| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name****Summary of Issue**  | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **5-2-2016** | **15-CV-00267** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **DiCicco, et al. v. Citizens Financial Group, Inc., et al.****Re Defendants: Citizens Financial Group, Inc. and Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Citizens Bank’s existing method for calculating borrowers’ Minimum Payments accelerates the repayment of principal and results in higher Minimum Payments during approximately the first seven (7) years of borrowers’ fifteen (15) year Repayment Periods. The Class is described as: All current Citizens Bank customers who obtained a Home Equity Line of Credit (“HELOC”)from a Citizens Bank branch in Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, or Delaware prior to 7-8-2006, or a Charter One branded branch in Illinois or Indiana between 6-24-2005 and 7-8-2006, or a Charter One branded branch in Ohio or Michigan between 7-22-2005 and 7-8-2006. | **Not set yet** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley**For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:****Ronald Jay Smolow****3 Three Ponds Lane****Newtown, PA 18940****215 579-1111 (Ph.)****215 579-7949 (Fax)****Ron@smolow.com** |
| **5-2-2016** | **14-CV-01539** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Swift v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.****Re Defendants: Bank of America, NB Holdings Corporation and FIA Card Services, N.A.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by using an automatic telephone dialing system to contact cell phones without the prior express consent of the recipients. The Class Period is from 2-1-2013 to 4-19-2016. | **7-13-2016** | **For more information call or visit:****1 844 804-4369 (Ph.)**[**www.SwiftBofASettlement.com**](http://www.SwiftBofASettlement.com) |
| **5-2-2016** | **11-CV-01836** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **Lockman, Inc. v. The City of New York****Re Defendants: Traffic Control Division of the New York City Police Department and the New York City Department of Finance; Stephen Goldsmith; David M. Frankel; James Tuller; Harry J. Wedin; and John and Jane Does 1-10**The lawsuit alleges that the City issued unlawful Traffic Lane violation tickets (Code 45) to participants in the City’s Stipulated Fine Program, which, under the Stipulated Fine Program, require the participants to pay $40, instead of Double Parking tickets (Code 46), which, under the Stipulated Fine Program, do not require the participants to pay anything. Plaintiff alleges that, in doing so, Defendants acted in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I §§ 6-7 of the New York State Constitution. The Class Period is from 6-1-2006 to 10-31-2010.  | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Giskan Solotaroff &** **Aderson LLP****Oren Giskan****Raymond Audain****11 Broadway****Suite 2150****New York, NY 10004** |
| **5-3-2015** | **16-CV-05147** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **Amrish Rajagopalan, et al. v. Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland and Platte River Insurance Company, as Sureties for Meracord LLC****The Underlying Lawsuits Against Meracord –** Plaintiffs allege that Meracord, along with a number of debt-relief companies, engaged in a fraudulent scheme to charge excessive and illegal fees. The Plaintiffs allege that Meracord’s actions violated the Washington Debt Adjusting Act, the Washington Consumer Protection Act, and other laws.**The Surety Bond Lawsuits** – Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Meracord was licensed in many states as a “money transmitter.” In order to get those licenses, many states required that Meracord post surety bonds (“the Bonds”). The Bonds served as protection for states and/or customer claimants against certain wrongful conduct by Meracord. The Bonds were issued by two different surety Companies: Platte River Insurance Company and Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland (together, “Sureties”). The total amount of all Bonds is approximately $17 million, but the amount of each Bond varies from state to state.**The Platte River Settlement** – A proposed settlement of $5,293,454 has been reached between representative of each state where Platte River issued a Bond and Platte River, which issued Bonds in 26 states. The settlement amount represents 85% of the total amount of each Platte River Bond. The settlement does not involve Fidelity, the other surety company that issued Bonds for Meracord. The Class is described as: 1) all who had an account with Meracord (formerly NoteWorld); 2) Meracord deducted and fees related to debt settlement services (including mortgage assistance relief services) from the account; and 3) at least one payment was made into the Meracord account by the account holder while residing in a Platte River State. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:****Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP****Thomas E. Loeser****Steve W. Berman****1918 Eight Avenue****Suite 3300****Seattle, WA 98101****206 623-7292 (Ph.)****206 623-0594 (Fax)****steve@hbsslaw.com****toml@hbsslaw.com****Celeste H.G. Boyd****106 Churton Street****Suite 200****Hillsborough, NC 27278****919 307-9991 (Ph.)****866 734-0622 (Fax)** |
