth Third or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries, or any entity acting on its behalf, placed a non-emergency telephone call to a cellular telephone through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without the consent of such person.		
6-14-2013	11-CV-01758	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Apple In-App Purchase Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Apple claimed that certain game apps from the App Store allowed minors to charge in-app purchases in Qualified Apps ("Game Currency"), such as virtual currency or other virtual content, to an iTunes account without the account holder's knowledge or permission.</p> <p>Class Members are all United States residents who prior to [Date of the conditional Order] paid for an in-app purchase of Game Currency and a Qualified App was charged to their iTunes account by a minor without their knowledge or permission.</p>	10-18-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Boni & Zack LLP Attn: Michael J. Boni 15th St. Asaphs Road Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004</p> <p>or:</p> <p>Saltz Mongeluzzi Barrett & Bendesky, P.C. Attn: Simon B. Paris 1650 Market Street Floor 51 Philadelphia, PA 19103</p>
6-14-2013	11-CV-01758	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Apple In-App Purchase Litigation (Same as above, different law firms).</p>	10-18-2013	<p>For more information call or e-mail:</p> <p>Gail Lees 213 229-7163 grees@gibsondunn.com</p> <p>Jim Alexander 415 393-8231 jalexander@gibsondunn.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
6-15-2013 Received 5-29-2013 Dated	09-CV-08168	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Monica Gould, et al. v. Accor North America, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Motel 6 violated California labor law by: failing to provide lawful duty free rest periods and meal periods, or pay compensation in lieu thereof; failing to pay wages (including overtime and minimum wages); failing to pay all wages due at termination; and failing to provide accurate itemized employee wage statements and maintain required records. It is further alleged that Motel 6 violated California's Unfair Competition Law set forth in California Business & Professionals Code § 17200, et seq. and is subject to liability for California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2698 et seq., based on the aforementioned claims.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former non-exempt employees of Accor North America Inc. and/or Motel 6 Operating L.P. who worked at a Motel 6 or Studio 6 between 3-25-2006 and [preliminary approval date].</p>	6-17-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Frazad Rastegar Thomas S. Campbell Rastegar & Matern Attorneys at Law 1010 Creshaw Blvd. Suite 100 Torrance, CA. 90501</p>
6-17-2013	11-CV-06119	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Alexia Foods, Inc. Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Alexia frozen potato products, which were advertised as "natural" or "all natural," should not have been advertised in that manner because they contained the ingredient disodium dihydrogen pyrophosphate to retain the color of the potatoes: Sauté Reds, Mashed Potatoes Yukon Gold Potatoes & Sea Salt, Mashed Red Potatoes with Garlic & Parmesan,</p>	7-10-2013	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>Robert B. Hawk 650 463-4008</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Waffle Fries, Harvest Sauté, Italian Sauté, Sauté Sweets, and Potato Bites.</p> <p>Class Members are all who bought coverage products and are eligible to choose: (1) a cash payment of \$3.50 for each product purchased, up to a maximum of 10 products and \$35.00 in cash; or (2) food vouchers with value of up to \$7.50 for each product purchased, up to a maximum of 10 products and \$75.00 in food vouchers or (3) a combination of cash and vouchers for up to 10 products total. Benefits will be based on the number of products purchased and whether proof of purchase is supplied.</p>		
6-17-2013	09-CV-4100	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Glen Ellyn Pharmacy, Inc., v. Meda Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleged that Meda Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Meda") and the Hal Lewis Group, Inc. ("HLG") (the "Defendants") violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and state law by sending unsolicited facsimile advertisements promoting a product called Soma 250.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities (a) who, on or after 6-4-2005 and before 6-24-2009; (b) were sent faxes promoting Meda Pharmaceutical Inc.'s goods or services for sale; and (c) (i) whose names are listed next to a fax number on the spreadsheet of fax numbers that SK&A sent to Odyssey on or about 5-4-2009 (file name "om213596_4-17-3009_final.xls"), if such person is the subscriber of the number listed next to his or her name; or (ii) if the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or fax:</p> <p>Edelman, Combs, Lattuner & Goodwin, LLC (23000) 120 S. LaSalle Street Suite 1800 Chicago, IL 60603</p> <p>866 834-3504</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			person whose name is listed next to the number is not the subscriber, the person who is the subscriber of the fax number.		
