Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
10-2-2014	MDL-02311 12-CV-00102 12-CV-00103 12-CV-00202 12-CV-00203 12-CV-00302 12-CV-00303	(E.D. Mich.)	Notice for the Proposed Class Action Settlements in: In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation In re: Wire Harness Cases In re: Instrument Panel Clusters Cases In re: Fuel Senders Cases The four (4) cases above are now combined. For more information, see CAFA Notice dated 9-23- 2014.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Barrett Law Group, P.A. P.O. Box 927 404 Court Square Lexington, MS 39095
10-3-2014	11-MN-02000	(D.s.C.)	In re: Building Materials Corporation of America Asphalt Roofing Shingle Products	Not set yet	For more information write, call or e-mail:
	13-CV-03424		Liability Litigation Ashley v. Building Materials Corporation of		Daniel A. Speights
	12-CV-00789		America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation Byrd v. Building Materials Corporation of		A.G. Solomons, III Speights & Runyon
	11-CV-03085		America d/b/a/ GAF Materials Corporation Erickson v. Building Materials Corporation of America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation		P.O. Box 685 200 Jackson Avenue East Hampton, SC 29924
	12-CV-00082		Griffin v. Building Materials Corporation of America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation		803 943-4444 (Ph.)
	11-CV-02926		Haner v. Buildingh Materials Corporation of America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation		gsolomons@speightsrunyan.c
	11-CV002879		McDaniel v. Building Materials Corporation of America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation		dspeights@speightsrunyan.c
	11-CV-02785		Morocco v. Building Materials Corporation of America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation		Thomas H. Pope, III
	11-CV-02784		Posey v. Building Materials Corporation of America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation		Pope and Hudgens, P.A. P.O. Box 190
	12-CV-00095		Ragan v. Building Materials Corporation of		1508 College Street Newberry, SC 29108
			America d/b/a GAF Materials Corporation Property-owner-plaintiffs make claims about the		803 276-2532 (Ph.)
			durability of certain Timberline® Shingles.		thpope@popeandhudgens.com

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			Plaintiffs claim that shingles manufactured from 1-1-1999 through 12-31-2007 at a GAF plant in Mobile, Alabama and from 1-1-1998 through 12-31-2009 at all other GAF manufacturing plants might prematurely crack, split, or tear (cracking, splitting or tearing of shingles is all referred to in this Notice as "cracking" or "cracked"). Plaintiffs claim that the shingles were defective.		
10-3-2014	11MN-02000 11-CV-00983 12-CV-00088 12-CV-00087	(D.S.C.)	In re: Building Materials Corporation of America Asphalt Roofing Shingle Products Liability Litigation Thompson v. GAF Materials Corporation Green v. GAF Materials Corporation First Baptist Church of Blairsville v. GAF Materials Corporation See case description above.	Not set yet	For more information write or call:  Daniel A. Speights A.G. Solomons, III Speights & Runyon P.O. Box 685 200 Jackson Avenue East Hampton, SC 29924 803 943-4444 (Ph.)
10-3-2014	13-CV-381	(D.N.J.)	Davitt v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc. Consumer-plaintiff alleges that a part on the Honda CR-V called a "door lock actuator" in 2007-2011 was defectively designed and that as a result, the power door locks on the Honda CR- V may not have worked as intended.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Matthew D. Schelkopf Chimicles & Tikellis LLP One Haverford Centre 361 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, PA 19041
10-6-2014	13-CV-01411	(E.D. Mo.)	Ronald Lees, et al. v. Anthem Insurance Companies Inc. d/b/a Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Anthem, through a call center owned and managed by Alta, made	4-1-2014	For more information write to:  Alexander H. Burke Burke Law Offices, LLC 155 N. Michigan Avenue