| **5-3-2016** | **15-CV-8927** |  | **Glen Ellyn Pharmacy, Inc. v. FDS, Inc., et al.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that it received an unsolicited fax advertisement from FDS promoting its goods or services that did not contain a proper opt out notice. Plaintiff alleges that these faxes violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) and constituted common law conversion. The Class Period is from 8-21-2011 to 8-21-2015. | **9-27-2016**  | **For more information write, call or fax:****Daniel A. Edelman****Dulijaza Clark****Edelman, Combs, Latturner** **& Goodwin, LLC****20 S. Clark Street****Suite 1500****Chicago, IL 60603****312 739-4200 (Ph.)****312 419-0379 (Fax)** |
| **5-4-2016** | **14-CV-09809** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Raymond Unutoa, et al., v. Interstate Resorts & Hotels, Inc., et al.**The Complaint asserts twelve employee claims against Defendants for: (1) Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods; (2) Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods; (3) Failure to Provide Overtime Wages; (4) Failure to Pay Minimum Wage; (5) Failure to Pay all Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees; (6) Failure to Maintain Required Records; (7) Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Statements; (8) Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties; (9) Failure to Pay reporting time; (10) Failure to Pay Timely Wages; (11) Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices; and (12) Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act. The Class Period is from 11-14-2010 to 4-5-2016. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mal:**Matthew J. Matern Launa Adolph MATERN LAW GROUP1230 Rosecrans AvenueSuite 200Manhattan Beach, CA 90266310 531-1900 (Ph.)mmatern@maternlawgroup.comladolph@maternlawgroup.com |
| **5-5-2016** | **11-MD-02295** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendants called consumers on their cell phones for debt collection purposes without their prior express consent with an automatic telephone dialing system in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. The Class Period is from 12-23-2006 to 7-1-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Ethan Preston and Preston** **Law Offices** **4054 McKinney Avenue Suite 310****Dallas Texas 75204****James O. Latturner****Edelman, Combs, Latturner** **& Goodwin, LLC** **20 South Clark Street, Suite 1500** **Chicago, Illinois 60603** |
| **5-6-2016** | **14-CV-01393** | **(D.P.R.)** | **In re: Doral Financial Corp.****Re Defendants: Robert E. Wahlman and Glen R. Wakeman (together with Mr. Wahlman, the “Defendants”)**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made material misstatements and omissions regarding the financial health and status of Doral. Specifically, Co-Lead Plaintiffs allege that Settling Defendants misrepresented Doral’s regulatory compliance and artificially inflated its capital levels by means of (i) systemic and widespread deficiencies in Doral’s procedures for determining appropriate loan reserves, which deficiencies were concealed during the Class Period; and (ii) concealing risks underlying a so-called “Tax Receivable,” which Doral relied upon heavily to satisfy its capital requirements. Co-Lead Plaintiffs allege that when the market learned of certain loan reserve and Tax Receivable events, the price of Doral stock fell, causing damage to purchasers of Doral’s stock during the Class Period. The Class Period is from 4-2-2012 to 5-1-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write, call or e-mail:****Rick Nelson****Shareholder Relations****Robbins Geller Rudman &** **Dowd LLP****655 West Broadway****Suite 1900****San Diego, CA 92101****800 449-4900 (Ph.)**[**www.rgrdlaw.com**](http://www.rgrdlaw.com)**Alexa Mullarky****Glancy Prongay & Murray** **LLP****1925 Century Park East****Suite 2100****Los Angeles, CA 90067****310 201-9150** |
| **5-6-2016** | **13-CV-0029** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **James D. Hinson Electrical Contracting Co., Inc., et al. v. AT&T Services, Inc. and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that AT&T overcharges those who damage its facilities and receive claims for the cost of repairing the damage. It is further alleged that AT&T improperly includes on its damage claims an undisclosed charge to cover the costs of its risk management department and a charge for “loss of use” (also described as “loss of service”). The Class Period is from 7-1-2008 to 5-1-2016. | **Not set yet** | **For more informaton write to:****Kenneth S. Canfield****Doffermyre Shields****Canfield & Knowles,LLC****1355 Peachtree St, N.E.****Suite 1900****Atlanta, GA 30309** |
