

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-2-2014	11-CV-2509	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants entered into a series of agreements with each other not to recruit each other's employees, in violation of federal and state antitrust laws. Adobe, Apple, Google and Intel have entered into a Settlement Agreement with Plaintiffs. The other Defendants - Intuit, Lucasfilm, and Pixar - entered into separate, earlier settlement agreements.</p> <p>.Class Members are all natural persons who work in the technical, creative, and/or research and development fields who were employed on a salaried basis in the U.S. by one or more of the following: (a) Apple from 3-2005 through 12-2009; (b) Adobe from 5-2005 through 12-2009; (c) Google from 3-2005 through 12-2009; (d) Intel from 3-2005 through 12-2009; (e) Intuit from 6-2007 through 12-2009; (f) Lucasfilm from 1-2005 through 12-2009; or (g) Pixar from 1-2005 through 12-2009.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Kelly M. Dermody Lieff Cabraser Heimann &amp; Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street 29<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111</p> <p>415 956-1000 (Ph.)</p> <p><a href="mailto:kdermody@lchb.com">kdermody@lchb.com</a></p>
6-2-2014	12-CV-06619	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: New Oriental Education &amp; Technology Group, Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiff alleges violations of the federal securities laws by New Oriental and certain members of its senior management.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired American Depositary Shares of New Oriental during the period between 10-19-2009 and 7-17-2012, inclusive, and who were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, or call:</p> <p>Stephen P. DeNittis Shabel &amp; Denittis P.C. 5 Greentree Centre Suite 302 Marlton, NJ 08053</p> <p>856 797-9951</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-3-2014	13-CV-04566	(D.N.J.)	<p><b>Clifford G. Martin, et al. v. Warner Chilcott Public Limited Company</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that on 5-20-2013, Warner Chilcott Public Limited Company ("Warner Chilcott" or "Defendant") and Actavis, Inc. ("Actavis") announced that they had entered into an agreement ("Transaction Agreement") pursuant to which Actavis agreed to acquire (and ultimately acquired) Warner Chilcott in a stock-for-stock "scheme or arrangement" under Irish law, pursuant to which Warner Chilcott shareholders received 0.160 shares in a new holding company incorporated in Ireland for each Warner Chilcott share that they owned ("Transaction"). Then on 7-29-2013, Plaintiff commenced the Action by filing a class action complaint, which (a) alleges, among other things, that Warner Chilcott violated the federal securities laws by issuing an S-4 that omits certain material information; and (b) seeks, among other things, to enjoin the Transaction.</p> <p>Class Members include all record holders and beneficial owners of ordinary shares of Warner Chilcott (which are traded on the NASDAQ) who held such shares at any time during the period beginning on 2-1-2012 through and including 10-1-2013).</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Brian C. Kerr Brower Piven A Professional Corp. 475 Park Avenue South 33<sup>rd</sup> Floor New York NY 10016</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-3-2014	04-CV-10981	(D. Mass.)	<p><b>Harden Manufacturing Corp., et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' marketing violated the law by promoting the use of Neurontin for various uses and to treat various conditions for which it was: (1) not approved by the FDA and (2) was not effective. The lawsuit is not about the safety of Neurontin. The lawsuit alleges that, although the FDA approved Neurontin for a relatively narrow indication - as adjunctive therapy for adult epilepsy, and later, for treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia - Defendants sought to market Neurontin for several off-label uses in a variety of ways, including through false or misleading statements to physicians at conferences and medical education events, directly to physicians through sales representatives, and in medical publications. Plaintiffs also claim that Class Members were injured by paying more for Neurontin that they would have paid otherwise.</p> <p>Class Members are all Third-Party Payers in the U.S. and its territories that purchased, paid for, administered, and/or reimbursed all or any portion of the price for Neurontin or for gabapentin sold by Greenstone LLC at any time from their first sale in the United States through the Effective Date of the Settlement for and purpose other than resale.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1 855 793-1372 (Ph.)</p> <p><a href="http://www.NeurontinSettlement.com">www.NeurontinSettlement.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-4-2014	11-CV-1404	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Hewlett-Packard Company Securities ("HP")</b>  Plaintiffs allege that following the 7-2010 announcement of HP's acquisition of Palm, HP and its representatives made a series of public statements regarding the development of new devices using the webOS operating system. Plaintiffs also alleged that HP represented that within two years, the Company would introduce "millions" of webOS-enabled PCs and printers and on a "massive scale." Plaintiffs further alleged that, contrary to HP's public statement regarding webOS for PCs and printers, the Company was not in a position to introduce webOS to PCs or printers for sale or within the time frame represented by Defendants. The Defendants did not disclosed to investors until 8-18-2011, when the Company announced several pieces of news, including that it would discontinue operations for webOS devices, including smartphones and tablets. Plaintiffs contend that, upon these disclosures, artificial inflation created by Defendants' false and misleading public statements regarding webOS development was removed from the trading price of HP's publically traded common stock, damaging Lead Plaintiffs and members of the Settlement Class.