| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name**  **Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **Website Link** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **9-2-2015** | **10-MD-02196** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **In re: Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation**  Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants (Carpenter Co., Domfoam International, Inc., FFP Holdings LLC (f/k/a Flexible Foam Products, LLC and f/k/a Flexible Foam Products, Inc.), FXI Holdings, Inc., Future Foam, Inc., Hickory Springs Manufacturing Co., Mohawk Industries, Inc., Leggett & Platt, Incorporated, Scottdel Inc., Valle Foam Industries, Inc., Vitafoam Products Canada Limited, Vitafoam, Inc., Woodbridge Foam Corporation, Woodbridge Sales & Engineering, Inc., and Woodbridge Foam Fabricating, Inc.), conspired to raise the prices of flexible polyurethane foam. Plaintiffs contend that Defendants’ actions violated numerous States’ antitrust and consumer protection laws. The Class Period is from 1-1-1999 to present. | **12-15-2015** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley  **For more information visit or call:**  [www.polyfoamclassaction.com](http://www.polyfoamclassaction.com)  866 302-7323 (Ph.) |
| **9-2-2015** | **12-CV-00286** | **(M.D.N.C.)** | **Adams, et al. v. Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. (“Citi”)**  Employee-plaintiffs allege claims against Citi for violations of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) and the North Carolina Wage and Hour Act. The Class Representatives seek unpaid wages, compensatory damages, liquidated damages, interest, and attorneys’ fees and costs on behalf of Settlement Class Members. The Class Period is from 5-14-2009 to 4-22-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Franklin D. Azar**  **Keith R. Scranton**  **Franklin D. Azar &**  **Associates, PC**  **14426 E. Evans Avenue**  **Aurora, CO 80014-1480**  **303 757-3300 (Ph.)** |
| **9-3-2015** | **14-CV-0064** | **(W.D. Mo.)** | **Number Queen, LTD., et al. v. Redgear Technologies, Inc., et al.**  Purchaser-plaintiffs assert claims for breach of express and implied warranties, common law fraud, breach of a money-back guarantee, and violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Trade Practices Act. These legal claims were based on alleged problems encountered by licensees while using the 2012 Tax Works Software during the 2013 tax season. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **Stuart C. Talley**  **Kershaw, Cutter &**  **Ratinoff, LLP**  **401 Watt Avenue**  **Scramento, CA 95864**  **916 448-9800 (Ph.)** |
| **9-4-2015** | **9-CV-01558** | **(D. Nev.)** | **In re: MGM Mirage Securities Litigation**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges violations of federal securities laws in connection with MGM’s development of CityCenter, a multi-building development featuring a casino, hotel, residential units, retail, restaurants, and entertainment venues, referenced herein as the “Action.” Plaintiff also alleges, among other things, that during the Class Period, Defendants issued materially false and misleading statements and omitted material information regarding MGM’s financial condition, its access to financing, and the budget and schedule for CityCenter. The Consolidated Complaint asserts that these allegedly false and misleading statements and omissions artificially inflated the price of MGM securities. The Class Period is from 8-2-2007 to 3-5-2009. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Ellen Gusikoff Stewart**  **ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN**  **& DOWD LLP**  **655 West Broadway Suite 1900**  **San Diego, CA 92101**  **Jeffrey J. Angelovich**  **NIX PATTERSON &**  **ROACH, LLP**  **205 Linda Drive**  **Daingerfield, TX 75638** |
| **9-4-2015** | **11-CV-6197** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Blaise Picchi et al., v. World Financial Network Bank, a/k/a Comenity Bank, and ADS Alliance Data Systems, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”), et al.**  Consumer-plaintiffs allege that the Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by calling persons on their cell phones who were not an identified account holder of Comenity, with either an automatic telephone dialing system or by an artificial or prerecorded voice message to cellular phone numbers that were coded as “wrong numbers” in the records of Comenity. The Class Period is from 3-1-2010 to 7-30-2014. | **12-18-2015** | **For more information write to:**  **Robert W. Murphy**  **Law Office of**  **Robert W. Murphy**  **1212 SE 2nd Avenue**  **Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316** |