| **5-6-2016** | **16-CV-05147** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **Amrish Rajagopalan et al. v. Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland and Platte River Insurance Company, as Sureties for Meracord LLC**The court has scheduled a Fairness Hearing with respect to the proposed settlement. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 5-3-2016. | **8-30-2016** | **For more information write to:** |
| **5-9-2016** | **14-CV-00254** | **(D. Or.)** | **John Martin Kearney, et al. v. Equilon Enterprises, LLC**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that a SKI FREE® promotion offered at participating Shell-branded fuel stations throughout the states of California, Michigan, Oregon and Washington, as advertised, provided purchasers of ten gallons of fuel with a voucher for a ski resort lift ticket. Plaintiffs claim that the SKI FREE® vouchers did not entitle them to a free lift ticket, as allegedly advertised. Instead, the vouchers were a "buy one get one free" offer that required the purchase of a full-priced lift ticket in order to receive a free one. Plaintiffs also claim that the vouchers contained a variety of date, time, resort, and other limitations, and that the Defendant's advertising of the SKI FREE® promotion violated various state consumer protection laws and other state statutes and gave rise to common law causes of action for breach of contract. The Class Period is from 11-1-2009 to date of Preliminary Approval Order. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforatmion write to:****Robert A. Curtis****Foley Bezek Behle &**  **Curtis, LLP****15 W. Carrillo Street****Santa Barbara, CA 93101** |
| **5-9-2016** | **15-CV-01435** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Harvey Kalan, M.D., et al. v. Farmers & Merchants Trust Company, et al.****Re Defendants: Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered (“C&D”), Jeffrey A. Neiman (“Neiman”), and Gates Halbruner & Hatch and its successor, Halbruner Hatch & Guise, LLP (“GHH)**In this lawsuit, Plaintiffs, who are stakeholders in the Trusts, allege, on behalf of the Class, that for about 10 years beginning in 2004, the Koresko Parties misappropriated millions of dollars of the Trusts’ assets, and made illegal payments from those funds to various law firms and other entities, including the Settling Defendants. Plaintiffs seek to require the Defendants to return back to the Trusts any monies received from the Trusts, and make claims for other damages. The Class is described as: all members of the benefit plan whose assets are held by the Trusts or a participant in or a beneficiary of such plan.  | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write or e-mail:****Ira Silverstein****The Silverstein Firm****1515 Market Street****Suite 1200****Philadelphia, PA 19102** |
| **5-9-2016** | **13-CV-03136** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Linda Sanders v. RBS Citizens, N.A.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by calling persons on their cellular phone using an automatic telephone dialing system or artificial or prerecorded voice, without prior express consent. The Class Period is from 12-20-2009 to 7-31-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information e-mail or write to:****Douglas J. Campion****Law Offices of**  **Douglas J. Campion, APC****CitizensSettlement@djcampion.com****Ronald A. Marron****Alexis Wood****The Law Office of**  **Ronald A. Marron****651 Arroyo Drive****San Diego, CA 92103** |
| **5-9-2016** | **14-CV-9662** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Petrobras Securities Litigation****Re DefendantsL Petrobras, PGF, Petrobras America Inc., Theodore Marshall Helms, PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores Independentes, Maria das Graças Silva Foster, José Sérgio Gabrielli de Azevedo, Almir Guilherme Barbassa, Paulo Roberto Costa, José Carlos Cosenza, Renato de Souza Duque, Guilherme de Oliveira Estrella, Jose Miranda Formigli Filho, Silvio Sinedino Pinheiro, Daniel Lima de Oliveira, José Raimundo Brandao Pereira, Servio Tulio da Rosa Tinoco, Paulo José Alves, Gustavo Tardin Barbosa, Alexandre Quintão Fernandes, Marcos Antonio Zacarias, Cornelis Franciscus Jozef Looman, and the following underwriter defendants – BB Securities Ltd., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Itau BBA USA Securities, Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, HSBC Securities (USA) Inc., Mitsubishi UFJ Securities (USA), Inc., Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Standard Chartered Bank, Bank of China (Hong Kong) Limited, Banco Bradesco BBI S.A., Banca IMI S.p.A., and Scotia Capital (USA) Inc.** Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, as well as Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “federal securities laws”). The lawsuit is brought on behalf of investors for alleged violations of the federal securities laws by defendants for purportedly concealing a multi-year, multi-billion dollar bribery and kickback scheme. The Class Period is from 1-22-2010 to 7-28-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion visit:**[**www.petrobrassecuritieslitigation.com**](http://www.petrobrassecuritieslitigation.com) |
