| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **10-3-2016** | **7-CV-5442** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Harris v. Amgen Inc.**  **Re Defendants: Amgen Inc., Amgen Manufacturing Limited, Frank J. Biondi Jr., Jerry D. Choate, Frank C. Herringer, Gilbert S. Omen, David Baltimore, Judith C. Pelham, Kevin W. Sharer, Frederick W. Glick, Leonard d. Schaeffer, Jacqueline Allred, Raul Cermeno, Jackie Crouse, Lori Johnson, Michael Kelly, Charles Bell, Amgen Plan Fiduciary Committee, and the Fiduciary Committee of the Amgen Manufacturing Limited Plan (collectively, “Defendants”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to participants and beneficiaries in the Plans, in violation of Sections 404 and 405 of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1104 and 1105, by imprudently permitting the Plans to purchase and hold shares of Amgen Stock when Defendants knew or should have known that Amgen Stock was an ill-advised investment of the Plans assets due to Amgen’s financial condition. More specifically, the Complaint alleges that the Company and certain Individual Defendants failed to disclose significant safety concerns about Erythropiesis-Stimulating Agents (“ESAs”) and adverse information about Aranesp® from clinical trials to the Federal Drug Administration, while aggressively marketing the drugs for off-label use and at excessive dosages. Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants concealed the adverse impact on Amgen’s financial condition and prospects from the Plans’ participants and the market, and that those participants who purchased Amgen stock for their Plan accounts purchased such stock at artificially inflated prices. | **Not set yet** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley  **For more information write or call:**  **Wolf Haldenstein Adler**  **Freeman & Herz LLP**  **Mark C. Rifkin**  **270 Madison Avenue**  **New York, NY 10016**  **1 800 575-0735 (Ph.)**  **Gainey McKenna &**  **Egleston**  **Thomas J. McKenna**  **440 Park Avenue South**  **New York, NY 10016**  **212 983-1300 (Ph.)** |
| **10-3-2016** | **15-CV-09889** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **John Huebner v. Mantech International Corporation**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the  Fair Credit Reporting Act, California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act, and California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act by failing to provide a clear and conspicuous written disclosure to applicants prior to obtaining a consumer report as required and failed to follow statutory notice requirements and procedures with respect to adverse action notices provided to some Class Members. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Anthony J. Orshansky**  **Justin Kachadoorian**  **Counsel One, P.C.**  **9301 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 650**  **Beverly Hills, CA 90210**    **310 277-9945 (Ph.)** |
| **10-4-2016** | **16-CV-60125** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Shore v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al.**  Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants improperly demanded the payment of estimated fees and costs to which they were not entitled in reinstatement quote letters provided to Plaintiff and Class Members for the amount needed to reinstate mortgage loans. | **1-9-2017** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **James L. Kauffman**  **Bailey & Glasser LLP**  **1054 31st Street NW**  **Suite 230**  **Washington, DC 20003**    **202-463-2101 (Ph.)**    **202-463-2103 (Fax)** |
| **10-5-2016** | **15-CV-5046** | **(E.D. Wash.)** | **In re: IsoRay, Inc. Securities Litigation**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the federal securities laws because the Company allegedly made false and misleading statements to the investing public, including that Defendants allegedly misrepresented the findings of a study on the use of IsoRay’s product in treating lung cancer. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Phillip Kim**  **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.**  **275 Madison Avenue**  **34th Floor**  **New York, NY 10016** |
| **10-6-2016** | **16-CV-00461** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **In re: FirstMerit Corporation Shareholder Litigation**  Plaintiffs allege that the Board of  FirstMerit breached their fiduciary duties owed to each of the individual Plaintiffs and all shareholders of the Company when it entered into a definitive agreement for Huntington to acquire the Company. Additionally, Plaintiffs allege that the Board violated federal securities laws by filing with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission a materially misleading proxy statement that sought to induce FirstMerit shareholders’ support for the merger with Huntington. | **2-1-2017** | **For more information write, call, or fax:**  **Michael J. Palestina**  **KAHN SWICK & FOTI, LLC**  **206 Covington Street**  **Madisonville, LA 70447**  **504 455-1400 (Ph.)**  **504 455-1498 (Fax)** |