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
			calls to cellular phones in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227(b). The TCPA prohibits using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial prerecorded voice to call cellular phones without the prior express consent of the recipients. The Class Period is from 7-15-2009 to 7-16-2014.		Suite 9020 Chicago, IL 60601
10-6-2014	07-CV-12388	(D. Mass.)	Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC, et al., on behalf of The Goldman Sachs Groups, Inc.; Kirk Dahl, et al. v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC, et al., on behalf of Silver Lake Technology Management, L.L.C.; Kirk Dahl, et al. v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC, et al., on behalf of Brian Capital Partners; Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC, et al., on behalf of The Blackstone Group L.P., Kohlberg Kravis & Roberts & Co. L.O., and TPG Capital, L.P. (collectively "Settling Parties") Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the United States federal antitrust laws by participating in illegal conspiracies to limit competition among themselves and their co-conspirators with the goal of reducing the sale prices of the publicly-traded Target Companies that were sold pursuant to Leveraged Buyouts. Named Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' anticompetitive conduct caused the shareholders of each Target company to receive an unlawfully depressed price per share in the LBOs, resulting in significant economic damages to	2-11-2015	For more information write or call:  David R. Scott Christopher M. Burke Scott+Scott Attorneys at Law, LLP 707 Broadway Suite 1000 San Diego, CA 92101 619 233-4565 (Ph.)  Patrick J. Coughlin David W. Mitchell Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301 619 231-1058 (Ph.)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue  the Settlement Class.	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-8-2014	13-CV-0050	(D. Mont.)	Joel Hageman v. AT&T Mobility LLC Consumer-plaintiff alleges that AT&T Mobility LLC ("AT&T Mobility") used an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial prerecorded voice message to make calls to cellular telephones without the prior express consent of the owners of those numbers. The Class Period is from 4-9-2009 to 4-1-2014	2-9-2015	For more information write to:  Bishop and Heeran 1631 Zimmerman Trail Billings, MT 59102
10-10-2014	14-CV-00112	(N.D. Ga.)	Frederick Luster, et al. v. Duncan Solutions, Inc., Professional Account Management, LLC, and Park Atlanta, LLC  Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Duncan made calls to cellular phones in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47  U.S.C. § 227(b), which prohibits using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial prerecorded voice to call cellular phones without the prior express consent of the recipients. The Class Period is from 12-19-2009 and 7-7-2014.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Alexander H. Burke Burke Law Offices, LLC 155 N. Michigan Avenue Suite 9020 Chicago, IL 60601
10-10-2014	10-CV-02515	(S.D.N.Y.)	In re: Fuqi International, Inc., Yu Kwai Chong, Ching Wan Wong, Lie Xi Zhuang, Lily Lee Chen, and Jeff Haiyong Liu (collectively, "Defendants")  Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that, during the Class Period, Fuqi's stock price was artificially inflated as a result of untrue or materially misleading statements concerning the	Not set yet	For more information write or call:  Mitchell M.Z. Twersky Lawrence D. Levit Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky, LLP One Penn Plaza Suite 2805 New York, NY 10199

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Company's financial results. Plaintiffs further contend that Defendants made these statements knowing them to be false or misleading, or recklessly disregarding their false or misleading natures, and investors suffered damages as a result of the alleged inflation in Fuqi's stock price. In addition, Plaintiffs allege that Fuqi's CEO approved certain improper cash transfers to third parties, which were not timely disclosed. The Class Period is from 5-15-2009 to 3-19-2010 inclusive.		212 279-5050
10-10-2014	12-CV-00602	(E.D. Mich.)	In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation TRW Deutschland Holding GmbH and TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. ("Defendants") Automotive-dealer-plaintiffs allege that Defendants, suppliers of Occupant Safety Restraint Systems globally and in the U.S., engaged in a massive conspiracy to unlawfully fix and artificially raise the prices of these products, and that the conspiracy successfully targeted the long-struggling U.S. automotive industry, raising prices for car manufacturers, dealers, and purchasers alike. The Class Period is from 3-1-2006 to Date of Preliminary Approval.	Not set yet	For more information write or call:  Class Action Administration, Inc. 6521 West 91 <sup>st</sup> Avenue Westminster, CO 80031  720 540-4422 (Ph.)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
10-14-2014	12-CV-02177	(E.D. Mo.)	Hellman v. Cataldo, et al. (see CAFA Notice dated 9-26-2014) The Court has scheduled the fairness hearing.	2-17-2015	For more information write or call  Connolly Wells & Gray, LLP Gerald D. Wells, III 220 Renaissance Blvd. Suite 308 King of Prussia, PA 19406 610 822-3700 (Ph.)
10-14-2014	11-CV-05226	(N.D. Cal.)	Amber Kristi Marsh and Stacie Evans, et al. v. ZaaZoom Solutions, LLC, et al.  Consumer-plaintiffs allege that ZaaZoom Solutions, LLC, Zaza Pay LLC, Discount Web Member Sites LLC, Unlimited Local Savings LLC, Web Discount Club, Web Credit Rpt. Co., MegaOnlineClub LLC, RaiseMoneyForAnything, MultiEcom, LLC, Online Discount Membership, Web Discount Company, Liberty Discount Club, Online Resource Center, LLC, Web Coupon Site, USave Coupon, UClip, and/or any other entity offering discount coupon subscriptions controlled and operated by ZaaZoom Solutions, LLC and/or Zaza Pay LLC (collectively "ZaaZoom") along with FNBCT, Jack Henry and other defendants violated state and federal laws by attempting to withdraw money from consumers' bank accounts for Internet-based Membership Programs without the consumers' authorization. The Class Period is from 5-6-2007 to 9-11-2014.	12-17-2014	For more information write or call:  Mike Arias Arias, Ozzello & Gignac, LLP 6701 Center Drive West Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90045 310 670-1600 (Ph.)  Karl Kronenberger Kronenberger Rosenfeld, LLP 150 Post Street Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94108 415 955-1155 (Ph.)

