|  **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name**  **Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **Website Link** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **5-1-2015** | **14-CV-03121** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Philip Charvat, et al. v. AEP Energy, Inc. (“AEP”)**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that telemarketing calls made by or on behalf of AEP Energy violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227. The Class Period is from 4-29-2010 to 4-21-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Alexander Burke****BURKE LAW OFFICES, LLC****155 N. Michigan Avenue****Suite 9020****Chicago, Illinois 60601****Edward Broderick****Anthony Paronich****BRODERICK LAW, P.C.****125 Summer Street****Suite 1030****Boston, MA 02110** |
| **5-1-2015** | **14-CV-00922** | **(W.D. Tex.)** | **Fumia, et al. v. Multimedia Games Holding Company, Inc. (“MGH”), et al.**Shareholder-plaintiffs allege Defendants breached their fiduciary duties of loyalty, good faith and candor to MGH shareholders in negotiating a proposed merger with Global Cash Access Holdings, Inc. (“GCAH”) that favored GCAH and discouraged other potential bidders from submitting a superior offer for the company and failed to provide explanations to shareholders as to the process used for evaluating and negotiating merger terms with GCAH. The Class Period is from 9-9-2014 to 12-19-2014. | **Not set yet**Prepared by Brenda Berkley | **For more information write or call:****Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP****Juan E. Monteverde****James M. Wilson, Jr.****369 Lexington Avenue****Tenth Floor****New York, NY 10017****212 983-9330 (Ph.)** |
| **5-1-2015** | **08-CV-00042** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **Precision Associates, Inc., et al. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) LTD;** **Hankyu Hanshin Express Holding Corporation f/n/a Hankyu Express International Co., Ltd. and its subsidiary, Hankyu Hanshin Express Co., Ltd., and its U.S. subsidiary, Hanshin Air Cargo USA, Inc.; Japan Aircargo Forwarders Association; Kintetsu World Express, Inc. and its U.S. subsidiary, Kintetsu World Express (U.S.A.), Inc.; “K” Line Logistics, Ltd., and its U.S. subsidiary “K” Line Logistics (U.S.A.), Inc.; MOL Logistics (Japan) Co., Ltd., and its U.S. subsidiary MOL Logistics (U.S.A.) Inc.; Nippon Express Co., Ltd and its U.S. subsidiary, Nappon Express USA, Inc.; Nissin Corporation and its U.S. subsidiary, Nissin International Transport U.S.A., Inc.; Yamato Global Logistics Japan Co., Ltd., and its U.S. affiliate, Yamato Transport U.S.A. Inc.; Yusen Air & Sea Service Co., Ltd. and it U.S. subsidiary Yusen Air & Sea Service (U.S.A.), Inc. (collectively “the Defendants”)**Director-purchaser-plaintiffs allege, among other things, that the Settling Defendants participated in unlawful conspiracies to restrain trade pursuant to which Settling Defendants and the alleged co-conspirators agreed to fix, raise, or maintain the prices of specified Freight Forwarding Services for shipments within, to or from the United States or for purchases made within the United States during the Class Period, in violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. The Class Period is from 1-1-2001 to 1-4-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Benjamin M. Jaccarino****Lovell Stewart Halebian** **Jacobson LLP****61 Broadway****Suite 501****New York, NY 10006****212 608-1900 (Ph.)****212 719-4775 (Fax)** |
| **5-1-2015** | **12-CV-02669** | **(D. Minn.)** | **James W. Smith, Jr., et al. v. Questar Capital Corporation, Yorktown Financial Companies, Inc., and Allianz Life Insurance Companies of North America**Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Diversified Business Services & Investments, Inc.’s (“DBSI’s”) Securities were sold through a sales process which involved fraud and improper lack of disclosure. The legal theories of the claims alleged in the Amended Complaint include violations of the Minnesota Securities Act, negligent misrepresentation, and breach of contract. The Class Period is from 10-16-2006 to 10-16-2012. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Gustafson Gluek PLLC****120 South Sixth Street****Suite 2600****Minneapolis, MN 55402****612 333-8844 (Ph.)****Scarlett, Gucciardo & Hirsch, PA****7777 Glade Road****Suite 200****Boca Raton, FL 33434****561 278-6707 (Ph.)** |