| **9-4-2015** | **13-CV-05245** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **Robert Lee Taylor, Sr., v. Universal Auto Group, Inc.**  Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) and the Washington Automatic Dialing and Announcing Device statute, RCW 80.36.400 (“WADAD”), by retaining a vendor to robocall customers. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant did not have the consumers’ permission to make these calls. The Class is described as all who owned one of the 8,143 telephone numbers that Tacoma Dodge’s vendor claims it called on behalf of Tacoma Dodge. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Terrell Marshall Daudt**  **& Willie PLLC 936**  **N. 34th Street**  **Suite 300**  **Seattle, WA 98103** |
| **9-8-2015** | **14-CV-0708** | **(S.D. Tex.)** | **Britt Miller v. Global Geophysical Services, Inc. (“GGS”), Richard A. Degner, P. Mathew Verghese, Richard C. White, Jesse Perez, III, Damir Skerl, Michael C. Forrest, George E. Matelich, Stanley De Jongh Osborne, Karl F. Kurz, Michael S. Baborich, Joseph P. McCoy, MLV & Co., and National Securities Corporation, (collectively “the Defendants”)**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements to the investing public as set forth in the Consolidated Amended Complaint, including the failure to disclose GGS’s cash-flow insolvency and the issuance of false and misleading financial statements for 2009 to 2013. The Class Period is from 2-22-2012 to 3-26-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Phillip Kim**  **The Rosen Law Firm, P.A.**  **275 Madison Avenue**  **34th Floor**  **New York, NY 10016** |
| **9-9-2015**  **(Original date**  **7-31-2015**  **Incorrect address)** | **09-CV-0286**  **09-CV-0291**  **09-CV-0357** | **(M.D. Pa.)** | **Florence Wallace, Samantha Humanik, et al. v. Robert J. Powell and Mark A. Ciavarella, Jr., et al.**  **William Conway, et al. v. Michael T. Conahan, et al.**  **H.T., et al. v. Mark A Ciavarella, et al.**  Parent/Guardian-plaintiffs allege that the  Child Care Provider Defendants and other defendants violated the Juveniles’ constitutional rights, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), and Pennsylvania law. The Class Period is from 1-1-2003 to 5-31-2008. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.kidswinsettlement.com**](http://www.kidswinsettlement.com) |
| **9-10-2015** | **13-CV-00581** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Sara Wellens, Kelly Jensen, Jacqueline Pena, Bernice Giovanni, Lara Hollinger, and Jennifer Bennie v. Daiichi Sankyo, Inc.**  Employee-plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq*. (“Title  VII”); the Equal Pay Act of 1963, 29 U.S.C. § 206(d) (the “Equal Pay Act” or “EPA”); The  California Fair Housing and Employment Act, California Government Code § 12940 *et seq.*  (“FHEA”); the California Equal Pay Act, California Labor Code § 1197.5 *et seq.*; the California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200-17208; and California common law, asserting individual and class claims of gender discrimination. Plaintiffs also allege, as to all current and former Daiichi Sankyo, Inc. (“DSI”) female sales representatives and first-level sales managers, gender discrimination in employment, including pay, promotion to District Manager and pregnancy discrimination. The Class Period is from 9-12-2008 to date of Preliminary Approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or visit:**  Claims Administrator  Rust Consulting, Inc.  One Market Plaza  Suite 1275  San Francisco, CA 94105  612 359-2000 (Ph.)  612 359-2050 (Fax)  [www.rustconsulting.com](http://www.rustconsulting.com) |
| **9-10-2015** | **11-CV-177** | **(W.D. Okla.)** | **Chieftain Royalty Company v. SM Energy Company, et al.**  Royalty-owner-plaintiff alleges SM and/or the Settling Parties made various deductions and reductions from gas royalty payments that should not have been made, including, but not limited to, the following: (1) deducting direct and indirect fees for marketing, gathering, compression, dehydration, processing, treatment, and other similar services before gas was “marketable”; (2) not paying royalty on wellhead gas that was used off the lease premises or in the manufacture of products; and (3) not paying royalty on condensate that dropped out of the gas stream. The Class is described as all persons or entities that are or were royalty owners in those Oklahoma wells acquired by one or more EnerVest and/or FourPoint entities from SM pursuant to a Purchase and Sale Agreement dated 11-4-2013, and where an EnerVest, FourPoint and/or SM entity is or was the operator (or, as a non-operator, an EnerVest, FourPoint and/or SM entity separately marketed gas). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Robert Barnes**  **Patranell Lewis**  **Barnes & Lewis, LLP**  **720 NW 50th Street**  **Suite 200B**  **Oklahoma City, OK 73118** |