| **5-11-2016** | **16-CV-03372** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Mario Aliano v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that CVS misrepresented the effectiveness of its Algal-900 DHA dietary supplements, which contained docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) algal oil, by claiming it was “clinically shown to improve memory” or “clinically shown memory improvement” on the label or packaging. The lawsuit also alleges that CVS violated consumer protection laws, committed fraud, and unfairly profited from the sale of these products. The Class period is from 11-15-2008 to date of Preliminary Approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or visit:****1 888 283-6979 (Ph.)**[www.brainhealthdhasettlement.com](http://www.brainhealthdhasettlement.com) |
| **5-11-2016** | **14-CV-01123** | **(D. Mass.)** | **In re: Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Defendants made false statements and material omissions in violation of §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, regarding the drug obeticholic acid (“OCA”), the FLINT trial for OCA as a treatment for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (“NASH”), and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases’ (“NIDDK”) reported finding of significant lipid abnormalities in the trial.The Class Period is from 1-9-2014 to 1-10-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Robbins Geller Rudman** **& Dowd LLP****Tor Gronborg or** **Trig Smith****655 West Boradway****Suite 1900****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **5-11-2016** | **13-CV-06802** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **The Dial Corporation, et al. v. News Corporations, et al.****Re Defendants: News Corporation, News America, Inc., News America Marketing In-Store Services L.L.C.**Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated federal and state antitrust laws by monopolizing an alleged market for third-party in-store promotion products in the United States. Specifically, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants engaged in various anticompetitive acts, including entering into long-term, exclusive agreements with retailers, to obtain and maintain an illegal monopoly and extract higher prices from customers. The Class is described as all who purchased products from News America Marketing after 4-26-2009. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:****Steven F. Benz** **Kellogg, Huber, Hansen,**  **Todd, Evens & Figel,** **P.L.L.C****1615 M Street, N.W.****Suite 400****Washington, DC 20036****dnrnx@khhte.com****James T. Southwick****Susman Godfrey L.L.P.****1000 Louisiana Street****Houston, TX 77002****jsouthwick@susmangodfrey.com** |
| **5-12-2016** | **13-CV-1314** | **(D.D.C.)** | **Albert C. Ceccone, et al. v. Equifax Information Services LLC**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Equifax Information Services, LLC violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (the “FCRA”), by (1) furnishing credit reports that included inaccurate information about District of Columbia Recorder’s Office liens in some credit reports when the liens had been satisfied or paid; and, (2) including an incorrect address for the District of Columbia Recorder’s Office in consumer disclosures. The Class is described as all consumers who were the subject of a consumer report furnished by Equifax to a third party (i) on or after 5-28-2011, (ii) during a month in which a water and/or sewer lien filed with the District of Columbia Recorder’s Office was included in the individual’s credit file, and (iii) when the lien was showing as satisfied or paid in the District of Columbia Recorder’s Office during or prior to the month immediately preceding the month in which that consumer report was furnished; or to whom Equifax sent a consumer disclosure on or after 5-28-2011 that included an incorrect address for the District of Columbia’s Recorder’s Office. | **8-25-2016** | **For more information write or fax:****Leonard A. Bennett****Consumer Litigation**  **Associates****763 J Clyde Morris Blvd.****Suite 1A****Newport News, VA 23601****757 930-3662 (Fax)** |
| **5-12-2016** | **15-CV-00061** | **(D. Neb.)** | **Curtis Klug, Lawrence Nover and Nels Roe v. Watts Regulator Company and Watts Water Technologies, Inc.**The lawsuits allege that Watts’ actions led to the failure of the Water Heater and FloodSafe connectors. The lawsuits ask for replacement connectors to be provided to those who purchase Water Heater and FloodSafe connectors and for money to be paid to those who paid to repair property damage as a result of the failure of the connectors. The Class is described as all who own or owned or leases or leased) a residence or other structure located in the United States containing a Watts Water Heater or FloodSafe connector after 11-4-2008. This includes any person or entity that suffered property damage and/or paid to repair property damage caused by the failure of a Watts Water Heater or a FloodSafe connector. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Shanon J. Carson****Berger & Montague, P.C.****1622 Locust Street****Philadelphia, PA 19103****Bryan L. Clobes****Cafferty Clobes Meriwether & Sprengel LLP****150 S. Wacker****Suite 3000****Chicago,IL 60606****Joseph G. Sauder****McCunewright, LLP****1055 Westlakes Drive****Suite 300****Berwyn, PA 19312** |