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-15-2014	11-CV-7750	(S.D.N.Y.)	In re: MF Global Inc. ("MEGI") Employee-plaintiffs allege violations of the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (the "WARN Act") by MEGI; an unliquidated administrative priority claim for attorney's fees and costs under provisions of the Federal WARN Act; and a wage priority claim estimated at \$5,000,000 for accrued but unused vacation time on behalf of the Class Representatives and the Class (the "Vacation Claim"). Class members were employees of MFGI on 10-31-2011.	Not set yet	For more information write or call:  Klehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg LLP 1835 Market Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215 569-2700
10-16-2014	13-CV-02823	(C.D. Cal.)	Re: Payam Ahdoot and Brandon Clark (see CAFA Notice Dated 8-15-2014) The Court has scheduled the fairness hearing.	4-6-2015	For more information write or call:  Christopher J. Hamner Amy T. Wootton Hamner Law Offices, APC 555 West 5th Street Los Angeles, CA 90013  213 533-4160 (Ph.)
10-15-2014	11-CV-2768	(C.D. Cal.)	In re: China Intelligent Lighting and Electronics, Inc. Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that between 6-18-2010 and 3-25-2011, inclusive, the Defendants made certain materially false and misleading statements in CIL's Registration Statements and Prospectuses filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in June, July and December 2010. Specifically, the Complaint alleges that in connection with these Offering Documents, CIL overstated its revenues, gross profits, net income, total	3-9-2014	For more information write to:  Gold Bennett Cera & Sidener LLP Solomon B. Cera Thomas C. Bright 595 Market Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			assets, and shareholders' equity for fiscal years 2008 and 2009, and as a result, the prices of CIL common stock were inflated.  MaloneBailey's and Kempisty's audit opinions certified CIL's financial statements and WestPark Capital, Inc., operated by Rappaport, and Rodman & Renshaw underwrote the Offerings.		
10-20-2014	13-CV-511	(C.D. Cal.)	Kenneth J. Lee, et al. v. JP Morgan Chase & Co., et al.  Employee-plaintiffs allege that Chase has improperly denied Appraisers and Review Appraisers ("RAs") in the Commercial Term Lending ("CTL") division overtime pay in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. ("FLSA") and California law. The Class Period is from 3-29-2010 to Date of Preliminary Approval.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Bryan Schwartz Bryan Schwartz Law 1330 Broadway Suite 1630 Oakland, CA 94612
10-22-2014	11-CV-3041	(S.D. Cal.)	Newman v. AmeriCredit Financial Services, Inc. Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendant AmeriCredit Financial Services, Inc. ("AmeriCredit") made calls to consumers' cellular telephone numbers using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS") or prerecorded voice without prior express consent, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227. The Class Period is from 12-30-2007 through one week prior to the date of the hearing on the Second Amended Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.	11-21-2014	For more information write to:  Kazerouni Law Group, APC Abbas Kazerounian 245 Fischer Avenue Suite D1 Casta Mesa, MCA 92626

