

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in September, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-1-2011	10-CV-5246	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Jonas Sugarman et al v. Ducati North America, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that plastic fuel tanks on certain Ducati motorcycles can expand when in contact with ethanol (a common ingredient in fuel), which it is claimed can result in (i) fuel tanks on certain models separating from some of their mounting brackets; (ii) interference with steering on certain models due to the handlebars' proximity to the expanded fuel tank; and (iii) fuel leakage at the connection with the fuel pump.</p> <p>Class Members are all residents of the United States who, as of (the date of the Preliminary Approval Order), own any 2003-2011 Ducati Monster, Multistrada, SportClassic, Streetfighter, Superbike or Hypermotard model family motorcycle manufactured with a plastic fuel tank, including but not limited to (see web site for more information).</p>	Not set yet	For more information Visit: www.GirardGibbs.com/Ducati.asp
9-2-2011	10-CV-00463	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re LG Energy Star Litigation Plaintiff alleges that LG and Sears misrepresented the energy efficiency of their French door refrigerator with through the door ice dispenser models.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased a refrigerator model numbers LFX28977, LFX25975, LFX219175, or a Kenmore French door with model numbers 7973, 7975, or 7978.</p>	Not set yet	No information

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in September, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-7-2011	07-MD-1827	(N.D. Cal.)	In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation (Mitsui Taiwan)	Not set yet	For more information
9-8-2011			In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation - Sharp Corporation	12-19-2011	Call:
9-9-2011			In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation HannStar Display Corporation.	Not set yet	1-877 888-3757
9-9-2011			In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation	2-13-2012	Or visit:
9-9-2011			In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation - LG Display Co., Ltd.	2-13-2012	www.TFTLCDClassAction.com
9-12-2011			In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation - Epson Imaging Devices Corporation and Epson Electronics America, Inc. (collectively "Epson")	Not set yet	
9-13-2011			In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation Mitsui & Co. (Taiwan), Ltd. (Mitsui Taiwan) Plaintiff alleges that Defendants and Co-Conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of TFT-LCD panels and certain products containing those panels for over a decade, resulting in overcharges to purchasers of those panels and products. The complaint describes how the Defendants and Co-Conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. antitrust laws by establishing a global cartel that set artificially high prices for, and restricted	Not set yet	

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>the supply of, various sizes of TFT-LCD panels and the finished products that contained them.</p> <p>Panel Class Members: All persons and entities who, between 1-1-1999 and 12-31-2006, directly purchased a TFT-LCD panel in the U.S. from any defendant or any subsidiary thereof, or any named affiliate or any named co-conspirator.</p> <p>Product Class Members: All persons and entities who, between 1-1-1999 and 12-31-2006, directly purchased a television, computer monitor, or notebook computer in the U.S. containing a TFT-LCD panel, from any defendant or any subsidiary thereof, or any named affiliate or any named co-conspirator.</p>		
9-7-2011	06-CV-04686	(N.D. Ala.)	<p>Barber Auto Sales, Inc. v. United Parcel Services, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that UPS customers were overcharged for shipping packages. UPS may calculate shipping charges based on package measurements (height, length, and width) as well as weight. UPS, in its customer contract, reserves the right to audit package measurements to make sure they are correct, and adjust shipping charges if the customer's measurements are not correct. For some shipments between two U.S. locations on or after 5-15-2006, and 8-20-2011, UPS adjusted some package measurements based on its own measurements, resulting in a higher charge to customers. Plaintiff also contends that UPS's</p>	11-18-2011	No information