| **5-4-2015** | **13-CV-2132** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **William Hopwood v. Nuance Communications, Inc. and Infinity Contact, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Infinity placed telemarketing calls on behalf of Nuance to consumers' cell phones for the purpose of promoting Nuance products. During the calls, Infinity representatives stated that the calls were coming from Nuance, with no mention of Infinity. The lawsuit alleges that Nuance and Infinity violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act because some consumers, including consumers who had placed their cell phone numbers on the Do-Not-Call list, did not agree to receive these calls. The Class Period is from 5-8-2009 to [date of preliminary approval].  | **Not set yet** | **For more information call:****Jay Edelson****Rafey S. Balabanian****Benjamin H. Richman**  **of Edelson PC****1 866 354-3015 (Ph.)** |
| **5-4-2015** | **14-CV-00300** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Alison Macias, et al. v. Recreational Equipment, Inc. ("REI")**Employee-plaintiffs allege that (i) REI failed to pay all hourly non-exempt employees minimum wages, overtime wages, (ii) failed to provide rest periods and meal periods, (iii) failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements, (iv) failed to pay business-related expenses, (v) failed to pay all vested vacation wages at termination, (vi) failed to pay wages owed at termination and during employment, and (vii) violated the Unfair Competition Law. The First Amended Complaint sought recovery of compensatory damages, liquidated damages, penalties, interest, attorneys' fees and costs, restitution and injunctive relief. The Class Period is from 11-21-2009 to [date of preliminary approval]. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Robert Drexler****Stan Karas****Capstone Law APC****1840 Century Park East****Suite 450****Los Angeles, CA 90067****855 265-1344 (Ph.)** |
| **5-4-2015** | **08-CV-5722** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: American International Group, Inc. ("AIG");****Other Defendants: Edward Bacon, Joseph Cella, Robert Cole, John Q. Doyle, Georgia Feigel, David Fields, Anthony Galioto, Howard Greene, Richard A. Grosiak, David Junis, Andrew J. Kaslow, Gary Reddick, Charles R. Schader, Stephen Schoepke, Kathleen Shannon, Martin Sulivan, Edmund S.W. Tse, Robert Thomas, Nicholas Tyler, Thomas Wright and Robert B. Willumstad** Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that the Defendants were fiduciaries of the Plans and violated fiduciary duties under ERISA by causing the Plans to offer, purchase and hold AIG stock when AIG stock was allegedly an imprudent and unduly risky investment for the Plans, due to events leading up to, and related to, the financial crisis of 2007-08, in particular AIG's investments in mortgage-backed securities. Plaintiffs further allege that the Defendants violated ERISA by misrepresenting to Plaintiffs and Plan participants the true financial condition of the Company and, consequently, the true value of AIG stock. The Class Period is from 8-7-2007 to 5-1-2009. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or fax:****Lynn Lincoln Sarko****Keller Rohrback L.L.P.****1201 Third Avenue****Suite 3200****Seattle, WA 98101****206 623-3384 (Fax)****Lee Squitieri****Squitieri & Fearon, LLP****32 East 57th Street****12th Floor****New York, NY 10022****212 421-6553 (Fax)** |
| **5-4-2015** | **11-CV-01056** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Luis Lerma and Nick Person, et al. v. Schiff Nutrition International, Inc. and Schiff Nutrition Group, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that the labeling and packaging of Move Free, Move Free Advanced, Pain Free, Lubriflex, Great American Nutrition, Metaform, Muscle Tribe, Victory, Schiff, Kirkland, Member’s Mark and Spring Valley brand joint health products contain false, deceptive and misleading statements and do not warn consumers about their potentially harmful side effects. The Class Period is from 1-1-2005 to [date of preliminary approval]. | **10-30-2015** | **For more information call or visit:****1-877 219-2980 (Ph.)**[**www.SchiffGlucosamineSettlement.com**](http://www.SchiffGlucosamineSettlement.com) |
| **5-5-2015** | **13-CV-06923** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Nicholas Martin v. JTH Tax, Inc., d/b/a/ Liberty Tax Service**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that JTH Tax, Inc. violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. ("TCPA") because calls were made to a cellular phone via auto dialer without consent. The Class Period is from 9-25-2009 to 4-24-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Burke Law Office, LLC****155 N. Michigan Avenue****Suite 9020****Chicago, IL 60601** |