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
Date			Summary of Issue	Date	
10-22-2014	11-CV-6690	(S.D.N.Y.)	Brooklyn Center for Independence of The Disabled, et al. v. Bill De Blasio, in his official capacity as Mayor of the City of New York and the City of New York  Disabled persons residing in New York City ("NYC") or those served by NYC emergency preparedness programs and services, form the class that alleged the City of New York discriminated against them in developing severe weather emergency preparedness, emergency evacuation, and disaster response plans where NYC residents must be evacuated or sheltered in place.  In a stipulation of settlement and remedial order filed 10-15-2014 the parties have conferred and proposed a remedial plan to address the concerns for evacuating and sheltering disabled persons residing or served by NYC emergency preparedness programs and services, and have entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") on sheltering, a MOU for post-emergency canvassing operational plan, a MOU for accessible transportation, a MOU for emergency communication, a MOU for power outages, a MOU for high-rise building evacuation plan for people with disabilities and a MOU for creating a disability and access and functional need coordinator and a disability community advisory panel.	2-13-2015	For more information write to:  Christine Chuang Disability Rights Advocates 40 Worth Street 10th Floor New York, NY 10013

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-23-2014	13-CV-00580	(S.D. Cal.)	In re: Maxwell Technologies, Inc., David J. Schramm, Kevin S. Royal and Van Andrews (the "Defendants") Securities Litigation  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, directly and proximately caused the economic loss suffered by Lead Plaintiff and the Class. Throughout the Class Period, Maxwell's stock price was artificially inflated by materially false and misleading statements and omissions that created a false impression of the Company's financial results and business prospects. As a result, the market price of Maxwell common stock was inflated by the materially false and misleading statements and omissions made by Maxwell and the Individual Defendants, as identified above. Lead Plaintiff and the Class purchased Maxwell common stock at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period from 4-29-2011 through and including 3-19-2013.	Not set yet	For more information write call or fax:  Klausner, Kaufman, Jensen and Levinson Robert Klausner Stuart A. Kaufman 10059 NW 1 <sup>st</sup> Court Plantation, FL 33324 954 916-1202 (Ph.) 954 916-1232 (Fax)
10-24-2014	11-CV-7750	(S.D.N.Y.)	In re: MF Global, Inc. ("MFGI") Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege MFGI, the Non-Settling Defendants and other persons engaged in unlawful or actionable conduct between 6-1-2006 and 5-21-2008, which allegedly continued to have artificial impact on prices after 5-21-2008. This includes allegations that, between at least 10-17-2007 and 6-6-2008, certain of such persons combined, conspired, and agreed to manipulate the prices of NYMEX platinum futures contracts and NYMEX palladium	2-13-2015	For more information visit:  www.PlatinumPalladium FuturesLitigation.com

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			futures contracts in violation of the Commodity Exchange Act and Sherman Act. They allegedly did so by multiple steps. These include by allegedly repeatedly overpaying to purchase NYMEX platinum futures contracts and NYMEX palladium futures contracts during the end of the trading day. Plaintiffs further allege that because the physical "spot" price is based on trading in the futures market, defendants' conduct impacted the physical platinum and palladium markets and artificially inflated the prices of physical platinum and palladium. It is also alleged that this artificiality caused them and others to pay artificially high prices to purchase or otherwise invest in physical platinum and palladium conforming to NYMEX delivery requirements and platinum or palladium bullion of at least 99.95% purity during the Class Period from 6-1-2006 through 4-29-2010.		
10-24-2014	12-CV-108	(E.D. Va.)	Marcum v. Dolgencorp, Inc. ("Dollar General") Job-applicant-plaintiffs allege that the Defendant willfully violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2), because it allegedly did not make a clear and conspicuous disclosure in writing that a consumer report would be obtained for employment purposes, in a document that consisted solely of the disclosure. The Class Period is from 2-13-2010 through 10-14-2014.	2-26-2015	For more information visit:  www.DolgenSettlementClass.com