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities that, during the period from 11-22-2010 to and through 8-18-2011, purchased or otherwise acquired shares of Hewlett-Packard Company's publicly traded common stock in the open market, and were damaged thereby.</p>	9-15-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Labaton Sucharow LLP  Jonathan Gardner  140 Broadway  New York, NY 10005</p> <p>Motley Rice LLC  Gregg S. Levin  28 Bridgeside Boulevard  Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-5-2014	12-CV-4	(E.D. Tenn.)	<p><b>In re: Skelaxin (Metaxalone) Antitrust Litigation</b>  Plaintiff alleges that Mutual Pharmaceutical Company, Inc. conspired with King Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to delay generic competition to King's brand-name drug, Skelaxin, resulting in artificially high prices.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities in the U.S. that operate a business outside Tennessee and indirectly purchased Skelaxin for resale from 11-4-2005 through and until (Preliminary Approval Date).</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call or visit:  1 844 491-5738 (Ph.)  <a href="http://www.SkelaxinPharmacySettlement.com">www.SkelaxinPharmacySettlement.com</a></p>
6-5-2014	12-CV-00086	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: Camelot Information Systems, Inc., Securities Litigation</b>  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants made numerous positive statements during the Class Period regarding the growth and prospects of Camelot's Financial Industry IT Services ("FIS") operating segment. The Amended Complaint alleges that prior to and during the Class Period, however, and unbeknownst to investors, dissatisfied members of management and key employees of one of Camelot's largest and most important FIS operating segment subsidiaries, Agree Technology, Ltd. ("Agree"), had left Camelot to form or join competing companies. The Amended Complaint alleges these defections from Agree impaired Camelot's prospects and performance, rendering Camelot's FIS operating segment unable to adequately perform and earn revenues under existing contracts with financial institutions</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP  Samuel H. Rudman  David A. Rosenfeld  58 South Service Road  Suite 200  Melville, NY 11747</p> <p>Kessler Topaz Meltzer &amp; Check, LLP  Johnston F. Whitman, Jr.  280 King of Prussia Road  Radnor, PA 19087</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>or to obtain new contracts with financial institutions.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons that purchased or otherwise acquired the American Depository Shares ("ADSs") of Camelot Information Systems Inc. ("Camelot"): (1) issued pursuant or traceable to Camelot's 7-21-2010 initial public offering of its ADSs; (2) issued pursuant or traceable to Camelot's 12-10-2010 secondary public offering of its ADSs; and/or (3) on the open market during the period from 7-21-2010 through 9-28-2011, inclusive, and who were allegedly damaged thereby.</p>		
6-5-2014	12-CV-02871  13-CV-01512 13-CV-04159	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Adkins, et al. v. Nestle Purina PetCare Company, et al.</b> <b>Martin v. Nestle Purina PetCare Company, et al.</b> <b>Gandara v. Nestle Purina PetCare Company, et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that certain Dog Treat Products were defective and may have caused pets to become ill or die.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased or obtained, or whose pets used or consumed Waggin' Train or Canyon Creek Ranch brand Dog Treat Product(s) imported from China or containing any ingredient imported from China.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Rachel J. Jensen Phong L. Tran Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>Stuart A. Davidson Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP 120 E. Palmetto Park Road Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432</p>
6-6-2014	09-CV-1967	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: NCAA Student-Athlete Name &amp; Likeness Licensing Litigation (Anderson, Bishop, Jacobson, Keller, Newsome, O'Bannon, Rhodes, Robertson, Russell, Thrower, and</b></p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Michael D. Hausfeld Hilary K. Scherrer</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p><b>Wimprine cases were consolidated under the above caption) (collectively "the Lawsuits")</b></p> <p>There are two types of lawsuits involved in this case. The first type, called the Antitrust lawsuits, allege, among other things, that the NCAA, its member schools and conferences, Collegiate Licensing Company (CLC) and Electronic Arts, Inc. (EA) committed violations of the federal antitrust laws by engaging in a price fixing conspiracy and a group boycott/refusal to deal that unlawfully foreclosed class members from receiving compensation in connection with the commercial exploitation of their names, images, and likenesses during the years in which they played Division I college basketball or football and after their intercollegiate athletic competition ceased.</p> <p>The second type, called the Right of Publicity lawsuits, allege, among other things, that the Defendants misappropriated NCAA football and basketball players' rights of publicity by using student athletes' names, images, and likenesses in EA's NCAA-branded videogames.</p> <p>Class Members are of four (4) subclasses below:</p> <p>Antitrust Class Members: All current and former student-athletes residing in the U.S. who competed on an NCAA Division I (formerly known as "University Division" before 1973) college or university men's basketball team or on an NCAA Football Bowl Subdivision (formerly known as Division I-A until 2006) men's football team and</p>		<p>Sathya S. Gosselin Hausfeld LLP 1700 K Street, N.W. Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006</p> <p>202 540-7200 (Ph.)