| **5-6-2015** | **14-CV-11446** | **(D. Del.)** | **In re: Leaf123, Inc. (f/k/a Natrol, Inc.), et al.**Purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Leaf123, Inc. (f/k/a Natrol, Inc.) made false and misleading claims, and breached express and implied warranties regarding Cranberry Twist, Natural Botanicals, Natural Botanicals Maximum Strength, Acai Berry, Cinnamon Spice, Cinnamon Spice Maximum Strength, Lemon Mint, Lemon Mint Maximum Strength, Tropical Fruit, Peppermint, and Apricot (the “Products”). The Class Period is from 12-20-2009 to 4-16-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or visit:****Ronald A. Marron** **Skye Resendes** **LAW OFFICES OF**  **RONALD A. MARRON** **651 Arroyo Drive** **San Diego, CA 92103** **619-696-9006 (Ph.)****619-546-6665 (Fax)**[**www.LaciLeBeauLawsuit.com**](http://www.LaciLeBeauLawsuit.com) |
| **5-7-2015** | **09-CV-00852** | **(E.D. Wis.)** | **Fond du Lac Bumper Exchange, Inc. v. Jui Li Enterprise Co., Ltd., et al.**Direct-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that during the Class Period Defendants violated federal antitrust laws by agreeing to fix prices and limit supply for Aftermarket Automotive Sheet Metal Products ("AMSM"). The Class Period is from 1-1-2003 to 5-14-2015. | **8-14-2015** | **For more information write to:****Jason S. Hartley****Stueve Siegel Hanson,** **LLP****550 West C Street****Suite 1750****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **5-8-2015** | **13-CV-8310** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Michael Grant v. Commonwealth Edison Company**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Commonwealth Edison Company (“ComEd”) sent unsolicited text messages to cellular telephones using an automatic telephone dialing system. The text messages stated that consumers were subscribed to ComEd outage alerts. The lawsuit alleges ComEd violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act because consumers did not agree to receive these text messages, which were not sent about an actual emergency. The Class is described as all individuals in the U.S. to whom ComEd sent the outage alert enrollment text message without consent. | **9-11-2015** | **For more information call or visit:****1 855 229-7513 (Ph.)**[**www.ComEdTextSettlement.com**](http://www.ComEdTextSettlement.com) |
| **5-8-2015** | **12-CV-2714** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **In re: ViroPharma Incorporated Securities Litigation** Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges, among other things, that throughout the Class Period Defendants, while in possession of nonpublic, material information from the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”), made false and misleading statements to the market that contradicted what the FDA had told them. Specifically, the Complaint alleges that in December 2011, after the FDA approvedViroPharma’s supplemental New Drug Application (“sNDA”) to revise the package labeling forVancocin, Defendants told the market that ViroPharma met the qualifications for an additional three years of marketing exclusivity for Vancocin based on the approved sNDA and a new law that allowed for exclusivity if the applicant could show that the drug could be used for a new “condition of use.” The Complaint further alleges, however, that the FDA had previously told Defendants privately on several occasions that the clinical studies upon which ViroPharma based its exclusivity application—the Genzyme studies—were not adequate and well-controlled trials as to Vancocin. The Complaint alleges that this was important because an adequate and well-controlled trial was a prerequisite to establishing that Vancocin could be used to treat a new “condition of use.” The Class Period is from 12-14-2011 to 4-9-2012. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****LABATON SUCHAROW LLP****Jonathan Gardner, Esq.****140 Broadway****New York, NY 10005** |
