|  **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **11-2-2016** | **16-CV-02625** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Heather Maxin v. RHG & Company, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated California state law by improperly labeling and selling its products as being “Made in USA.” Plaintiff further alleges that one or more components of Vital Nutrients’ products were sourced from outside of the United States. This action seeks, among other things, an award of damages against Defendant as a result of this alleged conduct. | **Not set yet** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley**For more information write to:****Abbas Kazerounian****Matthew M. Loker****Kazerouni Law Group, APC****245 Fisher Avenue****Unit D1****Costa Mesa, CA 92626** |
| **11-3-2016** | **15-CV-01463** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Joseph Fragala v. 500.com Limited, et al.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendants misrepresented or omitted material information regarding the Company’s status as a licensed provider of online lottery services within the People’s Republic of China and the Company’s maintenance of adequate internal controls. | **3-6-2017** | **For more information write to:****Shannon L. Hopkins****LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP****733 Summer Street****Suite 304****Stamford, CT 06901** |
| **11-3-2016** | **15-CV-23107** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Edwards, et al. v. Seterus, Inc., et al.****Re Defendants: QBE Specialty Insurance Company, QBE FIRST Insurance Agency, Inc. n/k/a NGLS Insurance Company**This lawsuit involves lender-placed insurance (“LPI”), which is insurance (hazard, flood, or wind) that is placed on a borrower’s property to protect the borrower and mortgage lender when the borrower’s insurance policy lapses, or when the borrower does not maintain a homeowner’s insurance policy that is acceptable to the mortgage lender. When an LPI Policy is placed pursuant to the borrower’s mortgage contract, Seterus pays premiums to the LPI insurer who writes the policy, and then Seterus charges the borrowers for those premiums. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Adam M. Moskowitz****Kozyak, Tropin, &**  **Throckmorton, P .A.****2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd.****9th Floor****Coral Gables, FL 33134** |
| **11-3-2016** | **14-CV-00081** | **(D. Md.)** | **Fangman, et al. v. Genuine Title, LLC, et al.****Re Defendants: E Mortgage Management, LLC (“E Mortgage”) and E Properties, LLC (“E Properties”)**Plaintiffs allege that E Properties, LLC caused the referral of business by E Mortgage, to Genuine Title in exchange for payment of money and referrals of borrowers to Genuine Title for the settlement of their E Mortgage residential mortgage loans between 5-14-2012 and 7-2-2013. The Plaintiffs allege that this arrangement violated certain federal and state laws.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Michael Paul Smith****Smith, Gildea & Schmidt, LLC****600 Washington Avenue****Suite 210****Towson, MD 21204****Counsel for E Properties****Irene C. Freidel****K&L Gates LLP****One Lincoln Street****Boston, MA 02111** |
| **11-7-2016** | **14-CV-5884****14-CV-5903****14-CV-5912** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **In re: Barrett Business Services Securities Litigation** **Arciaga v. Barrett Business Services, Inc.****Carnes v. Barrett Business Services, Inc.****Stein v. Barrett Business Services, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege the Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and against the Individual Defendants under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The Consolidated Complaint further alleged that the price of Barrett common stock was artificially inflated as a result of Defendants’ allegedly false and misleading statements. | **2-22-2017** | **For more information write to:****Bernstein Litowitz Perger**  **& Grossmann LLP****Timothy A. DeLange****Niki L. Mendoza****12481 High Bluff Drive****Suite 300****San Diego, CA 92130** |