| **10-6-2016** | **12-CV-04069** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Gerardo Aranda, et al., v. Caribbean Cruise Line, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by making automated survey calls offering a free cruise in exchange for taking a political and/or public opinion survey. The Plaintiffs allege that Defendants marketed timeshare and vacation properties through the calls. Many, but not all, of the surveys were from Political Surveys of America. If an individual was offered the opportunity to participate in the survey in exchange for a free cruise, after answering the survey questions, he or she then had the option of being transferred to a Caribbean Cruise Line representative. | **2-23-2017** | **For more information visit:**  [**WWW.FREECRUISECALLCLASSACTION.NET**](http://WWW.FREECRUISECALLCLASSACTION.NET) |
| **10-6-2016** | **14-CV-03264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Capacitors Antitrust Litigation**  Plaintiff alleges thatDefendants entered into agreements to artificially raise, fix, or stabilize the prices of aluminum, tantalum, or film capacitors in violation of the federal antitrust law.  Note: see also page 6 regarding guilty plea filed by NEC Tokin Corporation and Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Joseph R. Saveri**  **JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM,**  **INC.**  **555 Montgomery Street Suite 1210**  **San Francisco, CA 94111**  **415 500-6800 (Ph.)**  [**jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com**](mailto:jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com) |
| **10-6-2016** | **13-CV-0086** | **(W.D. Mo.)** | **Pollard et al. v. Remington Arms Company, LLC, et al.**  **Re Defendants: E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Company (“Du Pont”), and Sporting Goods Properties, Inc. (“SGPI)**  The Court entered an Order on August 2016 Approving the Supplemental Notice Plan and setting the Final Approval Hearing Date. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 12-15-2014. | **2-14-2017** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.remingtonfirearmsclassactionsettlement.com**](http://www.remingtonfirearmsclassactionsettlement.com) |
| **10-6-2016** | **15-CV-03502** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Regmon L. Hawkins v. S2Verify, a foreign limited liability company**  Plaintiff alleges that IPC International, Inc. (“IPC”) requested a background report on Hawkins from S2Verify. Hawkins brought a lawsuit against S2Verify, alleging that his background report contained non-conviction criminal history information from more than seven years before the date of his report, in violation of Section 1681c(a) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act “FCRA”. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Caddell & Chapman**  **Re: Hawkins v.**  **S2Verify Objection**  **628 E. 9th Street**  **Houston, Texas 77007** |
| **10-7-2016** | **13-CV-00172** | **(D. Neb.)** | **Cullan and Cullan LLC v. m-Qube, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege damages and seek injunctive relief against Mobile Messenger, m-Qube, Inc., and CF Enterprises Pty. Ltd., arising out of alleged unsolicited text messages sent from Premium Shortcodes related to Mobile Content, such as ring-tones, news and information alerts, and other digital and electronic content to wireless telephone subscribers, and the sale and billing of allegedly unauthorized Mobile Content to wireless telephone subscribers. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Ben Barnow**  **Barnow and Associates,**  **P.C.**  **1 N. LaSalle Street**  **Suite 4600**  **Chicago, IL 60602** |
| **10-7-2016** | **15-CV-05299** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Stewart Abramson v. Alpha Gas and Electric, LLC**  Plaintiff alleges that telemarketing calls made by Alpha to consumers’ cellular telephone numbers violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Anthony I. Paronich**  **Broderick & Paronich,**  **P.C.**  **99 High Street**  **Suite 304**  **Boston, MA 02110** |