Notice	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness	Website Link
Date			Summary of Issue	Hearing Date	
10-24-2014	06-CV-1775	(E.D.N.Y.)	In re: Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants and certain of their employees conspired to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices of Airfreight Shipping Services by, among other things, coordinating surcharges (such as fuel and security surcharges) and by agreeing to eliminate or prevent discounting of surcharges. The lawsuit claims that, as a result, purchasers paid more for Airfreight Shipping Services than they otherwise would have paid. The Class Period is from 1-1-2000 through 9-11-2006.	Not set yet	For more information call or visit:  1 888 291-9655 (Ph.)  www.aircargosettlemen t3.com
10-24-2014	13-CV-1400	(M.D. Fla.)	Timothy Haight v. Bluestem Brands, Inc., d/b/a Fingerhut Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Bluestem violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., by using an Automatic Telephone Dialing System and/or an artificial prerecorded voice to call cell phones without the prior express consent of the recipient from 9-11-2009 through 11-15-2014.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Burke Law Offices, LLC 155 N. Michigan Avenue Suite 9020 Chicago, IL 60601
10-24-2014	09-CV-9177	(S.D.N.Y.)	Meredith Corporation, et al. v. SESAC, LLC, et al.  Broadcaster-plaintiffs obtained licenses from SESAC for the right to use the musical compositions of SESAC's affiliated composers and music publishers in the programs they broadcast to viewers. The Named Plaintiffs	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP Attn: Eric S. Hochstadt 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			claim that SESAC's licensing practices violated federal antitrust laws by: 1) aggregating all of the copyrights of its affiliated composers and music publishers in a single blanket license that is jointly priced at an artificially inflated level; 2) failing to offer a viable per-program or other form of alternative license to the blanket license; 3) preventing its key affiliates with music in television programming from engaging in direct and source licensing, such that stations could access their works only through a SESAC blanket license; and 4) failing to disclose the full contents of the music in its repertory. The Named Plaintiffs also claim that SESAC and all of its top affiliated composers and music publishers conspired to prevent television stations from being able to buy licenses for the copyrighted works contained in SESAC's repertory directly from them. The Class Period is from 1-1-2008 to date.		
10-24-2014	08-MD-02002	(E.D. Pa.)	Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation - Midwest Poultry Services, L.P. ("Midwest") Direct-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants, certain producers of eggs and egg products, conspired to decrease the supply of eggs. Plaintiffs allege that this conspiracy to limit supply raised the price of eggs, which caused direct purchasers to pay more for eggs that they would have otherwise paid. The term "eggs" refers to both shell eggs and egg products, which are eggs removed from their shells for further processing into a dried,	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Steven A. Asher Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher, LLC 1845 Walnut Street Suite 1100 Philadelpha, PA 19103  Michael D. Hausfeld Hausfeld, LLP 1700 K Street, N.W. Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			frozen, or liquid form. The Class Period is from 1-1-2000 through 7-15-2010.	Date	
10-27-2014	08-MD-2002	(E.D. Pa.)	In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation - NuCal Foods, Inc., Midwest, and National Food Corporation Indirect-purchaser-plaintiffs claim that the Defendants, who are producers of Shell Eggs, violated federal and state antitrust and consumer protection statutes by engaging in an unlawful conspiracy to reduce output and thereby artificially raise the prices of Shell Eggs in the U.S. Specifically, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and unnamed co- conspirators controlled the egg supply through various methods that were all part of a wide- ranging conspiracy. These methods include, but are not limited to, agreements to limit or dispose of hen flocks, a pre-textual animal welfare program that was cover to further reduce egg supply, agreements to export eggs in order to remove eggs from the domestic supply, and the unlawful coercion of producers and customers to ensure compliance with the conspiracy. As a result of Defendants' alleged conduct, indirect purchasers (or Consumers) paid prices for Shell Eggs that were higher than they otherwise would have been absent the conspiracy. The Class Period is from 1-1-2000 to the Date of Preliminary Approval.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Paul F. Novak Milberg, LLP One Penn Plaza New York, NY 10119  Timothy D. Battin Straus & Boies, LLP 4041 University Drive 5th Floor Fairfax, VA. 22030