| **5-8-2015** | **12-MD-02324** | **(D. Ariz.)** | **In re: Horizon Organic Milk Plus DHA Omega-3 Marketing and Sales Practice Litigation**Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that WhiteWave Foods Company (“Defendant”) made misrepresentions in the promotion and labeling of its products containing DHA Omega-3 ("DHA"). Plaintiffs maintain that Defendant’s actions constitute violations of the deceptive and unfair trade practices statutes of Florida, Illinois, California, Missouri, Arkansas, and Arizona, as well those similar deceptive and unfair practices and/or consumer protection laws in other states. Further, Plaintiffs claim that WhiteWave Food Company was unjustly enriched by said conduct. The Class Period is from 1-1-2007 to [date of preliminary approval]. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or email:****Lance A. Harke, P.A.****Harke Clasby & Bushman LLP****9699 NE Second Avenue****Miami Shores, FL 33138****lharke@harkeclasby.com** |
| **5-11-2015** | **14-CV-22950** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **West, et al. v. ExamSoft Worldwide Inc.**Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that ExamSoft's Software, which was used by test takers for the administration of the July 2014 Bar Exam, failed to properly function. The lawsuit asserts various consumer fraud, contract, and negligence claims. The Class is described as "all individuals and entities in the U.S. who registered and/or paid to use the Software for the July 2014 Bar Exam.”  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or visit:****John A. Yanchunis****Morgan & Morgan Complex** **Litigation Group****201 N. Franklin Street****7th Floor****Tampa, FL 33602**[**www.ExamSoftSettlement.com**](http://www.ExamSoftSettlement.com) |
| **5-12-2015** | **14-CV-23100** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Amber Grace Teufel, et al. v. Karlin Foods Corporation**Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that WalMart’s Great Value All Natural Cornstarch’s (the “Product”) labeling was false and deceptive in that it led purchasers to believe that the Product was “All Natural,” when in fact it included genetically modified organisms (“GMO”).The Class Period is from 8-22-2010 to [date of preliminary approval]. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Joshua H. Eggnatz****Michael J. Pascucci** **Lopatin & Pascucci, LLP****5400 S. University Dr.****Davie, FL 33328****954 889-3359 (Ph.)** |
| **5-14-2015** | **13-CV-11396** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **Marilyn Overall v. Ascension Health, et al.**Participant-plaintiff alleges violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"). The complaint alleged that Defendants denied Ascension Plans' participants and beneficiaries the protections of ERISA by claiming that the Ascension Plans qualified as ERISA-exempt "church plans." The complaint alleges that the Plans sponsored by Ascension, a large, non-profit healthcare entity, did not qualify as ERISA-exempt church plans. The Class includes participants in or beneficiaries of any of the defined benefit pension plans maintained, sponsored, or claimed by Ascension as Church Plans on or before the Effective Date of Settlement. | **9-17-2015** | **For more information visit:**[**www.kellersettlements.com**](http://www.kellersettlements.com) |
| **5-15-2015** | **13-CV-00029** | **(E.D. Va.** | **Thomas, et al. v Backgroundchecks.com**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that e-backgroundchecks.com, Inc. (“BGC”) violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”) by producing consumer reports without providing required notice to consumers and by producing consumer reports containing inaccurate information. The Class Period is from 1-1-2011 to 8-31-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Consumer Litigation** **Associates, P.C.****Attn: Leonard A. Bennett****763 J Clyde Morris Blvd.****Suite 1A****Newport News, VA 23601** |
| **5-15-2015** | **10-CV-07838** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **John Hill, et al. v. China-Biotics, Inc., et al.**Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that China-Biotics and certain of its officers and directors made false and misleading statements in China-Biotics’ public filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in violation of federal securities laws. Lead Plaintiffs subsequently filed an amended complaint against the same defendants, alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Securities Act of 1933. The Class Period is from 7-10-2008 to 7-1-2011. | **9-10-2015** | **For more information write or call:****Saxena White P.A.****Lester R. Hooker****5200 Town Center Circle****Suite 601****Boca Raton, FL 33486****561 206-6708 (Ph.)** |