| **10-7-2016** | **14-CV-03264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Capacitors Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Okaya Electric Industries Co., Ltd. And Okaya Electric America, Inc. (the “Okaya Defendants”)**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants entered into agreements to artificially raise, fix, or stabilize the prices of aluminum, tantalum, or film capacitors in violation of the federal antitrust law. Each of the Defendants, including the Settling Defendants, expressly denies that it violated any laws or engaged in any wrongdoing, except that on 1-21-2016, NEC TOKIN Corporation pleaded guilty to participating in a conspiracy to fix prices of certain electrolytic capacitors, and on 6-9-2016, Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd. pleaded guilty to participating in a conspiracy to fix prices of certain electrolytic capacitors. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Joseph R. Saveri**  **JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM,**  **INC.**  **555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1210**  **San Francisco, CA 94111**  **415 500-6800 (Ph.)**  [**jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com**](mailto:jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com) |
| **10-10-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00102**  **14-CV-00107**  **12-CV-00103** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: DENSO Corporation, DENSO International America, Inc., DENSO International Korea Corporation, DENSOI Korea Automotive Corporation, DENSO Automotive Deutschland GmbH, ASMO Co., Ltd., ASMO North America, LLC, ASMO Greenville of North Carolina, Inc., and ASMO Manufacturing, Inc. (collectively, “DENSO”)**  The court has set the following hearing dates regarding final approval of these respective class settlements: (i) 11-16-2016 – (Auto Dealer Plaintiffs); (ii) 11-17-2016 – (Truck & Equipment Dealership Plaintiffs); and (iii) 4-19-2017 – (End-Payor Plaintiffs). | **11-16-2016**  **11-17-2016**  **4-19-2017** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **10-12-2016** | **15-CV-06261** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **Eric Keels, et al. v. The GEO Group, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants violated Plaintiffs’ rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”) by using Consumer Reports in employment decisions without ensuring that each affected candidate or employee received timely and complete notices under FCRA that information in a Consumer Report might cause Defendant to make an adverse employment decision. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Ossai Miazad**  **Christopher M. McNerney**  **OUTTEN & GOLDEN**  **685 3rd Ave, 25th Floor**  **New York, New York 10017**  **212 245.1000 (Ph.)** |
| **10-12-2016** | **15-CV-01175** | **(N.D. Ala.)** | **Schwyhard, Jordan v. AmSher Collection Services, Inc.**  The lawsuit alleges that AmSher violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by making both automated phone calls, and calls using an artificial or prerecorded voice, to cell phones without consent. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write:**  **GREENWALD DAVIDSON RADBIL**  **PLLC**  **5550 Glades Road**  **Suite 500**  **Boca Raton, Florida 33431** |
| **10-12-2016** | **12-MD-2311**  **13-CV-00802** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Anti-Vibrational Rubber Parts)**  **Re Defendants: Yamashita Rubber Co. Ltd. and YUSA Corporation**  The lawsuits claim that the Defendants in each lawsuit agreed to unlawfully raise the price of certain motor vehicle component parts. As a result, businesses and consumers who purchased or leased new motor vehicles (not for resale) containing those parts or who indirectly purchased replacement parts (not for resale) from the Defendants may have paid an excessive price. | **11-16-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.autopartsclass.com**](http://www.autopartsclass.com) |
| **10-13-2016** | **10-CV-14360** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **The Shane Group, Inc., et al. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan**  Plaintiffs allege that BCBSM violated federal and state laws by using most favored nation clauses in contracts with 70 general acute care hospitals in Michigan. Plaintiffs claim that these clauses inflated prices for healthcare services at several Michigan hospitals. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 877 846-0588 (Ph.)**  [**www.MichiganHospitalPaymentsLitigation.com**](http://www.MichiganHospitalPaymentsLitigation.com) |
| **10-14-2016** | **14-CV-03264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Capacitors Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: NEC TOKIN Corporation and NEC TOKIN America, Inc. (“NEC TOKIN”)**  The lawsuit alleges that Defendants and co-conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of Capacitors for more than ten years, resulting in overcharges to indirect purchasers of Capacitors. The complaint describes how the Defendants and co-conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. and state antitrust, unfair competition, and consumer protection laws by agreeing to fix prices and restrict output of Capacitors by, among other things, face-to-face meetings and other communications, customer allocation, and the use of trade associations. (See also page 6 for guilty pleas). | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 866 217-4245 (Ph.)**  [**WWW.CAPACITORSINDIRECTCASE.COM**](http://WWW.CAPACITORSINDIRECTCASE.COM) |