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-27-2014	13-CV-02529	(C.D. Cal.)	Asghari v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc., et al.  Consumer-plaintiffs' allegations concern all current or past purchaser or lessee of; 2009 model year Audi A4 vehicle, 2010 model year Audi A4 or Audi A5 vehicle, or 2011 model year Audi A4, A5 or Q5 vehicle, which was originally equipped with a factory-installed 2.0 liter TFSI longitudinal engine bearing Audi internal engine code CAEB ("CAEB engine"), imported and distributed by Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. for sale or lease in the U.S. or Puerto Rico ("Settlement Class Vehicles"). Plaintiffs allege that the above vehicles consumed excessive amounts of engine oil and that the vehicles were improperly designed, manufactured, distributed, marketed, advertised and sold. Plaintiffs further allege that consumer statutes were violated and applicable warranties were breached. The Class Period model years are from 2009 to 2010.	5-4-2015	For more information write or call:  Strategic Legal Practices, APC c/o Payam Shahian 1875 Century Park East Suite 700 Los Angeles, CA 90067  210 277-1040 (Ph.)  Capstone Law APC c/o Jordan L. Lurie 1840 Century Park East Suite 450 Los Angeles, CA 90067  310 556-4811 (Ph.)
10-27-2014	08-CV-07831	(S.D.N.Y.)	In re: Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated the federal securities laws by (i) misrepresenting the state of risk controls relating to Fannie Mae's purchase of certain mortgages, including subprime and Alt-A loans and (ii) misrepresenting Fannie Mae's exposure to subprime and Alt-A mortgages. The class period is from 11-8-2006 to 9-5-2008.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer, LLP Frederic S. Fox Donald Hall 850 Third Avenue 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name	Fairness Hearing	Website Link
			Summary of Issue	Date	
10-28-2014	07-CV-2034	(S.D.N.Y.)	Romano, et al. v. SLS Residential, Inc., et al. Resident-patient-plaintiffs allege abuse by SLS including the punishment and humiliation of certain patients, false advertising, and employment of a fraudulent scheme to obtain funds under false pretenses. The plaintiffs assert claims under the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA"), the Rehabilitation Act, New York State Executive Law §296, and the Deceptive Business Practices Act, as well as tort claims for negligent and intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent administration and breach of fiduciary duty. The Class Period is from 7-2004 to 5-31-2006.	2-24-2015	For more information write, call or fax:  Defendants Attorney:  Paul F. Callan Callan, Koster, Brady & Brennan, LLP One Whitehall Street New York, NY 10004  212 248-8800 (Ph.)  212 248-6815 (Fax)
10-29-2014	12-CV-11044	(D. Mass.)	Collier v. ModusLink Global Solutions, Inc., et al.  Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that ModusLink and certain of its officers and directors made false and misleading statements about receipt of certain rebates from vendors and the material costs charged certain customers in ModusLink's public filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in violation of federal securities laws. The Class Period is from 9-26-2007 to 6-8-2012.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Lester R. Hooker Saxena White P.A. 5200 Town Center Circle Suite 601 Boca Raton, FL 33486
10-30-2014	13-CV-4634	(S.D.N.Y.)	Eliastam, et al. v. NBCUniversal Media, LLC Intern-plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated the Fair Labor Standards Act "FLSA" and the New York Labor Law by not classifying individuals who participated in unpaid	Not set yet	For more information write, call or e-mail:  Joun Turner

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			internships ("Unpaid Interns") as employees and failing to pay them the requisite minimum wages. The named Plaintiff subsequently amended the Complaint to include allegations that Defendant violated the state wage and hour laws of California and Connecticut. The Class Period for an Unpaid Internship in New York, from 7-3-2007 through [Preliminary Approval Date]; for an Unpaid Internship in California, from 2-4-2010 through [Preliminary Approval Date]; for an Unpaid Internship in Connecticut, from 2-4-2011 through [Preliminary Approval Date].		Deirdre Aaron Outten & Golden LLP 3 Park Avenue 29 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10016 212 245-1000 (Ph.) 1-877 468-8836 (Ph.)  nbcinterncase@ottengo lden.com
10-30-2014	09-CV-3963	(N.D. Ill.)	Creative Montessori Learning Center v. Ashford Gear, LLC Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendant sent one or more unsolicited facsimile advertisements during June 2006. Plaintiff's complaint alleges that the Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, by faxing advertisements to the Class without prior express invitation or permission to do so. The Class Period is from 6-7-2006 to 6-14-2006.	2-27-2015	For more information write to:  Brian J. Wanca Anderson + Wanca 3701 Algonquin Road Suite 760 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008
10-30-2014	14-CV-00667	(W.D. Tex.)	Calvin, et al. v. San Antonio Spurs, LLC, et al.  Retiree-plaintiffs allege that Pacers Basketball, LLC, Brooklyn Nets, LLC, San Antonio Spurs, LLC, and the Denver Nuggets Limited Partnership (collectively, the "ABA Teams"), and Heather Staples (collectively with	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Steven Hart 233 S. Wacker Drive Suite 5500 Chicago, IL 60606