| **5-13-2016** | **14-CV-7126****14-CV-7907****14-CV-8342****14-CV-8365****14-CV-8576** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Alaska Electrical Pension Fund, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.**Plaintiffs allege that Citigroup participated in an unlawful conspiracy to restrain trade by agreeing to manipulate the ISDAfix financial benchmark. Plaintiffs allege that Citigroup coordinated its trading in swaps and options to move the reference rate that began the ICPA Capital Markets, LLC (“ICPA”) ISDAfix rate-setting process and by accepting ICA’s proposed reference rate even if that rate did not reflect Citigroup’s pricing. Plaintiffs assert claims based on Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §1; breach of contract; breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; unjust enrichment; and tortious interference with contract. The Class is described as: all Persons or entities that entered into, received payments on, terminated, USD interest rate derivatives (including interest rate swaps and swaptions) with a Defendant between 1-1-2006 and 6-30-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:****David W. Mitchell****Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP****655 West Broadway****Suit 1900****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **5-13-2016** | **14-CV-04062** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Animation Workers Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: Sony Pictures, Dream Works Animation SKG, Inc., Two Pic MC LLC f/k/a Image Movers Digital LLC, Lucasfilm, Ltd., LLC, Pixar, The Walt Disney Company, and Blue Sky Studios, Inc.**Employee-plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to suppress compensation by agreeing not to solicit each other’s employees and to coordinate compensation policies in violation of federal and state antitrust laws. The Class is described as all animation and visual effects employees employed by one or more of the Defendants in the United States who held any of the job titles listed on the website.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit, write or e-mail:**[**www.animationlawsuit.com**](http://www.animationlawsuit.com)**Daniel A. Small****Cohen Milstein**  **Sellers & Toll PLLC****1100 New York Ave., N.W.****Suite 500****Washington, DC 20005****animation@cohenmilstein.com****Steve W. Berman****Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP****1918 Eight Avenue****Suite 3300****Seattle, WA 98101****animation@hbasslaw.com** |
| **5-13-2016** | **15-CV-443** | **(E.D. Va.)** | **James Jenkins, et al. v. Equifax Information Services LLC**Plaintiffs are consumers who requested a copy of credit file disclosures from Equifax. At the time of the requests, their credit file disclosures contained one or more public record items (such as a bankruptcy, lien or judgment). Equifax did not identify its public records vendor(s) as the source(s) of the public record information consumer credit file disclosures it provided to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs sued Equifax because they believe that this violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act. The Class Period is from 6-28-2013 to 4-14-2016. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write or fax:****Leonard A. Bennett****Consumer Litigation** **Associates****763 J Clyde Morris Blvd.****Suite 1A****Newport News, VA 23601****757 930-3662 (Fax)** |
| **5-16-2016** | **14-CV-01842** | **(D. Conn.)** | **Held v. Performance Sports Group Ltd. and Performance Lacrosse Group, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Performance Lacrosse Group Inc. (“PLG”) misrepresented the compliance of the Cascade R lacrosse helmets with Standard ND-041, as established by the National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment (“NOCSAE”), and that Plaintiffs sustained economic damages as a result of purchasing Cascade R lacrosse helmets that were certified as NOCSAE-compliant but that allegedly did not comply with the NOCSAE standard. The Class Period is from 7-1-2013 to 11-20-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforation write to:**Jeffrey I. CartonDENLEA & CARTON LLP2 Westchester Park DriveSuite 410White Plains, NY 10604Joseph G. SauderMcCuneWright, LLP1055 Westlakes Drive Suite 300Berwyn, PA 19312 |
| **5-16-2016** | **15-CV-04623** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Teofilo Vasco v. Power Home Remodeling Group LLC**Plaintiff alleges that Power Home Remodeling Group LLC (“PHRG”) violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. (“TCPA”), by calling cellular phones without proper consent using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or pre-recorded or artificial voice messages. The Class Period is from 10-13-2013 to 4-27-2016. | **10-7-2016** | **For more information****write, call or fax:****Shanon J. Carson** **Arthur Stock** **Lane L. Vines** **1622 Locust Street****Philadelphia, PA 19103****215 875-3000 (Ph.)****215 875-4604 (Fax)** |