| **11-9-2016** | **10-MD-2179** | **(E.D. LA.)** | **In re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig Deepwater Horizon in the Gulf of Mexico**The lawsuits involve certain claims arising out of the “Deepwater Horizon Incident” in the Gulf of Mexico beginning on 4-20-2010. The first phase of the trial focused on identifying the causes of the blowout, explosion, and subsequent oil spill. The Court determined, based on the evidence, that HESI and Transocean did not commit gross negligence, reckless, wanton, or willful misconduct, and therefore are not responsible for punitive damages. There have been no appeals of these findings. HESI and Transocean have agreed to Settlements to avoid the risks and costs of litigation. Given the Court’s findings, the Settlements are the only way to recover punitive damages under these lawsuits. | **11-10-2016** | **For more information visit:**[WWW.GULFSPILLPUNITIVEDAMAGESSETTLEMENT.COM](http://WWW.GULFSPILLPUNITIVEDAMAGESSETTLEMENT.COM) |
| **11-10-2016** | **15-CV-00239** | **(S.D. Ind.)** | **Stanley v. National Recovery Agency**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated § 1692f of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”), alleging that Defendant made false deceptive or misleading statement and used false deceptive, or misleading, and unfair or unconscionable means, to collect a debt. Plaintiff’s lawsuit alleged that Defendant violated the FDCPA, which entitled Plaintiff to recover statutory damages, costs and attorneys’ fees from Defendant. | **2-6-2017** | **For more information write to:****David J.Philipps****Philipps & Philipps, Ltd.****9760 S. Roberts Road****Suite One****Palos Hills, IL 60465** |
| **11-11-2016** | **15-CV-00243** | **(D. NV.)** | **Enrique Martinez, et al. v. MXI Corp., et al.****Re Defendants: MXI Corporation, Jeanette Brooks, Martin Brooks, and Andrew Brooks (the “MXI Defendants”)Paula Pritchard, Kathleen Robbins, Ian Murray, Judy Murray, Sandy Chambers, and Kerry Dean (the “Pritchard Defendants”), Adam Paul Green, Derrick Winkel, Paul Engemann, and Felix Gudino (the “Gren Defendants”), and Jeremy Reynolds, Dr. Gordon Pedersen, Connie Hollstein, Sherman Smith, William “Butch” Swaby, and Glen Overton (the “Reynolds Defendants”) (collectively the “Defendants”)**Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants operated a pyramid scheme contrary to Nevada law and suffered damages because of defendants’ operation of a pyramid scheme. The lawsuit seeks injunctive relief to require changes to MXI’s business practices and the recovery of damages. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****R. Adam Swick****Reid Collins & Tsai LLP****1301 S. Capital of TX, Hwy.****Suite C300****Austin, TX 78746** |
| **11-10-2016** | **15-CV-23142** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **ABC Bartending School of Miami, Inc. v. American Chemicals & Equipment, Inc. and Steven Mote/Bais Yeakov of Spring Valley v. American Chemicals & Equipment, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants sent fax advertisements to recipients in the United States in violation of the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act (the “TCPA”) and state law. As pertinent to this lawsuit, the TCPA generally prohibits the sending of unsolicited fax advertisements. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Wallen Hernandez Lee** **Martinez, LLP9190 Biscayne Boulevard Suite 204 Miami Shores, Florida 33138** |
| **11-11-2016** | **13-CV-3****12-CV-0418****15-CV-02093****15-CV-01710** | **(E.D. Va.)****(S.D. Cal.)****(N.D. Ill.)****(M.D. Fla.)** | **Francis W. Hooker v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.****Erik Knutson v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.****Yefim Elikman v.Sirius XM Radio Inc.****Anthony Parker v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), by allowing the use of “automatic telephone dialing system,” “automatic dialer,” “automated dialer,” “predictive dialer,” “dialer,” and/or any “artificial or prerecorded voice” to make telephone calls to a wireless, cell or mobile telephone number in connection with efforts to contact or attempt to contact Class Members on behalf of Sirius XM. | **12-20-2016** | **For more information write or call:****Caddell & Chapman,** **Michael A. Caddell****628 E. 9th Street****Houston, TX 77007****713 751-0400 (Ph.)** |