6-18-2013	12-CV-22800	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Patrick A. Burrow v. Purchasing Power, LLC Plaintiffs allege that Winn-Dixie and Purchasing Power agreed to provide an employee benefit program for the benefit of Winn-Dixie employees, that Winn-Dixie provided to Purchasing Power certain personal data or personal identifying information of Winn-Dixie employees because Purchasing Power offered to them the opportunity to purchase products through payroll deductions, and that a Purchasing Power employee inappropriately accessed the personal data of Winn-Dixie employees.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons throughout the United States who were employees of Winn-Dixie and who had their personal identifying information transferred by Winn-Dixie to Purchasing Power on or about 12-28-2009 and who are listed on the Winn-Dixie eligibility files known as elig_win_20091226.txt and Amazon_Winn-Dixie_Name_and_Address_List_-_version_2.xlsx.</p>	10-4-2013	<p>For more information visit or call:</p> <p>www.PurchaseProgramSettlement.com</p> <p>1 877-854-5282</p>
6-19-2013	11-CV-02488	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Brian Graifman v. Trend Micro Corporation Plaintiff alleges that Trend Micro engaged in unlawful practices relating to discontinuing the remaining term of certain customers' then-current licenses when they converted, renewed, or upgraded their product subscriptions online, without providing a credit or refund for unused</p>	10-11-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Green & Associates, LLC Michael S. Green 522 Route 18</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>subscription time, and that Trend Micro failed to disclose this practice. Plaintiff contends that such customers had the remaining term on their then-current software licenses terminated when they, prior to the expiration of their then-current license and through Trend Micro's online subscription renewal website, either (i) purchased a license for a Trend Micro Product to replace a free trial license (that is, "converted"), (ii) renewed their then-current license (that is "renewed"), or (iii) purchased a license for an upgraded Trend Micro Product.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities residing in the United States who, after 3-2-2007, extended a Trend Micro software subscription with Trend Micro, at Trend Micro's online subscription renewal website, prior to the expiration of an existing Trend Micro software subscription, and whose then-existing subscription was cut off and replaced by the new subscription. Included within this class are all such persons and entities who, prior to the expiration of their then current license and through Trend Micro's online subscription renewal website, either: (i) purchased a license for a Trend Micro Product to replace a free trial license (that is, "converted"); (ii) renewed their then-current license (that is "renewed"), or (iii) purchased a license for an upgraded Trend Micro Product.</p>		<p>P.O. Box 428 East Brunswick, NJ 08816</p>
6-20-2013	12-CV-00826	(E.D. Va.)	<p>In re: GeoEye, Inc. Shareholder Litigation This matter is before the Court on the consent</p>	9-6-2013	<p>For more information write or call:</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>motion filed by the parties to reschedule the Settlement Hearing, which currently is set for 7-26-2013, to 9-6-2013. Upon consideration whereof, and for cause shown, it is hereby Ordered that the Settlement Hearing shall be held on Friday, 9-6-2013 at 10:00 a.m.</p>		<p>Alexandra S. Peurach Kirkland & Ellis LLP 655 Fifteenth St, NW Washington, DC 20005</p> <p>202 879-5133</p>
6-21-2013	08-CV-00536	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Ralston v. Mortgage Investors Group, Inc., et al. Plaintiff alleges that Mortgage Investors Group, a general partnership, Mortgage Investors Group, Inc. (collectively, "MIG") and Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. ("CHL") (collectively, MIG and CHL are "Defendants") violated California's unfair competition law by failing to disclose certain information in the sale of "Pay-Option Adjustable Rate" mortgage loans - which allowed for different monthly payment options in the early years of the loan and a discounted initial interest rate - made by MIG and other lenders in California between 1-24-2004 and 1-13-2013 and later sold to CHL.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who owned California Residential Property and who, between 1-24-2004 and 6-13-2013, obtained a Pay-Option Adjustable Rate Mortgage Loan from Mortgage Investors Group or another mortgage lender, which was then sold to CHL so that CHL became the loan servicer.</p>	8-26-2013	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Jeffrey K. Berns Lee A. Weiss Berns Weiss, LLP 20700 Ventura Blvd. Suite 140 Woodland Hills, CA 91364</p> <p>818 961-2000</p> <p>jberns@law111.com</p>
6-21-2013	13-CV-00137	(D. Md.)	Deanna Driscoll, et al. v. Navy Federal Credit Union	Not set yet	For more information write to:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Plaintiff contends that Navy Federal violated the Truth in Lending Act ("TILA"), by making unauthorized withdrawals without proper authorization, security interest or statutory liens to pay Navy Federal Credit Card payments.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who: (a) held both depository accounts and credit card accounts with Navy Federal and (b) from whom Navy Federal withdrew funds from the depository account(s) to be applied to their credit card accounts from 1-11-2013 through 4-30-2013.</p>		<p>Phillip Robinson The Legg Law Firm 5500 Buckeystown Pike Frederick, Md. 21703</p>