</p> <p>Dennis J. Drasco Lum, Drasco &amp; Positan LLC 103 Eisenhower Pkwy Roseland, NJ 07068</p> <p>973 403-9000 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>whose images, likenesses and/or names allegedly have been included or could have been included (by virtue of their appearance in a team roster) in or used in connection with NCAA-Branded Videogames published or distributed from 7-21-2005 until [Preliminary Approval Date].</p> <p>Antitrust Roster-Only Subclass Members: Those Antitrust Class Members whose images, likenesses and/or names were not included in or used in connection with NCAA-Branded Videogames.</p> <p>Keller Right of Publicity Class Members: All NCAA football and basketball players listed on the roster of a school whose team was included in an NCAA-Branded Videogame published or distributed during the period 5-5-2007 to [Preliminary Approval Date] and whose assigned jersey number appears on a virtual player in the software, or whose photograph was otherwise included in the software.</p> <p>Hart/Alston Right of Publicity Class Members: All NCAA football and basketball players listed on the roster of a school whose team was included in an NCAA-Branded Videogame published or distributed during the period 5-4-2003 to 5-4-2007 and whose assigned jersey number appears on a virtual player in the software, or whose likeness was otherwise included in the software.</p>		

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-9-2014	11-CV-02484	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Lawrence A. Schuler, et al. v. NIVS Intellimedia Technology Group, Inc.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that NIVS and certain of its officers and directors made false and misleading statements in NIVS's public filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in violation of the federal securities laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities that purchased or otherwise acquired NIVS common stock between 3-24-2010 and 5-25-2011, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p><b>Saxena White P.A.            Joseph E. White, III            2424 N. Federal Hwy.            Suite 257            Boca Raton, FL 33431</b></p> <p><b>561 394-3399</b></p>
6-9-2014	11-CV-05807	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Mike Harris and Jeff Dunstan, et al. v. comScore, Inc.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that comScore uses its data collection software to unlawfully collect information from consumers' computers. In particular, the lawsuit claims that comScore bundles its data collection software (called "RelevantKnowledge" or "PremierOpinion") with free digital products so that when consumers download the free products, they also download comScore's software. The lawsuit claims that comScore violated federal privacy laws- specifically the Stored Communications Act, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, and the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act-by using its software to collect information from consumers' computers without consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who, at any time since 2005, had comScore's data collection</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Jay Edelson            Rafey S. Balabanian            Chandler Givens            Benjamin Thomassen            Edelson PC            350 North LaSalle Street            Suite 1300            Chicago, IL 60654</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			software downloaded and installed on their computers via a bundling partner, and used their computer in interstate commerce and/or communication.		
6-9-2014	14-CV-01347	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>John Browning v. TracFone Wireless, Inc. d/b/a Straight Talk Wireless and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleges that TracFone and Wal-Mart led consumers to believe, through product marketing, that the data portion of their service plan with TracFone would be unlimited when the data service was actually subject to certain limitations that were either not disclosed or were inadequately disclosed to consumers, resulting in the throttling (slowed speed) or suspension of data services, or the complete termination of all cell phone services by TracFone.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased and used a TracFone unlimited service plan with a TracFone Cell Phone or SIM Card and/or Activation Kit or Activation Code under the brand names of Straight Talk, NET10, telcel America or Simple Mobile and whose data usage was slowed or suspended or whose services were terminated at any time between 6-1-2009 and 2-4-2014.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>John A. Yanchunis J. Andrew Meyer Morgan &amp; Morgan Complex Litigation Group.</p> <p>877 667-4265 (Ph.)</p>
6-11-2014	13-CV-11487	(D. Mass.)	<p><b>Miller v. J. Crew Group, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleges that J. Crew violated Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, § 9 by violating Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93, § 105(a) by recording ZIP codes from customers on credit card transaction forms</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Joseph J. Siprut 17 North State Street Suite 1600</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>when the customers used a credit card to make a purchase at one of J. Crew's Mass. Retail locations. Plaintiff also asserted a cause of action for unjust enrichment.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons whose ZIP codes were collected and recorded at any J. Crew Mass. Retail location while making a Credit Card purchase from 6-20-2009 through the present.</p>		<p>Chicago, ILL 60602</p> <p>312 236-0000 (Ph.)</p> <p>312 470-6588 (FAX)</p>