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			the ABA Teams, the "Defendants"), breached certain fiduciary duties owed to the Plan and the Plan's participants under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, ("ERISA"). The class period is from 10-1-1970 to 5-31-2014.		
10-31-2014	11-CV-02467	(D. Md.)	Kensington Physical Therapy, Inc. v. Jackson Therapy Partners, LLC ("JTP")  Consumer-plaintiff alleges that JTP violated the Telephone Communication Protection Act by sending unsolicited and non-compliant fax advertisements offering its goods or services to the Settlement Class. The Class Period is from 9-1-2007 to Date of Preliminary Approval.	2-12-2015	For more information write to:  Edward Broderick Anthony I. Paronich Broderick Law, P.C. 125 Summer Street Suite 1030 Boston, MA 02110
10-31-2014	12-CV-9456	(S.D.N.Y.)	In re: Silvercorp Metals, Inc. Securities Litigation Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that, during the Settlement Class Period, Silvercorp's stock price was artificially inflated as a result of a series of allegedly untrue or materially misleading statements the Settling Defendants made concerning the reserves, grade, and production of the Ying Mine. Lead Plaintiffs further contend that the Settling defendants made these statements knowing them to be false or misleading, or recklessly disregarding their false or misleading natures, and investors suffered injury as a result of the alleged inflation. The Class Period is from 5-20-2009 to 9-13-2011 inclusive.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Matthew L. Tuccillo Pomerantz LLP 600 Third Avene New York, NY 10016

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
10-31-2014	14-CV-80005 14-CV-00136 14-CV-02148	(S.D. Fla.)	Deena Kacko, et al. v. Diamond Foods, Inc. Surzyn, et al. v. Diamond Foods, Inc. Hall, et al. v. Diamond Foods, Inc. Consumer-plaintiffs allege that the Defendant violated certain laws in making certain "All Natural," "Reduced Fat" and/or "% Less Fat" statements on the labels of its Kettle Brand® products. The Class Period is from 1-3-2010 to 2-24-2015.	7-17-2015	For more information write to:  Benjamin M. Lopatin The Law Office of Howard W. Rubinstein, P.A. One Embarcadero Center Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94111
10-31-2014	09-CV-01366	(C.D. Cal.)	Mark D. Lima v. Gateway, Inc. Consumer-plaintiff alleges that the Gateway 30" XHD 3000 LCD Monitor ("Monitor") was defective and/or did not perform as advertised; and that Gateway made false and misleading statements about the Monitor's performance and failed to disclose the Monitor's defects. Plaintiff claims that as a result, the Monitor exhibited problems including green lines, flickering and other screen failures; and that it failed to perform with the features and characteristics advertised. Class members are all original purchasers of the Gateway 30" XHD 3000 LCD Monitor.	Not set yet	For more information write or e-mail:  Rosemary M. Rivas Finkelstein Thompson, LLP 505 Montgomery Street Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111  RRivas@finkelsteinthompson .com
10-31-2014	13-CV-00981	(S.D. Cal.)	Rafel David Sherman v. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., a/k/a Kaiser Permanente Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Kaiser violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) by calling persons on their cell phone numbers either with an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice,	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Joshua B. Swigart Hyde & Swigart 2221 Camino Del Rio S. Suite 101 San Diego, CA 92108

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue  or both, without the prior express consent of	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			those persons. The Class Period is from 4-24-2008 to the Date of Preliminary Approval Order.		
10-31-2014	13-CV-60384	(S.D. Fla.)	Finkel v. Newbridge Securities Corporation Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Newbridge Securities Corporation ("Newbridge") charged customers excessive, variable and arbitrary handling fees each time Newbridge traded Securities for customers, and did not disclose to the customers the fee scale nor explain to customers why a handling fee was justified when Newbridge also charged a commission for trading securities on behalf of customers. Plaintiffs allege Newbridge breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing and claim Newbridge was negligent in charging excessive variable and arbitrary fees, which caused customers to incur unreasonable and undisclosed costs for completing trades. The Class Period is from 6-1-2008 to 1-22-2013.	Not set yet	For more information call or e-mail:  Defendants' Attorney  Dennis A. Nowak Rumberger Kirk & Caldwell, P.A.  305 995-5440 (Ph.)  dnowak@rumberger.com