| **9-11-2015** | **15-CV-00045** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Jessica Manner v. Gucci America, Inc., et al.**  Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Gucci violated California Civil Code section 1747.08 by requesting and recording personal identification information from customers in its California stores who paid for purchases with a credit card. The Class Period is from 12-8-2013 to date of Preliminary Approval Order. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  Thomas J. O’Reardon II  Blood Hurst &  O'Reardon LLP  701 B Street, Suite 1700  San Diego, CA 92101 |
| **9-11-2015** | **12-CV-00729** | **(W.D. Mich.)** | **Physicians Healthsource, Inc. v. Stryker Sales Corporation, et al.**  Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Stryker Sales Corporation, Stryker Corporation, Stryker Biotech LLC, and Howmedica Osteonics Corporation (collectively, “Defendants”) violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by sending unsolicited advertisements by fax. The Class is described as all persons who: (1) on or after four years prior to the filing of this action, (2) were subscribers of a fax number that received, (3) a fax invitation to attend a presentation for primary care physicians on advancements in orthopedics, arthritis, joint replacement, or joint treatment options, (4) received from one or more of Defendants, and (5) that did not display a proper opt-out notice (the “Settlement Class”). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write:**  **Brian J. Wanca**  **Anderson + Wanca**  **3701 Algonquin Road**  **Suite 500**  **Rolling Meadows, IL 60008** |
| **9-14-2015** | **14-CV-00254** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Tower Group International, Ltd. Shareholder Litigation**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Tower’s Board breached fiduciary duties owed to Tower shareholders in connection with the Originally Proposed Transaction, that the defendants made misleading or inadequate disclosures in the Preliminary Proxy, and that Tower Group International, Ltd., Michael H. Lee, Francis M. Colallucci, William W. Fox, Jr., Charles A. Bryan, William A. Robbie, Steven W. Schuster, Robert S. Smith, Jan R. Van Gorder, Austin P. Young III, ACP Re, Ltd., AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. and London Acquisition Company Limited (collectively, the “Defendants”), aided and abetted the Board’s alleged breaches. The Class Period is from 8-1-2007 to 9-15-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Brian J. Robbins**  **600 B Street**  **Suite 1900**  **San Diego, CA 92101**  **619 525-3990 (Ph.)**  **619 525-3991 (Fax)** |
| **9-17-2015** | **14-CV-04845** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Victor Guttman v. Ole Mexican Foods, Inc.**  Purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Ole Mexican Foods, Inc. (“Ole”) violated certain consumer protection statutes by making one or more of the following statements on the Products at some point during the Class Period: “Xtreme Wellness,” “Healthy Lifestyle,” “Better Choice for Your Health,” “Whole Wheat,” “High Source of Fiber and Protein,” “Healthy Heart,” and “Trans Fat Free,” and by including images of a heart. The lawsuit maintains that the Products contain “unhealthy” ingredients, namely partially hydrogenated oil(s) (“PHOs”), which Plaintiff contends render these statements misleading. The Class Period is from 11-1-2011 to date of Preliminary Approval Order. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, e-mail or call:**  **Gregory S. Weston**  **The Weston Firm**  **1405 Morena Blvd. Suite 201**  **San Diego, CA 92110**  [**greg@westonfirm.com**](mailto:greg@westonfirm.com)  **619 798.2006 (Ph.)** |
| **9-17-2015** | **09-CV-01335** | **(E.D. Mo.)** | **William Timothy Perrin, et al., v. Papa John’s International, et al.**  Employee-plaintiffs allege that Papa John’s failed to adequately reimburse delivery drivers for automobile expenses, which reduced their wages below the state and/or federal minimum wage (the “Action”). The Settlement Class Members include delivery drivers employed by Defendants who (1) timely filed (and did not later withdraw) a Consent to Join the Action under federal law, and/or (2) worked for Defendants in Missouri, Maryland, Arizona, Florida, and/or Illinois during the following time frames (who did not previously exclude themselves): Missouri: 8-19-2007 to 12-31-2013; Maryland: 5-28-2007 to 12-31-2013; Arizona: 5-28-2007 to 12-31-2013; Florida: 5-28-2005 to 12-31-2013; and/or Illinois: 5-28-2007 to 12-31-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Stueve Siegel Hanson, LLP**  **460 Nichols Road**  **Suite 200**  **Kansas City, MO 64112**  **816 714-7100 (Ph.)** |
| **9-17-2015** | **12-CV-03341** | **(D.N.J.)** | **In re: Electrolux Home Products Ice Maker Cases**  Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Electrolux breached warranties and acted deceptively in designing, manufacturing, selling, and servicing refrigerators containing a certain type of ice maker. Plaintiffs allege that the ice maker is defective. The Class Period is from 11-2008 to 5-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Nagel Rice, LLP**  **Bruce H. Nagel**  **Diane E. Sammons**  **Randee M. Matloff**  **103 Eisenhower Parkway**  **Roseland, NJ 07068**  **973 618-0400 (Ph.)** |