| **11-14-2016** | **09-CV-4432** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Sonoma County Association of Retired Employees, et al. v. Sonoma County**Plaintiff Sonoma County Association of Retired Employees (“SCARE”) filed a lawsuit against Sonoma County (“the County”) challenging the County’s reduction of the amount it paid for retirees’ medical premiums, which was ultimately reduced to a maximum of $500 per month. SCARE alleged a number of different legal claims -- breach of contract and promissory estoppels under California law, and due process violation and impairment of contract claims under both the California and Federal constitutions. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, visit or call:****Keller Rohrback L.L.P.****Jefrey Lewis****Jacob Richards****300 Lakeside Drive****Suite 1000****Oakland, CA 94612****jlewis@kellerrohrback.com****jrichards@kellerrohrback.com****866 434-2914 (Ph.)** |
| **11-14-2016** | **10-CV-06256** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Berry Lloyd, et al. v. CVB Financial Corp., et al.****Re Defendants: CVB Financial Corp., Christopher D. Myers, and Edward J. Biebrich, Jr.**Plaintiffs allege that on 1-21-2011, the Court consolidated the actions and appointed Jacksonville Police & Fire Pension Fund as the Lead Plaintiff. On 3-7-2011, Lead Plaintiff filed the Consolidated Class Action for Violation of the Federal Securities Laws (“Consolidated Complaint”), alleging claims against Defendants under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934, on behalf of a class of persons and entities that purchased CVB common stock between 10-21-2009, and 8-9-2010, inclusive. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Bernstein Litowitz** **Berger & Grossmann LLP****Timothy A. BeLange****Niki L. Mendoza****12481 High Bluff Drive****Suite 300****San Diego, CA 92130****866 648-2524 (Ph.)** |
| **11-16-2016** | **16-CV-00488** | **(S.D. Ill.)** | **Camp Drug Store, Inc., et al. v. Cochran Wholesale Pharmaceutical, Inc.**Plaintiffs filed this class action lawsuit against Defendant alleging that it violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act by sending unsolicited advertisements by fax.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Phillip A. Bock****Brock, Hatch, Lewis &** **Oppenheim****134 N. LaSalle Street****Suite 1000****Chicago, IL 60602** |
| **11-18-2016** | **12-MD-02311****12-CV-00101** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****In re: Wire Harness Cases****Re: Defendants: Fujikura Ltd. and Fujikura Automotive America LLC** A motion for preliminary approval of the proposed settlement in the Action was filed with the Court on 11-9-2016. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 10-10-2016.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Lance C. Young****SOMMERS SCHWARTZ PC** **2000 Town Center****Suite 900** **Southfield, MI 48075** **248 355-0300 (Ph.)****248 436-8453 (Fax)** |
| **11-18-2016** | **15-CV-02171** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Warner, et al. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that the frames of certain Toyota Tacoma, Tundra, and Sequoia vehicles lack adequate rust protection, resulting in premature rust corrosion of the vehicles’ frames. The lawsuit pursues claims for violations of various state consumer protection statutes, among other claims. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Timothy G. Blood****Blood Hurst & O’Reardon, LLP****701 B Street, Suite 1700****San Diego, CA 92101****619 338-1100 (Ph.)****619 338-1101 (Fax)**  |
| **11-18-2016** | **14-CV-06882** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Ebner v. Mechants & Medical Credit Corporation (“MMCC”), et al.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by sending debt collection letters to Plaintiff and other consumers for which the account number was visible through the glassine window of the letter envelope.  | **1-12-2017** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Arkady “Eric” Rayz****Kalikhman & Rayz, LLC****1051 County Line Road** **Suite A****Huntington Valley, PA 19006****215 364-5053 (Ph.)****215 364-5029 (Fax)** |