| **5-18-2015** | **14-CV-12949** | **(D. Mass.)** | **In re: Covidien PLC Securities Litigation;****Defendants: José E. Almeida, Joy A. Amundson, Craig Arnold, Robert H. Brust, Christopher J. Coughlin, Randall J. Hogan, III, Dennis H. Reilley, Stephen H. Rusckowski, Joseph A. Zaccagnino, Medtronic, Inc. (“Medtronic”), Kalani I Limited (n/k/a Medtronic Holdings Limited) (“New Medtronic”), and merger subsidiaries Makani II Limited, Aviation Acquisition Co., Inc. and Aviation Merger Sub, LLC** Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege violations in connection with defendants' attempts to sell Covidien to Medtronic, Inc. ("Medtronic") (the "Proposed Transaction") at an unfair price, and through an unfair process that involved defendants' dissemination in bad faith of a false and misleading proxy statement. The Class Period is from 6-14-2014 to 1-26-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Richard A. Acocelli****WEISSLAW LLP****1500 Broadway****16th Floor****New York, NY 10036** |
| **5-18-2015** | **13-CV-223** | **(D. Md.** | **Barry Durm, Jr. v. American Honda Finance Corp.**Consumer-class members are current or former United States Military servicemembers who made cash Capitalized Cost Reduction (“CCR”) payments on a vehicle lease with Honda, but then terminated the lease early pursuant to the Act, 50 U.S.C. App §§ 501, et seq. At issue in this litigation is the treatment of each servicemember’s down payment made at the inception of their lease. Plaintiff alleges that the Act requires Honda to provide a pro rata refund of this down payment, known as CCR in leasing parlance, with the amount of the refund depending on how much time remains on the lease. In other words, if a servicemember made a down payment of $1,000 under the lease, and then terminated the lease halfway through the term, under Plaintiff’s view, he or she would be entitled to a refund of $500. The Class Period is from 1-1-2008 to 4-27-2015. | **11-2-2015** | **For more information write to:****Thomas T. Booth, Jr.****Law Offices of**  **Thomas T. Booth, Jr.****129 W. Evesham Road****Voorhees, NJ 08043** |
| **5-21-2015** | **07-MD-5944** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: CRT Antitrust Litigation** Direct-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Thomson SA (n/k/a “Technicolor SA”) and Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. (n/k/a “Technicolor USA, Inc.”) (collectively, the “Thomson Defendants”) and Co-Conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of Cathode Ray Tubes (“CRTs”) and the CRTs contained in certain finished products for over ten years, resulting in overcharges to direct purchasers of those CRTs and certain finished products containing CRTs. The complaint describes how the Defendants and Co-Conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. antitrust laws by establishing a global cartel that set artificially high prices for, and restricted the supply of, CRTs and the televisions and monitors that contained them. The Class Period is from 3-1-1995 to 11-25-2007. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**[**www.crtdirectpurchaserantitrustsettlement.com**](http://www.crtdirectpurchaserantitrustsettlement.com) |
| **5-21-2015** | **11-CV-00329** | **(N.D. Tex.)** | **The Official Stanford Investors Committee, et al. v. Adams & Reese, LLP, et al.**Securities-investor-plaintiffs allege that numerous attorneys and former Stanford executives contributed to the sale of more than $7.2 billion in sham certificates of deposit from the mid-1980s until 2009, but U.S. District Judge David C. Godbey ruled that claims based on the sale of unregistered securities and the sale of securities by unregistered dealers before 2-17-2009, were barred by a statute of repose. The Class Period is from 2-17-2009 to date of preliminary approval.  | **8-28-2015** | **For more information write, call, or visit:****Castillo Snyder, P.C.****Edward C. Snyder****Jesse R. Castillo****300 Convent Street****Suite 1020****San Antonio, TX 78205****210 630-4200 (Ph.)**[**www.stanfordfinancialclaims.com**](http://www.stanfordfinancialclaims.com) |
| **5-21-2015** | **14-CV-01570** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Eunice Johnson, et al. v. Triple Leaf Tea, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Defendant Triple Leaf made false and misleading claims, and breached express and implied warranties regarding its products. The Class Period is from 4-4-2010 to date of preliminary approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Ronald A. Marron****Law Offices of**  **Ronald Marron, APLC****651 Arroyo Drive****San Diego, CA 92103****619 696-9006 (Ph.)** |