| **10-14-2016** | **14-CV-9959** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Lambert v. Baker Tilly Hong Kong Ltd., et al.**  The Litigation alleges violations of the Federal Securities Laws (specifically, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78t(a)) by Defendants. China North East Petroleum Holdings Limited (“CNEP”) was a publicly traded Nevada corporation with its principal executive offices located in the People’s Republic of China. Plaintiffs alleged that the price of CNEP’s common stock was artificially inflated as a result of untrue or materially misleading statements concerning the Defendants’ audit of CNEP’s 2009 year-end financial statements. Plaintiffs alleged that these material misstatements were contained in the Defendants’ audit report, which was filed and disseminated in connection with CNEP’s 2009 Form 10-K. Plaintiffs further contend that investors suffered injury as a result of purchasing CNEP common stock at a price that was artificially inflated by the Defendants’ misrepresentations. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Matthew M. Guiney**  **Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman and Herz LLP**  **270 Madison Avenue**  **11th Floor**  **New York, NY 10016** |
| **10-17-2016** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (Occupant Safety Systems Cases) (Truck and Equipment Dealer Cases)**  **Re Defendants: ZF TRW Automotive Holdings (formerly known as “TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.” and TRW Deutschland Holding GmbH (“TRW”)**  Plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of TRW’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Occupant Safety Restraint Systems in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various State antitrust, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws as set forth in Truck Equipment Dealer Plaintiffs’ Class Action Complaint. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **10-17-2016** | **14-CV-03264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re Capacitors Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendant: Okaya Electric Industries Co., Ltd and Okaya Electric America, Inc. (“OEA”)**  The lawsuit alleges that Defendants and co-conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of Capacitors for more than ten years, resulting in overcharges to indirect purchasers of Capacitors. The complaint describes how the Defendants and co-conspirators allegedly violated U.S. and state antitrust, unfair competition, and consumer protection laws by agreeing to fix prices and restrict output of Capacitors by, among other things, face-to-face meetings and other communications, customer allocation, and the use of trade associations. | **Not set yet** | **For more informaton visit or call:**  [**www.capacitorsindirectcase.com**](http://www.capacitorsindirectcase.com)  **1 866 217-4245 (Ph.)** |
| **10-19-2016** | **14-CV-24728** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Kenai Batista, et al. v. Nissan North America, Inc.**  In this lawsuit a number of individuals on behalf of themselves and all current and former owners and lessees of 2013-2014 Nissan Pathfinder and 2013-2014 Infiniti JX35/QX60 vehicles equipped with the FK-\*k2 continuously variable transmission (“CVT”) (“Class Vehicles”), allege that the Class Vehicles have a defective CVT that can lead to transmission vibration or judder. The Plaintiffs brought claims against Nissan for breach of express warranty, breach of implied warranty, unjust enrichment, fraudulent concealment and violation of various State consumer protection statutes. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **F. Jerome Tapley**  **CORY WATSON, P.C.**  **2131 Magnolia Avenue**  **Birmingham, Alabama 35205** |
| **10-20-2016** | **12-CV-01983** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Enzo Forcellati, et al. v. Hyland’s, Inc., et al.**  The lawsuit alleges that Hyland’s made false and misleading statements about the effectiveness  of the following Class Products; (i) Cold ‘n Cough 4 Kids, (ii) Cough Syrup with 100% Natural Honey, (iii)Sniffles ‘n Sneezes 4 Kids, (iv) Cold Relief Strips 4 Kids with Zinc, (v) Nighttime Cold ‘n Cough 4 Kids, (vi) Complete Flu Care 4 Kids, (vii) Baby Teething Gel, (viii) Baby Cough Syrup, (ix) Baby Gas Drops, (x) Baby Infant Earache Drops, and (xi) Baby Nighttime Tiny Cold Syrup., in violation of state and federal law. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **L. Timothy Fisher**  **Bursor & Fisher, P.A.**  **1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940**  **Walnut Creek, CA 94596**  **925 300-4455 (Ph.)**  [**ltfisher@bursor.com**](mailto:ltfisher@bursor.com) |