| **11-21-2016** | **9-CV-01247****16-CV-00907** | **(E.D. Cal.)** | **Arredondo, et al. v. Delano Farms Company, et al.****Paniagua v. Delano Farms Company, et al.****Re Defendants: Cal-Pacific Farm Management, L.P., T&R Bangi’s Agricultural Services, Inc., Kern AG Labor Management, Inc., LA Vina Contracting, Inc., Elite AG Labor Services, Inc., and Delano Farms Company Co.**Plaintiffs complain that Defendants have required their agricultural workers to perform unpaid, off-the-clock work in violation of federal and state wage and hour laws. Plaintiffs also complain, on behalf of the Class, that Defendants have committed other violations of applicable law, including failing to keep accurate records of hours worked, failing to provide itemized wagestatements that comport with the requirements of the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order and California Labor Code § 226, and failing to compensate straight piece rate workers for mandatory rest periods time in violation of federal and state wage and hour laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Martinez Aguilasocho &**  **Lynch, APLC****Mario Martinez****P.O. Box 11208****Bakersfield, CA 93389****(661) 859-1174 (Ph.)** |
| **11-21-2016** | **03-CV-01431** | **(D. Nev.)** | **In re: Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: ONEOK, Inc. and ONEOK Energy Services Company, L.P. (formerly known as ONEOK Marketing and Trading Company, L.P.)**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and Co-Conspirators engaged in an unlawful arrangement, combination, agreement, or conspiracy to manipulate, fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of natural gas. Plaintiffs further claim that certain commercial and industrial purchasers who purchased natural gas in Kansas, Missouri, or Wisconsin from sellers other than utilities or local distribution companies may recover for the effect that the alleged conspiracy had on the prices of the natural gas they purchased. Plaintiffs allege that, as result of the combined or collusive conduct, they and other purchasers paid more for natural gas than they would have absent the conspiracy. | **3-3-2017** | **For more information write or e-mail:****Jennifer Gille Bacon****Polsinelli PC****900 W. 48th Place****Suite 900****Kansas City, MO 64112** |
| **11-21-2016** | **5-CV-01191** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Wanland & Associates, Inc. v. Nortel Networks Corp., et al.****Re Defendants: Nortel Networks Limited (“NNL”), Nortel Networks Inc. (“NNI”), Qwest Communications International Inc., Thomas N. Salzano, Alexander L. Wolf and Robert J. Fine (collectively, at times, “Defendants”) and third party NorVergence, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants defrauded persons and businesses by inducing them to purchase telecommunications services packagesand to enter into certain associated equipment leases without disclosing that the leases purported to create an absolute obligation to pay, regardless of any telecommunications services actually delivered via the equipment, and even though the value of the equipment alone was far less than leased price. | **Not set yet** | **For more informaion write to:****Cafferty Clobes Meriwether &**  **Sprengel LLP****1101 Market Street****Suite 2650****Philadelphia, PA 19107****Rosenfeld Hafron Shapiro &**  **Farmer** **221 N. LaSalle Street****Suite 1763****Chicago, IL 60601** |
| **11-21-2016** | **15-CV-07894** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Wang v. China Finance Online Co. Limited**Plaintiff alleges that Defendants engaged in a plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly orrecklessly engaged in acts, transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class; made various untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities. | **3-21-2017** | **For more information write or call:****Laurence Rosen****The Rosen Law Firm, P.A.****275 Madison Avenue****34th Floor****New York, NY 10016****212 686-1060 (Ph.)** |
| **11-22-2016** | **13-CV-01901** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Cynthia L. Czuchaj, et al. v. Conair Corporation, et al.**Plaintiffs allege that the hair dryers sold by Conair had defectively designed heating coils and electrical cords which caused the hair dryers to fail, and sometimes injure consumers. Specifically, the lawsuit contends Conair violated California and New York laws by falsely advertising and/or selling a product with alleged defects. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Katherine J. Odenbreit****Odenbreit Law, APC****16835 Algonquin Street****Suite 221****Huntington Beach, CA. 92649** |