6-12-2014	12-CV-01672	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Vittoria Conn, et al. v. Dewey &amp; LeBoeuf LLP</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs and other similarly situated former employees who worked for Defendant and who were terminated without cause, as part of, or as the result of, mass layoffs or plant closings ordered by Defendant on or about 5-11-2012, and within thirty (30) days of that date, and who were not provided 60 days advance written notice of their terminations by Defendant, as required by the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN Act"), 29 U.S.C. § 211 <i>et seq.</i>, and 90 days advance written notice of their terminations by Defendant, as required by the New York WARN Act, New York Labor Law ("NYLL") § 860 <i>et seq.</i></p> <p>Class Members are all who worked at or reported to Defendants' New York and Washington, D.C. facilities and were terminated without cause on or before 3-15-2012 or within 30 days of that date, as the reasonable foreseeable consequence of the mass layoffs and/or plant closings ordered by Defendant on or about 5-15-2012.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p><b>René S. Roupinian</b> 3 Park Avenue 29<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10016</p> <p>212 245-1000 (Ph.)</p> <p>646 509-2070 (Fax)</p> <p><a href="mailto:rsr@outtengolden.com">rsr@outtengolden.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-12-2014	11-CV-0237	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>The Taxis for All Campaign, Inc. et al. v. New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission, et al.</b> Plaintiffs allege that New York City, the Mayor of New York City, the TLC and the TLC commissioner discriminate against individuals with mobility disabilities who use wheelchairs or scooters by failing to require a medallion taxi fleet that is accessible to such individuals.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons using wheelchairs or scooters who reside in or visit New York City who are persons with disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Rehabilitation Act, and/or City Human Rights Law and who seek to use New York City medallion taxis.</p>	9-16-2014	<p>For more information write, e-mail, TTY or call:</p> <p>Attn. TFA v. N.Y. City TLC Settlement Disability Rights Advocates 2001 Center Street Fourth Floor Berkeley, CA 94704</p> <p><a href="mailto:rsmith@dralegal.org">rsmith@dralegal.org</a></p> <p>510 665-9716 (TTY)</p> <p>1 877 603-4578 (Ph.)</p>
6-13-2014	13-CV-02376	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Younus Bayat and Mohammed Ereikat v. Bank of the West</b> Plaintiffs allege that Bank of the West violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq., by placing calls, either itself or through an entity calling on its behalf, to cellular telephones between 11-2-2008 and [date of preliminary approval], through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice without prior express consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons within the U.S. to whom Bank of the West, or an entity acting on its behalf, placed an automated call to the person's cellular telephone number between 11-2-</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Diane M. Hutchinson Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann &amp; Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street 29<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA. 94111</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			2008 through [date of preliminary approval].		
6-13-2014	13-CV-03889	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Velti plc Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are statutorily responsible for material misstatements of facts and omissions in an IPO Registration Statement and SPO Registration Statement.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Velti's securities between 1-27-2011 and 8-20-2013, inclusive, seeking to pursue remedies under the Exchange Act. Plaintiffs also brings this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(a) and (b) (3) on behalf of a class consisting of all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the securities of Velti pursuant and/or traceable to the company's registration statements and prospectuses issued in connection with the Company's 1-28-2011 IPO, and/or pursuant and/or traceable to the company's registration statement and prospectuses issued in connection with the Company's 6-14-2011 SPO, seeking to pursue remedies under the Securities Act.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Wilson Sonsini Goodrich &amp; Rosati, Professional Corporation Boris Feldman Cynthia A. Dy 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304</p>
6-13-2014	13-CV-01228	(M.D. Fla.)	<p><b>Neurocare Institute of Central Florida, P.A., et al., v. HealthTap, Inc., et al.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, and FCC regulations by faxing advertisements without the prior express invitation or permission of Plaintiffs or the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>Class Counsel Phillip A. Bock 312 658-5500 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>Class Members or without a valid opt-out notice.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or businesses who, between 1-1-2011 and 12-31-2012, were sent facsimiles by or on behalf of HealthTap that described services offered by HealthTap.</p>		
6-13-2013	12-CV-00601	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, Occupant Safety Systems Cases</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants entered into a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition for Occupant Safety Systems ("OSSs") by agreeing to fix, maintain, or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate the supply of Occupant Safety Systems, in violation of federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs further allege that as a result of the conspiracy, they and other direct purchasers of Occupant Safety Systems have been injured by paying more for those products than they would have paid in the absence of the alleged illegal conduct.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals and entities who purchased OSSs in the U.S. directly from one or more Defendants or any of the Defendants' respective parents, subsidiaries or affiliates from 1-1-2003 through 5-30-2014.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p><b>Steven A. Kanner</b> Freed Kanner London &amp; Millen LLC 2201 Waukegan Road Suite 130 Bannockburn, IL 60015</p> <p>224 632-4500 (Ph.)</p> <p><b>Joseph C. Kohn</b> Kohn, Swift &amp; Graf, P.C. One South Broad Street Suite 2100 Philadelphia, PA 19107</p> <p>212 238-1700 (Ph.)</p>