| **10-21-2016** | **14-CV-61344** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Sanchez-Knutson v. Ford Motor Co.**  The lawsuit alleges that model year 2011-2015 Ford Explorers are defectively designed and manufactured so that Exhaust Odor may enter the passenger compartment of the Class Vehicles when they are driven at wide open throttle with the internal ventilation on re-circulate. Plaintiff has asserted nationwide claims under federal and state express and implied warranty laws, and under consumer protection statutes. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.explorerexhaustsettlement.com**](http://www.explorerexhaustsettlement.com) |
| **10-21-2016** | **13-CV-006** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Yvonne Robinson, et al. v. Kia Motors America, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege a defect in the design of the crankshaft pulley bolt and balancer in the Class Vehicles, which can cause the front pulley bolt to break and cause damage to other engine components. Plaintiffs also allege that KMA knew of this possibility and failed to disclose it to consumers, and refused to honor the warranty and repair the Class Vehicles. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Shmuel Klein, Esq.**  **LAW OFFICE OF SHMUEL KLEIN, PA**  **113 Cedarhill Avenue**  **Mahwah, New Jersey 07430** |
| **10-21-2016** | **12-MD-2311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US Holdings, Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric Automotive America, Inc. (the “Mitsubishi Electric Defendants”)**  The separate lawsuits claim that the Defendants in each lawsuit conspired to fix, maintain, and artificially raise the price of component parts. The lawsuits claim that, as a result of the Mitsubishi Electric Defendants’ conduct, Dealers paid more than they should have for the parts at issue in that lawsuit and paid more for the vehicles in which those parts are contained. The lawsuits also allege that Dealers were unable to pass on all of these increased costs to their customers. These cases are proceeding as class actions for monetary recovery for Dealers in the District of Columbia and one or more of the following states: Arizona, Arkansas, California, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. The lawsuits also seek nationwide injunctive relief. | **11-16-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.AutoDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.AutoDealerSettlement.com) |
| **10-25-2016** | **12-MD. 2311**  **13-CV-00802** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Anti-Vibrational Rubber Parts**  **Re Defendants: Yamashita Rubber Co., Ltd. and YUSA Corporation (collectively, “Yamashita”)**  See above for more information | **11-16-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.AutoDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.AutoDealerSettlement.com) |
| **10-27-2016** | **08-CV-5214** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: Steel Antitrust Litigation**  The complaint alleges that the Defendants violated the U.S. antitrust laws by conspiring to slow down their furnace production, thus restricting their output of raw steel and causing artificially higher prices for Steel Products. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or visit:**  **FINE, KAPLAN AND BLACK, R.P.C.**  **One South Broad Street**  **23rd Floor**  **Philadelphia, PA 19107**  [**www.SteelAntitrustSettlement.com**](http://www.SteelAntitrustSettlement.com) |
| **10-27-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00502**  **13-CV-01902** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Bearings Automobile Dealership Action**  **Electric Powered Steering Assembles Automobile Dealership Action**  **Re Defendants: JTEKT Corporation, JTEKT North America Corporation, and JTEKT Automotive North America (the “JTEKT Defendants”)**  For more information see CAFA Notice dated 10-21-2016. | **11-16-2016** | **For more information write or visit:**  **Fine, Kaplan and Black, R.P.C.**  **One South Broad Street**  **23rd Floor**  **Philadelphia, PA 19107**  [**www.AutoDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.AutoDealerSettlement.com) |