| **5-16-2016** | **14-CV-1416** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Van Noppen v. InnerWorkings, Inc., et al.****Re Defendants: InnerWorkings, Inc. (“InnerWorkings” or “Company”), Eric D. Belcher and Joseph M. Busky**Plaintiff alleges that InnerWorkings is a leading marketing execution firm that provides global print management and promotional solutions to corporate clients across a wide range of industries. As alleged in Lead Plaintiff’s Complaint, the core metric that investors used to assess InnerWorkings’ performance was revenue growth. The alleged Class Period statements identifiedInnerWorkings’ enterprise (*i.e.,* large client) business and its M&A strategy as “key pillars” or “engines” of growth. Accordingly, Lead Plaintiff alleges that the ability to fund acquisitions and foster growth was critical to the Company’s financial health. During the Class Period, however, Defendants allegedly concealed a serious problem affecting growth: that Productions Graphics, the Company’s recent acquisition and main expansion into Europe, could not meet its 2012 targets. The Class Period is from 2-15-2012 to 11-6-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:** **Labaton Sucharow LLP****Jonathan Gardner****140 Broadway****New York, NY 10005** |
| **5-17-2016** | **14-CV-9357** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: MOL Global, Inc. Securities Litigation****Re Defendants: MOL Global, Inc., Ganesh Kumar Bangah, Allan Sai Wah Wong, Craig White, Yit Fei Chang, Tek Kuang Cheah, Mun Kee Chang, Eric He,Noah J. Doyle, Tan Sri Dato’ Seri Vincent Tan (collectively the “MOL Defendants”), Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., and UBS Securities LLC (collectively the “Underwriter Defendants” and with MOL Defendants, the “Defendants”)**Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants acted with scienter in that they knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of MOLG were materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated, or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the securities laws. These defendants by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts of MOLG, their control over, and/or modification of MOLG’s allegedly materially misleading statements, and/or their associations with the company, which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning MOLG, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged. The Class Period is from 10-9-2014 to 11-20-2014. | **Not set yet** | `**For more information write to:****Kirby McInerney LLP****Daniel Hume****Ira M. Press****Meghan Summers****825 Third Avenue,****16th Floor****New York, NY 10022** |
| **5-19-2016** | **13-CV-00081****14-CV-06759** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Jeffery Etter, et al. v. Thetford Corporation, et al.****Charles Chow, et al. v. Thetford Corporation, et al.**Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Norcold 1200 Series, N8 Series and N6 Series gas absorption refrigerators, typically installed in RVs (motorhomes, travel trailers, and boats), share a safety-related defect in the cooling unit which, in certain circumstances, causes the boiler tubes to corrode and leak flammable gas, exposing owners and other users of the RVs to the risk of fire. The lawsuits allege class claims for breach of state consumer protection statutes and for breach of express and implied warranties. The two Classes are described as those who: 1) currently own, or have owned, a Norcold 1200 Series Gas Absorption Refrigerator or Cooling Unit that was manufactured between 1-1-2002 and 10-1-2012 and 2) currently own a Norcold N6 Series Gas Absorption Refrigerator or Cooling Unit, or N8 Series Gas Absorption Refrigerator or Cooling Unit, manufactured between 1-1-2009 and 12-31-2013. | **9-16-2016** | **For more inforamtion visit:**[**www.norcoldclassaction.com**](http://www.norcoldclassaction.com) |
| **5-19-2016** | **15-CV-2977** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **A.D. Agbay Enterprises, Inc. v. Susquehanna Commercial Finance, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that Susquehanna has billed its lessees for, and collected from its lessees, certain charges that are not authorized by the lease agreements between Susquehanna and its lessees. The Settlement class consists of all persons and entities parties to a contract pursuant to which Susquehanna Commercial Finance, Inc., leases furniture, equipment or other items, and which provides that the lessee is responsible for payment of taxes and other charges imposed by any governmental entity, who or which have also been subjected by Susquehanna Commercial Finance, Inc. to any fee or charge not imposed by any governmental entity (“Charges at Issue”). The Charges at Issue are Property Tax Administrative Fee, Bank Charge (NSF Fee) and a Return Equipment Fee.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Jonathan Auerbach****Jerome M. Marcus****Marcus & Marcus****1121 N. Bethlehem Pike****Suite 60-242****Spring House, PA 19477** |