6-16-2014	12-CV-07228	(E.D. Pa.)	<p><b>The Hymed Group Corp. v. Stevens &amp; Ricci, Inc.</b></p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") by faxing 18,879 unsolicited advertisements to the class during 2-2006.</p>	9-22-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Brian J. Wanca</b> Anderson + Wanca 3701 Algonquin Road</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>Class Members are all persons who were sent one or more facsimiles in 2-2006 from Stevens &amp; Ricci, Inc. stating, "Turn Debts into Dollars" and "There's an easier way to collect money," and offering "The IRS Advantage® Collection System."</p>		<p>Suite 760 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008</p>
6-17-2014	11-CV-06247	(D.N.J.)	<p><b>In re: Central European Distribution Corporation Securities Litigation ("CEDC")</b> Plaintiffs allege Defendants made material misstatements or omissions and thereby caused the price of CEDC common stock to be artificially inflated and Defendants knew that the misstatements and omissions would cause stock price inflation.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased CEDC common stock between 3-1-2010 and 2-28-2011, inclusive, who were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Defendants' Attorney</p> <p>Weil, Gotshal &amp; Manges LLP William V. Carey 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153</p> <p>212 310-8000 (Ph.) 212 310-8007 (Fax)</p>
6-19-2014	11-CV-07782	(E.D. Pa.)	<p><b>Kerry Robinson v. General Information Services, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 <i>et seq.</i> (the "FCRA"), in connection with preparing employment-purpose background reports. Specifically, the Plaintiff contends that the Defendant violated the FCRA by reporting expunged criminal records.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons residing in the U.S. who were the subjects of any consumer</p>	11-3-2014	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>855 382-6392 (Ph.)</p> <p><a href="http://www.gisrobinsonsettlement.com">www.gisrobinsonsettlement.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			report that GIS issued to an end-user for employment purposes between 12-22-2009 and 3-1-2014 and that included expunged criminal charges.		
6-20-2014	11-MD-2258	(S.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Security Breach Litigation</b></p> <p>The lawsuit challenges Defendants' protection of the computer network systems used to provide the Sony PlayStation Network ("PSN"), the Qriocity service, and the Sony Online Entertainment ("SOE") services ("the Network Platforms"). These Network Platforms were attacked by criminal intruders in 4-2011. Plaintiffs claim that Defendants did not adequately protect the Network Platforms and, as a result, unauthorized people were able to access certain accountholder information. According to Plaintiffs, Defendants' inadequate security measures allowed unauthorized people to access and steal this information to commit fraud and identity theft. Plaintiffs also claim that the accountholders were legally injured by the unavailability of the PSN, the Qriocity service, and the SOE services, while they were temporarily offline after the Intrusions.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons residing in the U.S. who had a PSN account or sub-account, a Qriocity account, or an SOE account at any time before 5-15-2011.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ben Barnow Barnow and Assoc. P.C. One North LaSalle Street Suite 4600 Chicago, IL 60602</p> <p>Paul Geller Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP 120 E. Palmetto Park Road Suite 500 Boca Raton, FL 33432</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-20-2014	12-CV-102 12-CV-103	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation In re: Wire Harness Cases</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to rig bids for, and to raise fix, maintain, or stabilize the prices of, Wire Harness Products sold in the U.S. from 1-1-2000 until 2-28-2010 in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Plaintiffs further allege that Defendants fraudulently concealed their conspiracy.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals and entities that purchased Wire Harness Products in the U.S. directly from one or more Defendants or co-conspirators from 1-1-2000 through the present.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Eugene A. Spector William G. Caldes Jonathan M. Jagher Jeffrey L. Spector Spector Roseman Kodroff &amp; Willis, P.C. 1818 Market Street Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103</p> <p>215 496-0300 (Ph.)</p> <p>215 496-6611 (Fax)</p>
6-20-2014	12-CV-00601	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Lit, Occupant Safety Systems Cases - (Direct Purchaser Action)</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants entered into a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition for Occupant Safety Systems by agreeing to fix, maintain, or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate the supply of Occupant Safety Systems, in violation of federal antitrust laws. Plaintiffs further allege that as a result of the conspiracy, they and other direct purchasers of Occupant Safety Systems have been injured by paying more for those products than they would have paid in the absence of the alleged illegal conduct, and they seek recovery of treble damages, together with reimbursement of costs and an award of attorney's fees.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals and entities</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Steven A. Kanner Freed Kanner London &amp; Millen LLC 2201 Waukegan Road Suite 130 Bannockburn, IL 60015</p> <p>(224) 632-4500 (Ph.)</p> <p>Joseph C. Kohn Kohn, Swift &amp; Graf, P.C. One South Broad Street Suite 2100 Philadelphia, PA 19107</p> <p>215 238-1700 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			who purchased Occupant Safety Systems in the U.S. directly from one or more Defendants or any of the Defendants' respective parents, subsidiaries or affiliates from 1-1-2003 through 5-30-2014.		