| **10-28-2016** | **14-CV-06046** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Q+ Food LLC v. Mitsubishi Fuso Truck of America, Inc. (“MFTA”), et al.**  Plaintiffs allege MFTA distributed certain Canter trucks that experienced emissions-related engine and after-treatment system issues, as well as transmission issues. The Amended Complaint asserts fraud and warranty-based claims, including claims for violation of the  Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, F.S. §§501.201 et seq*.,* the New Jersey  Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. § 56:8-1, et seq., the California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200 et seq.; and negligent design/engineering/manufacturing under Ohio Law. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **JAMES C. SHAH**  **NATALIE FINKELMAN BENNETT**  **SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN,**  **MILLER & SHAH, LLP**  **475 White Horse Pike**  **Collingswood, NJ 08107**  **856 858-1770 (Ph.)** |
| **10-28-2016** | **14-CV-1720** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **Griffith, et al. v. Providence Health & Services, et al.**  **Re Defendants: Providence Health & Services, Retirement Plans Committee, Ellen Wolf, Rod Hochman, Board of Directors of Providence Health & Services, Michael Holcomb, Chauncey Boyle, Isiaah Crawford, Martha Diaz Aszkenazy, Phyllis Hughes, Sallye Liner, Kirby McDonald, Dave Olsen, Al Parrish, Caroline Reyes, Peter J. Snow, Michael A. Stein, Charles Watts, and Bob Wilson (“Defendants”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants denied the Plan’s participants and beneficiaries of the protections of Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA”) by claiming that the Plan qualified as an ERISA-exempt “church plan.” The complaint alleges that the Plan sponsored by Providence – a non-profit healthcare system – did not qualify as an ERISA –exempt church plan. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or visit:**  **Lynn Lincoln Sarko**  **Keller Rohrback L.L.P.**  **1201 Third Avenue**  **Suite 3200**  **Seattle, WA 98101**  **206 623-3384 (Ph.)**  [**www.kellersettlemets.com**](http://www.kellersettlemets.com) |
| **10-28-2016** | **15-CV-01364** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: Rust-Oleum Restore Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation**  The lawsuit claims that Restore Products are defective because they prematurely peel, chip, or degrade after application. As a result, it is alleged, the marketing and warranty promises made in connection with the sale of Restore Products are false and misleading. The lawsuit also claims that Rust-Oleum knew or should have known that Restore Products would not live up to those promises. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Lite DePalma Greenberg**  **LLC**  **Attn: Katrina Carroll**  **211 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 500**  **Chicago, IL 60606** |
| **10-31-2016** | **14-MD-2508** | **(E.D. Tenn.)** | **In re Cast Iron Soil Pipe and Fittings Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Cast Iron Soil Pipe Institute (“CISPI”), McWane, Inc., AB&I Foundry and Tyler Pipe Company (collectively, “McWane”)**  Plaintiffs allege that from 11-1-2006 through 12-31-2013, the Defendants engaged in anticompetitive conduct in violation of the federal antitrust laws. It is further alleged that, as a result of Defendants’ anticompetitive conduct, plaintiffs and members of the Settlement Class (direct purchasers of CISP from the Defendants) paid more for CISP than they otherwise would have paid absent the anticompetitive conduct. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Solomon B. Cera**  **CERA LLP**  **595 Market Street**  **Suite 2300**  **San Francisco, CA 94105**  **Scott N. Brown**  **Spears, Moore, Rebman & Williams, P.C.**  **801 Broad Street**  **Sixth Floor**  **P.O. Box 1749**  **Chattanooga, Tenn. 37401** |
| **8-3-2016**  **Received 10-11-2016** | **CIV533203** | **(S.C. Cal.)** | **In re: Castlight Health, Inc. Shareholder Litigation**  On July 22, 2015, Plaintiffs filed their Consolidated Complaint for Violations of §§11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933. Plaintiffs brought the action on behalf of all persons or entities who purchased Castlight Class B common stock pursuant or traceable to the Company’s Registration Statement and Prospectus (collectively, the “Registration Statement”) issued in connection with the Company’s initial public offering (“IPO”). Plaintiffs allege that the Castlight Defendants and the Underwriter Defendants violated the Securities Act because the Registration Statement contained untrue and misleading statements. | **10-28-2016** | **For more information write to:**  **Jeffrey D. Light**  **Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP**  **655 West Broadway**  **Suite 1900**  **San Diego, CA 92101** |