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>measurements were inaccurate and that it improperly increased certain customer's shipping charges.</p> <p>Class Members: includes persons who: 1) shipped packages with UPS between 5-15-2006 to 8-20-2011 and 2) had a shipping charge adjusted upwards as a result of UPS's audit of package dimensions.</p>		
9-9-2011	09-CV-02147	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Sharon Hodges v. Akeena Solar, Inc., Barry Cinnamon, and Gary Effren</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges violations of the federal securities laws on behalf of all Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the common stock of Akeena Solar between 12-26-2007 and 3-13-2008, inclusive (the "Class Period"), against Defendants Akeena Solar, Cinnamon and Effren.</p> <p>Class Members are all Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Akeena Solar common stock between 12-26-2007 and 3-13-2008, inclusive.</p>	12-14-2011	<p>For more information www.akeenasolarecuritieslitigation.com</p> <p>or write to:</p> <p>Michael Burnett Scott+Scott LLP 156 South Main Street P.O. Box 192 Colchester, CT 06415</p>
9-14-2011	08-CV-04906	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Nexcen Brands, Inc. Securities Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants violated the federal securities laws during the Class Period by issuing or approving materially false and misleading financial statements and information to investors about the viability and prospects of NexCen's business model.</p>	12-14-2011	<p>For more information</p> <p>Class Counsel: Lisa M. Mezzetti Matthew B. Kaplan Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC 1100 New York Ave, NW Suite 500 West Tower</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Member are all persons who purchased NexCen Stock during the period from 3-13-2007 through 5-18-2008, and suffered losses on investments as a result of the decline in the value of NexCen Stock, which Lead Plaintiff alleges occurred because of Defendants' misconduct.</p>		<p>Washington, D.C. 20005 202 408-4600 Or e-mail: www.cohenmilstein.com</p>
9-14-2011	09-ML-2007	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Aftermarket Automotive Light Products Inc (Depo Auto Parts Industrial Co. Ltd and Maxzone Vehicle Lighting Corp. (together "Depo") In re: Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products (AALPs) Antitrust Litigation (Sabry Lee, Inc., and Ltd.) Plaintiffs allege that during the Class Period, between 7-29-2001 and 2-10-2009, Defendants violated the federal antitrust laws by agreeing to fix prices of and allocate the market for AALPs. For purposes of this lawsuit "AALPs" includes all aftermarket automotive lighting products sold by defendants, such as headlamps and bulbs, parking, tail and interior lights, spot lights, fog lights and auxiliary lights. Class Members are all persons and entities that purchased Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products (AALPs") in the United States, and its territories and possessions, directly from a Defendant between 7-29-2001 and 2-10-2009 (the "Class Period").</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information (web not set up yet) www.AftermarketAutolightsSettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-15-2011	08-CV-264	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: MBIA, Inc., Securities Litigation Plaintiffs alleged that the price of MBIA common stock was artificially inflated as a result of Defendants' allegedly false and misleading statements and omissions, and declined when the truth about MBIA's exposure to the CDO-squared securities in its portfolio was revealed.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired MBIA common stock during the period from 7-2-2007 through and including 1-9-2008 and were damaged thereby.</p>	Not set yet	<p>Claim Administrator 1-888 624-6717</p> <p>Or visit: www.blbglaw.com</p>
9-15-2011	11-CV-2047	(E.D. La.)	<p>In re: Magsafe Apple Power Adapter Litigation Plaintiffs allege that the Adapter is defective in that it "dangerously frays, sparks and prematurely fails to work," and that Apple engaged in misrepresentations regarding the Adapter.</p> <p>Class Members are all United States residents who (1) are the original owners of an Apple MacBook or MacBook Pro computer that shipped with a 60W or 85W MagSafe MPM-1 ("T") Power Adapter ("Subject Computers") and/or (2) purchased a standalone 60W or 85W MagSafe MPM-1 ("T") Power Adapter. The Settlement Class includes original owners who received their Subject computer(s) as a gift.</p>	Not set yet	No information

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in September, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-19-2011	09-CV-3072	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re: Philips/Magnavox Television Litigation Plaintiffs made claims against the Defendants for violation of state deceptive trade practice laws, breaches of implied warranty, and unjust enrichment relating to the failure of the Defendants to inform consumers of certain information relating to the flat screen televisions.</p> <p>Class Members includes all persons who purchased new or received as a gift a new Philips or Magnavox Plasma TV of one of the following models in the United States with a serial number reflecting a manufacturing date between 11-1-2005 through 12-31-2006 (the "Philips Plasma TVs")(see Website for more information).</p>	Not set yet	For more information www.PhilipsPlasmaTVSettlement.com
9-20-2011	10-CV-550	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Bearing Brokers, Inc. v. Gaddis, Inc. & John Does Plaintiff alleges that it received unsolicited facsimile advertisements from Gaddis and that Gaddis's sending of these faxes violated federal and state laws, including the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities with fax numbers who, on or after 12-31-2007 to 8-11-2010, were sent faxes by Gaddis, Inc. promoting the commercial availability or quality of its property, goods or services or who were not provided with an "opt out" notice.</p>	1-12-2012	For more information www.edcombs.com Or write to: Edelman, Combs, Lattner & Goodwin, LLC 120 S. LaSalle St., 18 th Floor Chicago, IL 60603 (312) 917-4504

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-20-2011	08-CV-09528	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Sadia, S.A. Securities Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants acted with scienter in that Defendants knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company during the Class Period were materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal securities laws.</p> <p>Class Member are all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Sadia, S.A. ("SADIA") American Depositary Receipts ("ADRs") from 4-30-2008 to 9-25-2008, inclusive, who held the ADRs through the close of the market of 9-25-2008, and who were damaged thereby (the "Class").</p>	Not set yet	No Information
9-23-2011	07-CV-01707	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Saul M. Kaufman and Kimberly Stegich v. American Express Travel Related Services, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that American Express breached its contracts and violated the law by allegedly failing to adequately notify gift card purchasers of the full terms and conditions applicable to, and allegedly misrepresenting the value of gift cards it issued. It is also alleged that gift card holders were deprived of the full value of</p>	Not set yet	For more information www.KaufmanClassActionSettlement.com