6-20-2014	12-CV-00602	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Lit, Occupant Safety Systems Cases - (Dealership Action)</b>            Plaintiffs bring this action under Section 16 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 26) to secure equitable and injunctive relief against Defendants for violating Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). Plaintiffs also assert claims for actual and exemplary damages pursuant to state antitrust, unfair competition, and consumer protection laws, and common law unjust enrichment, and seek to obtain restitution, recover damages and secure other relief against Defendants for violations of those laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all automobile dealers that during the Class Period (a) purchased Occupant Safety Restraint Systems manufactured by one of the Defendants or any current or former subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator or (b) purchased vehicles containing Occupant Safety Restraint Systems manufactured by one of the Defendants or any current or former subsidiary, affiliate or co-conspirator thereof.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or email</p> <p>Gregory Johnson            G. Johnson Law, PLLC            6688 145<sup>th</sup> Street West            Apple Valley, MN 55124</p> <p>952 930-2485</p> <p><a href="mailto:greg@gjohnsonlegal.com">greg@gjohnsonlegal.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
6-20-2014	12-CV-00603	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Lit, Occupant Safety Systems Cases - (End Payor Actions)</b> Plaintiff brings this proposed class action against Defendants, suppliers of seat belts, airbags, and steering wheels, "Automobile Occupant Safety Systems," ("AOSS") both globally and in the U.S., for engaging in a minimum five-year long conspiracy to unlawfully raise, fix, maintain or stabilize the prices of occupant safety systems. Defendants' conspiracy targeted the U.S. automotive industry, and resulted in higher prices for purchasers of automobiles.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities in California that indirectly purchased or lease, during the Class Period, Automobile Occupant Safety Systems, for personal use and not for resale, including as a stand-alone replacement product or as a component of a new automobile from any Defendants or any current or former subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator on or after 3-1-2006 to present.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Sheldon L. Miller The Law Office of Sheldon L. Miller, PC 31731 North Western Hwy. Suite 280W Farmington Hills, MN 48334</p> <p>650 697-6000 (Ph.) 650 697-0577 (Fax)</p> <p><a href="mailto:jcotchett@pmlegal.com">jcotchett@pmlegal.com</a> <a href="mailto:swilliams@cpmlegal.com">swilliams@cpmlegal.com</a></p>
6-23-2014	13-CV-00357	(S.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Clarke v. Insight Global, Inc.</b> Plaintiff alleges that Defendant misclassified Recruiters it employed in California as exempt employees and asserts the following claims: 1) failure to provide meal periods; 2) failure to provide rest periods; 3) failure to pay employees for all hours worked; 4) waiting time penalties; 5) failure to provide accurate written wage statements, 6) unfair competition, and 7) civil penalties. The Action asserts</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>David Spivak The Spivak Law Firm 9454 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 303 Beverly Hills, CA 90212</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>claims for unpaid wages, statutory penalties, and civil penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), restitution, interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who (i) were hired into the position of Recruiter in California by Defendant during the period beginning 9-21-2008 through 2-2-2012 and who have not signed Separation Agreements containing releases of claims against Defendant or (ii) were hired into the position of Recruiter in California by Defendant during the period beginning 1-24-2011 through 2-2-2012 and signed Separation Agreements containing a release of claims against Defendant; or, individuals who signed declarations in support of Plaintiff's claims, regardless of whether these individuals fall into categories (i) or (ii) above.</p>		
6-23-2014	12-CV-10064	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>In re: Capital One Telephone Consumer Protection Act Litigation</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 <i>et seq.</i>, by contacting Plaintiffs and class members on their cellular telephones via an "automatic telephone dialing system" and/or by using "an artificial or prerecorded voice" without express consent to do so.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons within the United States who received a non-emergency telephone call from Capital One's or from a Participating</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information e-mail, write, call or fax:</p> <p>Jonathan D. Selbin <a href="mailto:jselbin@lchb.com">jselbin@lchb.com</a> Douglas I. Cuthbertson <a href="mailto:dcuthbertson@lchb.com">dcuthbertson@lchb.com</a> Lieff Cabraser Heimann &amp; Bernstein, LLP 250 Hudson Street 8<sup>th</sup> Floor New York 10013-1413 212 355-9500 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			Vendor's dialer(s) made on behalf of Capital One to a cellular telephone through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with an attempt to collect on a credit card debt from 1-18-2008 through 6-30-2014.		212 355-9592 (Fax)