| **5-22-2015** | **13-CV-24583** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Chris P. Carter v. Forjas Taurus S.A., Taurus International Manufacturing, Inc. and Taurus Holdings, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that safety defects may cause some Class Pistols to unintentionally fire with the safety in the “on” or “safe” position when the trigger is pulled and some Class Pistols may unintentionally fire when dropped or bumped. Class Members are all who owned one or more of the following Taurus-branded firearms: Millennium PT-111; PT-132; PT-138; PT-140; PT-145; PT-745; PT-609; PT-640 and PT-27/7. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****David L. Selby, II****John W. Barrett****Bailey & Glasser, LLP****3000 Riverchase Galleria****Suite 905****Birmingham, AL 35244** |
| **5-22-2015** | **13-CV-00729** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Hendricks, et al. v. StarKist Co.**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that StarKist under-filled certain 5 oz. canned tuna products in violation of state and federal law. The Class Period is from 2-19-2009 to 10-31-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit or email:**[**http://www.tunalawsuit.com**](http://www.tunalawsuit.com)**Class Counsel:****info@bursor.com** |
| **5-26-2015** | **13-CV-5414** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Childers, et al. v. The New York and Presbyterian Hospital**Medical-resident-plaintiffs allege that New York Presbyterian Hospital (“NYP”) acted against the medical residents’ interest when it entered into an agreement with the IRS that, among other provisions, stated that NYP would not seek refunds of FICA taxes paid by or on behalf of itself and medical residents in the NYP/Weill Cornell Residency Program during the class period. The lawsuits allege that the agreement in question caused former medical residents to miss out on the opportunity to obtain FICA tax refunds when the IRS later ruled that medical residents were not properly subject to FICA taxes during this period. The Class Period is from 1-1-1995 to 6-30-2001. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Matt Brinckerhoff****Andrew Wilson****Elizabeth Saylor** **David Lebowitz****Emery Celli, Brinkerhoff &** **Abady LLP****600 Fifth Avenue****10th Floor****New York, NY 10020****212 763-5000 (Ph.)** |
| **5-26-2015** | **13-CV-00406** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Augustina Blanco v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges the Rexall Sundown, Inc. and NBTY, Inc. (and their affiliated companies) (collectively, “Rexall”) manufacture and sell joint health dietary supplements containing the ingredients glucosamine and chondroitin (“the Glucosamine Products”). Class actions have been filed in which the plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and other purchasers, allege that certain claims made on the labeling of certain Glucosamine Products are false. The Class Period for this action can be found by viewing [www.glucosaminesettlement.com](http://www.glucosaminesettlement.com) under Exhibit A – Covered Products. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**[**www.glucosaminesettlement.com**](http://www.glucosaminesettlement.com) |
| **5-28-2015** | **13-CV-3116** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Delcath Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation**Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants failed to disclose certain details relating to the results of the clinical trials and the specifications of the Company’s New Drug Application (“NDA”). Specifically, Lead Plaintiffs claimed that Defendants failed to disclose that: (i) the Melblez Kit resulted in the deaths of 7% of patients treated versus zero deaths in the best alternative care (non-drug) group, (ii) nearly 24% of the patients treated with the Melblez Kit suffered from serious adverse events (“SAEs”), and (iii) the Company refiled its NDA with a different filter without having performed clinical trials. Lead Plaintiffs also challenge Defendants’ statements about Delcath’s NDA for the approval of the Melblez Kit, the FDA approval process, and certain other allegedly misleading statements. The Class Period is from 4-21-2010 to 5-2-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Marc. I. Gross****Tamar A. Weinrib****Pomerantz LLP****600 Third Avenue****New York, NY 10016****212 661-1100 (Ph.)** |