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>their cards in transactions where the gift card value was less than the amount due.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased, received, held or used a gift card issued by American Express between 1-1-2002 and __-__, 2011 are included.</p>		
9-23-2011	11-CV-00010	(W.D. Mo.)	<p>Sara Khaliki, individually and on behalf of a class of other similarly situated, v. Helzberg Diamond Shops, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Helzberg misled customers into believing that princess-cut diamonds sold under the Helzberg Diamond Masterpiece label displayed the hearts and arrows feature that is present in the round cut diamonds sold under the Helzberg Diamond Masterpiece label.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who purchased from Helzberg a ring containing a princess-cut diamond and sold under the Helzberg Diamond Masterpiece label between 1-1-2007 and 4-30-10.</p>	Not set yet	No information
9-23-2011	07-CV-03537	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Karen Herbert, Judy Schenker, Jodi Eberhart, Cheryl Bently and all other similarly situated, v. Endemol USA, Inc., NBC Universal, Inc., Verisign, Inc., m-Qube, Inc and Dan Jagoda Associates, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the Lucky Case Game constituted a lottery that was illegal under</p>	No set yet	<p>For more information</p> <p>www.LuckyCaseGameSettlement.com</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>California and Massachusetts law. The lawsuit named as Defendants the corporations that produced, administered, sponsored and broadcast the Game.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who paid premium text message charges in connection with entering the "Lucky Case Game" promotion, and who did not win a prize.</p>		
9-23-2011	07-CV-03916	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Darlene Couch, and all other similarly situated, v. Telescope, Inc., Project Support Team, Inc., American Idol Productions, Inc., Fremantlemedia North America, Inc., 19 Entertainment, Inc., CKX, Inc., Fox Broadcasting Company and Fox Interactive Media, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the American Idol Challenge constituted a lottery that was illegal under California and Connecticut law. The lawsuit named as Defendants the corporations that produced, administered, sponsored, and broadcast the Game.</p> <p>Class members are all persons and entities who paid premium text message charges in connection with entering the "American Idol Challenge" promotion, and who did not win a prize.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information www.AmericanIdolChallengeSettlement.com</p>
9-28-2011	11-CV-04318	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Albert Alatorre, an individual, and on behalf of all other similarly situated v. 24 Hour Fitness USA, Inc.</p>	Not set yet	No Information

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
Received in September, 2011 by the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Plaintiffs allege that 24 Hour Fitness' practice of charging for an Unlimited Guest Privileges SPA by electronic fund transfer after 24 Hours Fitness received a notice of cancellation for the Unlimited Guest Privileges SPA violated federal and state laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who incurred Charges at Issue during the Class Period 6-1-2004 through 2-28-2010. "Charges at Issue" means an electronic fund transfer ("EFT") from a Person's bank account or credit/debit/charge card for Dues Charges where 24 Hour Fitness' database file reflects the payment by EFT on or after the date in 24 Hours Fitness' database file for a Request for Cancellation or Termination of the Settlement Class Member's or Unlimited Guest Privileges.</p>		
11-28-2011	10-CV-03604	(D.N.J.)	<p>Connie McLennan, Et al., v. LG Electronics USA, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the interior lights of the Class Models contain a certain defect that causes the interior lights of the Class Models to remain on when the refrigerator door is closed.</p> <p>Class Members are all end-users consumer residents of the United States who currently own or owned one or more of the LG or Kenmore refrigerators (see Class Counsel information to obtain serial numbers).</p>	Not set yet	<p>Write to:</p> <p>Jonathan Selbin Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 250 Hudson St, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013</p>

Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
 Received in September, 2011 by the
 Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-28-2011	11-CV-1785	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>William Farrell, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. OpenTable, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that vouchers used to redeem OpenTable's Spotlight Deals (called "OpenTable Tickets" or "Tickets") are gift certificates and that the expiration of the tickets or the addition of post-contractual terms to the tickets by Restaurants is improper.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons residing in the United States of America who purchased a Spotlight Deal or otherwise received an OpenTable Ticket for a Spotlight Deal prior to the <i>date of Preliminary Approval of this Settlement.</i></p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information</p> <p>Write to:</p> <p>William C. Gray Edelson McGuire, LLC 350 N. LaSalle St. Suite 1300 Chicago, Il 60614</p>