6-23-2014	12-CV-09649	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Lopera v. The Receivable Management Services Corp.</b> Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) by making debt collection calls to cellular telephone numbers using an automated dialer without consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who: 1) were the user and/or subscriber of a cellular telephone number to which Defendant placed a non-emergency telephone call using an automated dialer without consent; and 2) the cellular telephone number was obtained by Defendant from a third-party skip trace company during the period between 1-5-2012 and 8-1-2012.</p>	12-2-2014	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p><b>Keith J. Keogh or Temothy J. Sostrin Keogh Law Ltd. 55 W. Monroe Suite 3390 Chicago, IL 60603</b></p> <p>866 726-1092 (Ph.)</p> <p><a href="mailto:TCPAsettlement@keoghlaw.com">TCPAsettlement@keoghlaw.com</a></p>
6-25-2014	09-CV-07560	(C.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Peter Wilson v. Gateway, Inc., et al.</b> Plaintiff alleges that certain customers were unable to obtain service under the terms of the Extended Service Plans they purchased in connection with their Gateway Professional Division computers. The Class Representative asserts claims for: (i) breach of contract; (ii) breach of implied contract; (iii) breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing;</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Caldwell, Leslie &amp; Proctor, PC 725 South Figueroa Street 31<sup>st</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017</b></p> <p><b>Denlea &amp; Carter LLP One North Broadway</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>(iv) violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code § 17200) and (v) violation of California's Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Civ. Code § 1750).</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. who: purchased a computer from Gateway's Professional division prior to 12-1-2008; and purchased an Extended Service Plan called the Gateway Extended Service Plan Business Services ("Pro-ESP") to supplement the limited manufacturer's warranty for the computer; and the Pro-ESP had not expired as of 12-1-2008.</p>		Suite 509 White Plains, NY 10601
6-26-2014	10-CV-02348	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Wigod v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</b> Plaintiff alleges that Wells Fargo failed to provide permanent Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP") loan modifications to borrowers who made at least three trial period payments under a verified HAMP trial plan. A verified HAMP trial plan is one where the borrower's eligibility for a permanent HAMP modification is determined prior to the start of his or her HAMP trial plan based on verified financial documents provided by the borrower.</p> <p>Class Members are all U.S. borrowers: (1) who received a HAMP Trial Period Plan ("TPP") from Wachovia/Raleigh between 3-1-2009 and 10-15-2009 based on verified financial documentation, (2) whose HAMP TPP was signed by both the borrower and Wachovia (Raleigh), (3) who made all scheduled payments and satisfied all conditions set forth in the TPP and required by HAMP, (4)</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information e-mail or write to:</p> <p>Jay Edelson <a href="mailto:jedelson@edelson.com">jedelson@edelson.com</a> Steve Woodrow <a href="mailto:Swoodrow@edelson.com">Swoodrow@edelson.com</a> Megan Lindsey <a href="mailto:mlindsey@edelson.com">mlindsey@edelson.com</a> Edelson PC 999 West 18<sup>th</sup> Street Denver, CO 80202</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			whose loan was transferred to Wells Fargo Home Mortgage for servicing in 10-2009, and (5) who did not receive a permanent HAMP modification at any time after the transfer of servicing.		
6-26-2014	10-CV-02348	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Michael Wilkins, et al. v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A., et al.</b> Plaintiffs allege that HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A., and HSBC Card Services Inc. (together "HSBC"), violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, <i>et seq.</i>, by placing calls, either itself or through an entity calling on its behalf, to cellular telephones between 5-31-2008 and 5-1-2012, through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice without prior express consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons that received an automated call from HSBC Finance Corporation, successor by merger to HSBC from 5-31-2008 through 5-1-2012.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Daniel M. Hutchinson Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann &amp; Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street 29<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111</p>
6-26-2014	10-CV-06850 14-CV-03412	(E.D. Pa.)	<p><b>Shamara T. King v. General Information Services, Inc.</b> <b>Natalie Dowell, et al. v. General Information Services, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that the Defendant violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 <i>et seq.</i> (the "FCRA"), in connection with preparing background reports. Specifically, the Plaintiffs contend that the Defendant violated the FCRA by reporting non-conviction count</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>James A. Francis Francis &amp; Mailman, PC 19<sup>th</sup> Floor 100 S. Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19110</p> <p>Devin H. Fok The Law Offices of Devin H. Fok</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>information, meaning criminal counts that did not result in convictions but were charged in a criminal case in which a conviction occurred more than seven years before the date of the report.</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons in the U.S. subject to a consumer report that General Information Services, Inc. provided directly to a User either: (1) for employment purposes, (2) for Eid Passport, or (3) for the U.S. Postal Inspection Service between 11-23-2008 and 1-8-2014 and that contained Non-Conviction Court Information.</p>		<p>P.O. Box 7165 Alhambra, CA 91802</p>