| **5-28-2015** | **10-MD-02196** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **In re: Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation;****Defendants:** **Mohawk Industries, Inc. ("Mohawk")****FFP Holdings LLC** **Foamex Innovations, Inc. ("FXI")****Future Foam, Inc.****Woodbridge Foam Corporation, Woodbridge Foam Fabricating, Inc., and Woodbridge Sales and engineering, Inc. ("Woodbridge") and Hickory Springs Manufacturing Company (collectively “the Defendants”)**Direct-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that the Defendants conspired to fix, raise, stabilize, or maintain the prices of and allocate territories or customers for Flexible Polyurethane Foam. Plaintiffs also allege that this conspiracy caused direct purchasers to pay more for flexible Polyurethane Foam than they would have otherwise paid. The Class Period is from 1-1-1999 to 7-31-2010. | **10-9-2015** | **For more information call or visit:****1 888 331-9196 (Ph.)**[**www.flexiblepolyurethanefoamsettlement.com**](http://www.flexiblepolyurethanefoamsettlement.com) |
| **5-28-2015** | **10-CV-02847** | **(N.D. Ala.)** | **Local 703, I.B. of T. Grocery and Food Employees Fund, et al. v. Regions Financial Corporation, et al.**Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege in their amended complaint, filed on 2-28-2011, that Defendants made materially false and misleading statements and omissions about the quality of Regions Financial’s loans, the adequacy of its loan loss reserves, and the value of its goodwill. Lead Plaintiffs further allege that these materially false and misleading statements and omissions caused Regions Financial’s common stock to trade at artificially inflated prices, in violation of §§ 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The Class Period is from 2-27-2008 to 1-19-2009. | **9-9-2015** | **For more information call:****Claims Administrator****1 877 290-8970 (Ph.)** |
| **5-28-2015** | **12-CV-09354** | **(N.D. (Ill.)** | **Michael Arango, et al. v. Landry's, Inc. and Bubba Gump Shrimp Co. Restaurants, Inc.**Employee-plaintiffs allege, among other things, violations of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act for unpaid wages as a result of Bubba Gump's unlawful tip pool. The Class Period is from 3-3-2010 to 11-8-2011. | **8-27-2015** | **For more information write or email:****Robin Potter &** **Associates, P.C.****111 East Wacker Drive****Suite 260****Chicago, IL 60601****BubbaGumpclassaction@potterlaw.org** |
| **5-29-2015** | **10-CV-05064****11-CV-04433****11-CV-04906****11-CV-05126****11-CV-05199** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Gentiva Securities Litigation****Endress v. Gentiva Health Services, Inc. ("GHS"), et al.****Cement Masons & Pasterers Joint Pension Trust v. GHS, et al.****Int'l Union of Operating Engineers Pension Fund of Eastern Penn. and Del. v. GHS, et al.****Ark. Teaher Retirement System v. GHS, et al.****Dahlgard v. GHS, et al. (the “Consolidated Complaint")**The Consolidated Complaint asserts claims against the Settling Defendants under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") on behalf of persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Gentiva securities. The Consolidated Complaint also asserts claims under Section 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"). The Class Period is from 7-31-2008 to 10-4-2011.  | **9-11-2015** | **For more information write to:****KAPLAN FOX &** **KILSHEIMER LLP****Frederic S. Fox****Joel B. Strauss****850 Third Avenue****14th Floor****New York, NY 10022** |
| **5-29-2015** | **14-CV-02359** | **(E.D. Cal.)** | **Matthew Scott Robinson v. Paramount Equity Mortgage, LLC**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Paramount Equity Mortgage, LLC ("Paramount Equity") violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. §227, et seq., by causing unsolicited calls to be made to Plaintiff and other class members’ cellular telephones through the use of an auto-dialer and/or artificial or pre-recorded voice messages. Plaintiff also alleges that Paramount Equity made calls to telephone numbers that were registered on the National Do-Not-Call Registry. The Class Period is from 10-16-2013 to 5-15-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****W. Craft Hughes****Jarrett L. Ellzey** **Hughes Ellzey, LLP****Galleria Tower I****2700 Post Oak Blvd.****Suite 1120****Houston, TX 77056** |