| **11-22-2016** | **12-CV-00500****14-CV-00507****14-CV-13356** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **Re: In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendant: Schaeffler Group USA Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendant participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for bearings, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust laws, unfair competition, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Duane Morris LLP****30 South 17th Street****Philadelphia, PA 19103****215 979-1342 (Ph.)****215 689-3682 (Fax)** |
| **11-22-2016** | **16-CV-00235** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Cornin v. EOS Product, LLC**Plaintiff alleges thatDefendant failed to disclose to consumers the potential adverse side effects of its lip balms for certain users and that certain users suffered injuries as a result of their use of the lip balms. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write:****Mark Geragos****Ben Meiselas****Geragos & Geragos, APC****Historic Engine Co. No. 28****644 S. Figueroa Street****Los Angeles, CA 90017** |
| **11-22-2016** | **03-CV-01431** | **(D. Nev.)** | **In re: Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendant: Coral Energy Resources, L.P.**For more information see CAFA notice above dated 11-21-2016, page 9. | **3-3-2017** | **For more inforamtion write or call:****Robert L. Gegios****Alexander T. Pendleton****William E. Fischer****KOHNER, MANN & KAILAS, S.C.****Barnabas Business Center****4650 N. Port Washington Road****Milwaukee, WI 53212-1059****414 962-5110 (Ph.)** |
| **11-23-2016** | **15-CV-01105** | **(M.D. Pa.)** | **Steven Dickerson, et al. v. York International Corporation and Johnson Controls Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Johnson Controls, Inc., (JCI) manufactures and sells air conditioning systems and heat pump systems, including air handlers and packaged HVAC units, containing defective copper evaporator coils and copper condenser coils that corrode and leak refrigerant. Plaintiffs claim that the copper coils are made using uncoated copper tubing and are susceptible to corrosion. It is alleged that a kind of corrosion called formicary corrosion causes microscopic tunnels to form within the copper tubing and causes the coils to leak refrigerant. Plaintiffs claim that JCI: (a) knew or should have known about the defective copper coils; (b) should have informed its customers about the defective copper coils; (c) should have manufactured the copper coils with coated copper or aluminum tubing; and (d) should have replaced the defective copper coils rather than simply replace the refrigerant in the unit when the copper coils leaked. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Shanon J. Carson****Berger & Montague, P.C.****1622 Locust Street****Philadelphia, PA 19103****Gregory Coleman****Greg Coleman Law, P.C.****First Tennessee Plaza****800 S. Gay Street****Suite 1100****Knoxville, TN 37929****Jonathan Shub****Kohn Swift & Graf, P.C.****One South Broad Street****Suite 2100****Philadelphia, PA 19107** |
| **11-23-2016** | **15-CV-05166** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Halvorson, et al. v. TalentBin, Inc.**Plaintiffs, claim that TalentBin was a“consumer reporting agency” and that it failed: (1) to obtain certifications from users of TalentBin’s system that the use is for a “permissible purpose” under the Fair Credit Report Act (“FCRA”); (2) to obtain certifications from users who used the candidate profiles for “employment purposes” as defined by the FCRA that the user will comply with the FCRA; (3) to provide users with a summary of consumers’ rights under the FCRA; (4) to provide notices of users’ obligations under the FCRA; and (5) to provide consumers, upon request, with copies of TalentBin’s files about the consumers including the users to whom the profiles were provided and the sources of the information in the profiles. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or fax:****E. Michelle Drake****Berger & Montague, P.C.****43 Main Street SE****Suite 505****Minneapolis, MN 55414****215 875-4604 (Fax)** |
| **11-23-2016** | **15-CV-08980** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Lijana Shestopal v. Follett Higher Education Group, Inc.**On 11-17-2016, the Court issued its Second Amended Preliminary Approval Order, which slightly revised the Class Definition. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 9-22-2016. | **3-23-2017** | **For more information visit:**[**www.FollettTCPASettlement.com**](http://www.FollettTCPASettlement.com) |