6-21-2013	09-CV-0079-K	(N.D. Tex.)	<p>Jan Buettgen v. Katherine J. Harless, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents, in violation of the federal securities laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased the securities of Idearc, Inc. between 8-9-2007 and 10-30-2008, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Debra J. Wyman Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p>
6-24-2013	012-CV-60464	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Faith T. Messick, et al. v. Applic Consumer Products, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that carafes for certain Black & Decker® SpaceMaker 12-Cup Programmable Under-</p>	10-7-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Fox International</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>The-Cabinet Coffeemakers are improperly designed and, as a result, fail and break prematurely in that the handle becomes detached from the glass carafe.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who have purchased, not for resale, the following Black & Decker® SpaceMaker 12-Cup Programmable Under-The-Cabinet Coffeemakers distributed by applica Consumer Products, Inc.: Models SDC740, SDC740B, SDC740BR, SDC740C, SDC740DIS, SDC7400R, SDC750, SDC750C and SDC750DIS. Even those who no longer own the Coffeemaker are part of the Class.</p>		<p>Messick V. Applica 23645 Mercantile Road Beachwood, OH 44122</p>
6-24-2013	08-CV-8781	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>New Jersey Carpenters Health Fund, et al. v. Residential Capital, LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated SEC laws in issuing a prospectus and supplement containing false and misleading statements of material fact and issuing securities backed by mortgage loans that did not meet underwriting guidelines, and promoting such securities by inflating the rating certificates.</p> <p>Class Members are the ResCap Defendants and Residential Funding Securities Corporation n/k/a Ally Securities, LLC (collectively, the "Settling Defendants"). The Settling Defendants do not currently know the name of the putative class members for the settlement class and therefore it is not feasible to include in this notice the names of the putative class members.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or e-mail:</p> <p>Jeffrey A. Lipps</p> <p>614 365-4105</p> <p>lipps@carpenterlipps.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
6-24-2013	10-CV-01139	(D. Wash.)	<p>Agne, et al. v. Papa John's International, Inc., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Papa John's International sent unsolicited automated text messages allegedly made by third-party vendor OnTime4U to individuals within Washington State and across the country. It is further alleged that a few Papa John's franchisees and Papa John's International, Inc. and Papa John's USA, Inc. (collectively "Papa John's") unlawfully retained or worked with OnTime4U to send these unsolicited text messages on their behalf.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who were sent at least one unsolicited text message that marketed a Papa John's branded product, good, or services through Ontime4U.</p>	10-8-2013	<p>For more information call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>James Howard Dorsey & Whitney LLP</p> <p>206 903-2390</p> <p>206 260-9153</p> <p>Howard.james@dorsey.com</p>
6-25-2013	13-CV-21232	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Brenda Singer v. WWF Operating Company d/b/a WhiteWave Foods</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant engaged in misrepresentation in the labeling and promoting of its products containing Evaporated Cane Juice ("ECJ"). Among other things, Plaintiff contends that ECJ is actually sugar and therefore the products, including their ingredient lists, should not refer to ECJ. Further, Plaintiff contends that ECJ is not the common or usual name of any type of sweetener, or even any type of juice, and the use of such a name is false and misleading. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant's actions constitute violations of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p>http://www.singerecjsettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in June 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Act, as well those similar deceptive and unfair practices and/or consumer protection laws in other states. Further, Plaintiff claims that Defendant was unjustly enriched by said conduct.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who, from 1-1-2005 to the present, purchased White Ware Food Products throughout the United States for personal use and not for resale.</p>		
6-25-2013	12-CV-3730	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Banks, et al. v. GCA Services Group, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that GCA violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by using background check reports and drug test reports obtained from a third-party vendor to hire job applicants at job sites without always properly observing the protections guaranteed by the Fair Credit Reporting Act. Specifically, the lawsuit alleges that GCA used background check reports and drug test reports to take adverse action against some people without first providing them a written copy of their report and a summary of their rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals to whom GCA mailed the form letter between 5-15-2010 and 3-1-2012 and who were not working for GCA thirty days after the letter was mailed.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Christopher J. Wilmes Hughes Cocol Piers Resnick & Dym, Ltd. Attn: GCA FCRA Cae, No. 12C3730 70 W. Madison Street Suite 4000 Chicago, IL 60606</p>