6-26-2014	12-CV-03893	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Shephard, et al. v. Lowe's HIW, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Lowe's incorrectly classified certain types of installers as independent contractors rather than employees of Lowe's, against the California Labor Code and other state laws. Plaintiffs claim that these installers and their installation companies suffered damages as a result. Plaintiffs are asking for compensation for the value of employee benefits that Lowe's employees received and for reimbursement for additional insurance and tax costs and certain other expenses.</p> <p>Class Members are: an installation company, or a principal/owner or W-2 employee of an installation company, that performed installation services in California for Lowe's between 6-15-2008 and [preliminary approval date] under a General Contractor Agreement or</p>	1-9-2015	<p><b>For more information write or call:</b></p> <p><b>Jeffrey C. Block Erica G. Sorg Block &amp; Leviton LLP 155 Federal Street Suite 400 Boston, MA 02110  617 398-5600 (Ph.)</b></p> <p><b>Matthew K. Edling Jennifer R. Crutchfield Cotchett, Pitre, &amp; McCarthy, LLP 840 Malcoln road Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010  650 697-6000 (Ph.)</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>Contract for Installation Services. The Settlement Class includes: (a) companies classified by Lowe's as Type I ("Legacy") installers - those who perform single-product installations, such as installing carpeting, flooring, appliances, roofing, etc.; (b) companies classified by Lowe's as General Contractor installers - those who perform installation services through the Lowe's PS-I Program; (c) the principals/owners of Legacy or General Contractor installers, and (d) the W-2 employees of Legacy or General Contractor installers.</p>		
6-27-2014	12-CV-01609	(W.D. La.)	<p><b>City of Omaha Police and Fire Retirement Systems v. LHC Group, Inc.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that LHC Group, Inc. and its Chief Executive Officer violated the federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements in certain press releases and public filings issued during the Class Period or controlling the persons who made those statements. The Plaintiffs also allege that LHC's Chief Executive Officer engaged in insider trading during the Class Period.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the common stock of LHC between 7-30-2008 and 10-26-2011, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p><b>John Jasnoch            Scott+Scott            Attorneys at Law, LLP            707 Broadway            Suite 1000            San Diego, CA 92101</b></p> <p>619 233-4565 (Ph.)</p>
6-30-2014	13-CV-00336 13-CV-02563	(D. Haw.)	<p><b>Howerton, Calderon, and Pasarel v. Cargill, Inc. Martin and Barry v. Cargill, Inc.</b>            The lawsuit challenges the labeling and</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Clayton D. Halunen</b></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>marketing of Cargill's Truvia Natural Sweetener products. Plaintiffs allege that they purchased Truvia Natural Sweetener products and were misled by statements on the labels describing the products and their ingredients-including stevia leaf extract and erythritol-as "natural." Plaintiffs allege that the Truvia Natural Sweetener products they purchased were not "natural" because they contained ingredients that were "highly processed" and/or derived from genetically modified organisms ("GMOs") and that the descriptions of the products, and of the ingredients of which these products were made, were inaccurate or misleading. Plaintiffs allege Cargill violated several Minnesota and California consumer protection laws as well as the breach-of-warranty laws of various states. Plaintiffs' lawsuit sought money damages and certain changes in the labeling of Truvia Natural Sweetener products and sought to represent a nationwide class of consumers who purchased these products.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who, from 7-1-2008 through [date of Preliminary Approval Order], resided in the U.S. and purchased in the U.S. any of the Truvia Natural Sweetener products for their household use or personal consumption and not for resale.</p>		<p>Melissa W. Wolchansky Halunen &amp; Associates 80 South 8<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 1650 Minneapolis, MN 55402</p>
6-30-2014	10-CV-14360	(E.D. Mich.)	<p><b>The Shane Group, Inc., et al. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan ("BCBSM)</b> Plaintiffs allege that BCBSM violated federal and state laws by using most favored nation</p>	11-12-2014	<p>For more information write to:  Cohen Milstein Sellers &amp; Toll PLLC</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in June 2014, to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Contact Information
			<p>clauses in contracts with 70 general acute care hospitals in Michigan. Plaintiffs claim that these clauses inflated prices for healthcare services at several Michigan hospitals.</p> <p>Class Members are all direct purchasers of healthcare services from a Michigan General Acute Care Hospital between 1-1-2006 and 6-23-2014. The Class includes: 1) individuals who paid Michigan General Acute Care Hospitals in the form of co-pays, co-insurance, or otherwise; 2) insurers that paid Michigan General Acute Care Hospitals for their insured; and 3) self-insured entities whose health plan participants received healthcare services at Michigan General Acute Care Hospitals.</p>		<p>Daniel A. Small Brent W. Johnson 1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005</p> <p>Hunton &amp; Williams LLP Todd M. Stenerson D. Bruce Hoffman 2200 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, D.C. 20037</p>