| **11-28-2016** | **16-CV-00371** | **(E.D. Ark.)** | **Davis Neurology, P.A. v. Dental Equities, LLC d/b/a Peer United, First Arkansas Bank & Trust**Plaintiff alleges that FIRST ARKANSAS BANK & TRUST (“Defendant”) and others violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq., by sending facsimile transmissions, either themselves or through a third party entity, on or after 1-15-2012, advertising the commercial availability or quality of property, goods, or services related to "Doctors Club" credit cards. | **4-3-2017** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Joe P. Leniski, Jr.****BRANSTETTER, STRANCH &** **JENNINGS****223 Rosa Parks Av, Ste. 220****Nashville, TN 37203****James A. Streett****STREETT LAW FIRM.****107 W. Main Street****Russellville, AR 72801** |
| **11-28-2016** | **15-CV-01801****16-CV-03780** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Jonathan Retta, et al. v. Millennium Products, Inc., et al.****Nina Pedro, et al. v. Millennium Products, Inc., et al.**The Retta Lawsuit claims Millennium Products mislabeled the antioxidant, alcohol, and sugar content of GT’s Kombucha Products. The lawsuit also alleges that Whole Foods Market violated the law by reselling such products. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or fax:****L. Timothy Fisher****Yeremey Krivoshey****BURSOR & FISHER P.A.****1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940****Walnut Creek, CA 94596****925 300-4455 (Ph.)** |
| **11-28-2016** | **03-CV-01431** | **(D. Nov.)** | **In re: Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: American Electric Power Company, Inc. and AEP Energy Services, Inc.**The lawsuits allege that Defendants and Co-Conspirators engaged in an unlawful arrangement, combination, agreement, or conspiracy to manipulate, fix, raise, maintain or stabilized the prices of natural gas. Plaintiffs further claim that certain commercial and industrial purchasers who purchased natural gas in Kansas, Missouri, or Wisconsin from sellers other than utilities or local distribution companies may recover from the effect that the alleged conspiracy had on the prices of the natural gas they purchased. Plaintiffs allege that, as result of the combined or collusive conduct, they and other purchasers paid more for natural gas than they would have absent the conspiracy.  | **3-3-2017** | **For more information write ro e-mail:****Jennifer Gille Bacon** **Polsinelli PC****900 W. 48th Place****Suite 900****Kansas City, Missouri 64112****jbacon@polsinelli.com****Gary D. McCallister****McCallister Law Group LLC****120 N. LaSalle Street #2800****Chicago, Illinois 60602****gdm@dgmlawfirm.com** |
| **11-28-2016** | **03-CV-01431** | **(D. Nev.)** | **In re: Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, L.L.C.**For more information see CAFA Notice dated 11-21-2016, page 14. | **3-3-2017** | **For more information write or visit:****Jennifer Gille Bacon****Polsinelli PC****900 W. 48th Place****Suite 900****Kansas City, MO 64112** |
| **11-28-2016** | **03-CV-01431** | **(D. Nev.)** | **In re: Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: American Electric Power Company, Inc and AEP Energy Services, Inc.**For more information see CAFA Notice dated 11-21-2016, page 14. | **3-3-2017** | **For more information write or visit:****Jennifer Gille Bacon****Polsinelli PC****900 W. 48th Pl., Suite 900****Kansas City, MO 64112** |
| **11-30-2016** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: JTEKT Corporation and JTEKT North America Corporation**The lawsuits claim that the Defendants in each lawsuit agreed to unlawfully raise the price of certain motor vehicle bearings. As a result, dealers of Trucks and/or Equipment who purchased for resale or lease Trucks and/or Equipment containing those parts or who indirectly purchased those parts as replacement parts, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant or any subsidiary, affiliate, or alleged co-conspirator of a Defendant may have paid more than they should have. | **3-22-2017** | **For more inforamtion visit or call:**[**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com)**1 866 742-4955 (Ph.)** |