| **5-19-2016** | **14-CV-00876** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Kirk Himshaw v. VIZIO, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that VIZIO, Inc. advertised, marketed and sold smart televisions between 1-1-2014 and 6-23-2014 (Class Period) as having the ability to access the Amazon Instant Video App. on the televisions when the televisions lacked that capability.  | **10-3-2016** | **For more information write to:**Jeffrey SpencerThe Spencer Law Firm903 Calle AmanecerSuite 220San Clemente, CA 92673 |
| **5-19-2016** | **13-CV-04065** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Cotter, et al. v. Lyft, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Lyft improperly classified drivers who gave rides in California as independent contractors rather than employees and that as a result of this classification Lyft violated various laws and regulations. The Class Period is from 5-25-2012 to date of Preliminary Approval Order. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**Shannon Liss-RiordanLICHTEN & LISS- RIORDAN, P.C.729 Boylston StreetSuite 2000Boston, MA 02116617-994-5800 (Ph.) |
| **5-20-2016** | **15-CV-01816** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **Raymond Gerges, et al. v. Enterprise Systems Software, LLC d/b/a ESD**Employee claim for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (“FLSA”), and New York state law. | **9-12-2016** | **For more information write or call:****Harold Lichten****Jill S. Kahn****Lichten Aa& Liss-Riordan, P.C.****729 Boylston Street****Suite 2000****Boston, MA 02116****617 994-5800 (Ph.)** |
| **5-20-2016** | **14-CV-04062** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Animation Workers Antitrust Litigation**Purchaser claim for violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, California’s Cartwright Act, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 16720, et seq., and California’s Unfair Competition Law, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write or call:****Cohen Milstein Sellers** **& Toll PLLC****Baniel A. Small****Brent W. Johnson****1100 New York Avenue N.W.****Suite 500****Washington, D.C. 20005****202 408-4600 (Ph.)** |
| **5-20-2016** | **15-CV-08372** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Fuentes, et al. v. UniRush, LLC, et al.**Consumer claim for damages and losses as a result of a service disruption. The lawsuit asserts consumer fraud, breach of contract, and negligence. | **9-12-2016** | **For more inforamtion write to:****John A. Yanchunis****Morgan & Morgan Complex**  **Litigation Group****201 N. Franklin St.,** **7th Floor****Tampa, FL 33602** |
| **5-20-2016** | **13-CV-02111** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Construction Workers Pension Trust Fund v. Navistar Int’l Corp., et al.**Purchaser claim for violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act. | **10-25-2016** | **For more information write to:****Cohen Milstein Sellers** **& Toll PLLC****Carol V. Gilden****190 South LaSalle Street****Suite 1705****Chicago, IL 60603** |
| **5-23-2016** | **13-CV-94115** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re Korean Ramen Antitrust Litigation**Indirect purchaser alleges conspiracy to illegally fix, raise, maintain, and/or stabilize prices of Korean Noodles purchased in the U.S. in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Daniel E. Birkhaeuser****Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler** **& Birkhaeuser, LLP****2125 Oak Grove Road****Suite 120****Walnut Creek, CA 94598** |
| **5-23-2015** | **14-CV-00264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Woods v. Vector Marketing Corporation** Sales Representative Trainee claim for failure to pay wages for the initial training Defendant offered them, in violation of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Stanley D. Saltzman****Christina A. Humphrey****Marlin & Saltzman, LLP****29229 Canwood Street****Suite 208****Agoura Hills, CA 91301****818 991-8080 (Ph.)** |
| **5-23-2016** | **14-CV-00577****15-CV-00111****15-CV-00480****08-CV-01633****10-CV-01570****13-CV-00471** | **(W.D.N.C.)** | **USAPA v. Velez, et al.****Bollmeier v. Hummel, et al.****Bollmeier v. Frear, et al.****Addington v. USAPA, et al.****US Airways v. Addington, et al.****Addington v. USAPA, et al.**US Airline Pilots Association (USAPA) alleges violation of Title V of the Labor Management Reporting and Disclosure Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**[**www.usairlinepilots.org**](http://www.usairlinepilots.org)[**www.cactuspilot.com**](http://www.cactuspilot.com) |
| **5-24-2015** | **15-CV-02069** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Ravi Motwani v. Marina District Development Corporation LLC**The Court has granted preliminary approval to the Proposed settlement. It has scheduled a Final Approval (or “Fairness”) Hearing date. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 4-1-2016. | **9-15-2016** | **For more information write to:****Bruce H. Nagel****Randee M. Matloff****Nagel Rice LLP****103 Eisenhower Parkway****Roseland, NJ 07069** |
| **5-25-2016** | **15-CV-04170** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Josh Crystal v. Medbox, Inc., et al.**Purchaser claim that Defendants violated the federal securities laws by issuing a series of untrue or materially misleading statements. | **8-15-2016** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Frank J. Johnson****Johnson & Weaver, LLP****600 West Broadway****Suite 1540****San Diego, CA 92101****616 230-0063 (Ph.)****616 255-1856 (Fax)** |
| **5-26-2016** | **13-CV-01345** | **(D.D.C.)** | **Brown, et al. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.**Employees allege that Defendant violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e), et seq., (“Title VII”), and parallel state and local laws prohibiting sex discrimination. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write, call or fax:****Mehri & Skalet, PLLC****1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W.****Suite 300****Washington, DC 20036****202 822-5100 (Ph.)****202 822-4997 (Fax)** |
| **5-26-2016** | **14-CV-20880** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Thorpe, et al. v. Walter Investment Management Corp., et al.**Investors allege that Defendants violated the federal securities laws by making misrepresentations or omissions of material fact concerning the internal controls maintained by Green Tree over its mortgage servicing protocols and procedures and its compliance with regulatory and legal requirements regarding mortgage servicing. | **10-14-2016** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Laurence M. Rosen****The Rosen Law Firm, P.A.****275 Madison Avenue****New York, NY 10016****212 686-1060 (Ph.)****212 202-3827 (Fax)** |
| **5-27-2016** | **15-CV-1113** | **(D. Conn.)** | **Carol Kemp-DeLisser v. Saint Francis Hospital and Medical Center, et al.**Claims that Defendants breached their fiduciary duties and violated the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”).  |  | **For more inforamtion write or fax:****Douglas Needham****Izard Nobel LLP****29 South Main Street****Suite 305****West Hartford, CT 06107****860 393-6290 (Fax)** |
| **5-27-2016** | **14-CV-8020** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Yedlowski v. Roka Bioscience, Inc.**Purchaser of Roka securities alleges violations of the federal securities laws and asserts claims under the Securities Act of 1933. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Laurence Rosen****The Rosen Law Firm, P.A.****275 Madison Avenue****34th Floor****New York, NY 10016** |
| **5-27-2016** | **13-CV-09116** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: National Collegiate Athletic Association Student-Athlete Concussion Injury Litigation**The lawsuit claims that the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) was negligent and breached its duty to protect all current and former student-athletes by failing to adopt appropriate rules regarding concussions. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Steve W. Berman****Hagens Berman Sobol****Shapiro LLP****1918 Eighth Avenue****Suite 3300****Seattle, WA 98101** |
| **5-27-2016** | **15-CV-02077** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Eric Mendez v. Price Self Storage Management Inc., et al.**Consumer claims that Price Self Storage sent text messages to cellphones in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227. | **8-22-2016** | **For more inforamtion write or call:****Steven Woodrow****Woodrow & Peluso, LLC****3900 East Mexico Ave.****Suite 300****Denver, CO 80210****720 213-0675 (Ph.)** |
| **3-31-2016** | **14-CV-12616** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **Nancy Klein v. SECURA Insurance Company and SECURA Supreme Insurance Company**Plaintiff alleges that SECURA failed to pay its insureds an amount equal to the applicable sales tax when calculating the actual cash value (“ACV”) on insurance payments under its homeowners’ insurance policy at the applicable state sales tax rate. | **8-30-2016** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Amy L. Marino****Jason Thompson****Lance C. Young****Sommers Schwartz****One Towne Square****17th Floor****Southfield, MI 48076** |
| **5-31-2016** | **16-CV-2653** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Podiatry in Motion, Inc. v.CoverMyMeds, LLC.**Consumer alleges that CoverMyMeds violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by sending unsolicited advertisements promoting CoverMyMeds’ goods or services in violation of the TCPA. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Edelman, Combs,** **Latturner & Goodwin, LLC****20 S. Clark Street****Suite 1500****Chicago, IL 60603** |
| **5-31-2016** | **15-CV-00030** | **(N.D. Iowa)** | **Lequita Dennard, et al. v. Transamerica Corporation, et al.**Claims that Defendants violated the federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Gregory Y. Porter****Bailey & Glasser LLP****1054 31st Street, N.W.****Suite 230****Washington, DC 20007** |