| **9-18-2015** | **06-CV-15601** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **Pat Cason-Merenda and Jeffrey A. Suhre v. VHS of Michigan, Inc., d/b/a Detroit Medical Center**  Employee-plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to regularly exchange detailed information about current and future Registered Nurses (“RN”) compensation, with the effect of keeping down RN compensation, in violation of federal antitrust law. The Class Period is from 12-12-2002 to 12-12-2006. | **1-27-2016** | **For more information write or call:**  **Keller Rohrback L.L.P.**  **1201 Third Avenue**  **Suite 3200**  **Seattle, WA 98101**  **800 231-5970 (Ph.)** |
| **9-18-2015** | **10-CV-02500** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Zepeda, et al. v. PayPal, Inc.**  The Northern District of California Court denied preliminary approval of the 2014 Settlement. On 9-9-2015 an Amendment to the 2014 Settlement (the “2015 Amendment”) was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. A motion for preliminary approval of the 2015 Amendment is currently set for 10-14-2015. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 4-22-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.paypal.com/contactus**](http://www.paypal.com/contactus) |
| **9-18-2015** | **11-CV-7133** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **MarcoPeters v. JinkoSolar Holding Co., Ltd., Xiande Li, Kangping Chen, Xianhua Li, Wing Keong Siew, Haitao Jin, Zibin Li, Steven Markscheid, Longgen Zhang, Credit Susse Securities (USA) LLC, Oppenheimer & Co., Inc., Roth Capital Partners, LLC, and Collins Stewart LLC**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Defendants made material misstatements based, in part, on translated documents purportedly filed by JinkoSolar Holding Co., Ltd. (“JKS”), with authorities in the People’s Republic of China. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that JKS’s public offering materials contained materially misleading statements regarding compliance with environmental regulations in violation of Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933. The Complaint further alleged that Lead Plaintiffs and other Class Members purchased JKS’s American Depositary Shares (“ADS”) listed on the New York Stock Exchange (Ticker: JKS) at prices artificially inflated by Defendants’ materially misleading statements in violation of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated under Section 10(b), and were damaged thereby. The Class Period is from 5-13-2010 to 9-20-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Michael S. Bigin**  **BernsteinLiebhard LLP**  **10 East 40th Street**  **New York, NY 10016**  **212 779-1414**  [**JKS@bernlieb.com**](mailto:JKS@bernlieb.com)  **Jacob H. Zamansky**  **Samuel E. Bonderoff**  **Zamansky LLC**  **50 Broadway**  **32nd Floor**  **New York, NY 10004**  **202 742-1177** |
| **9-18-2015** | **14-CV-60604** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Gay, et al. v. Toms of Maine, Inc.**  Purchaser-plaintiffs allege Toms of Maine mislabeled its Covered Products by describing them as “natural.” The Class Period is from 3-25-2009 to 9-23-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **James C. Shah**  **Shepherd, Finkelman,**  **Miller & Shah, LLP**  **35 E. State Street**  **Media, PA 19106** |
| **9-18-2015** | **14-CV-23120** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Anamaria Chimeno-Buzzi, et al. v. Hollister Co., et al.**  Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227, by sending unsolicited text messages to their customers’ cellular telephones. The Class Period is from 8-25-2010 to date of Preliminary  Approval Order. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **David P. Milian**  **Frank S. Hedin**  **Carey Rodriguez Milian**  **Gonya, LLP**  **1395 Brickell Avenue**  **Suite 700**  **Miami, Fla. 33131**  **305 372-7474 (Ph.)**  **305 372-7475 (Fax)** |
| **9-21-2015** | **11-CV-01733** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Steve Chambers, et al., v. Whirlpool Corporation, et al.**  Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Kenmore, KitchenAid and Whirlpool brand Dishwashers contain defects that may cause the electronic control board (“ECB”) to overheat, ignite or emit smoke, sparks, or fumes and stop working. The Class Period is from 1995 to 2010. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Charles S. Fax**  **Rifkin, Livingston,**  **Levitan & Silver LLC**  **7979 Old Georgetown Road**  **Bethesda, Maryland 20814**  **301 951-0150 (Ph.)** |
| **9-21-2015** | **11-CV-3480** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Estakhrian v. Obenstine, et al.**  Purchaser-plaintiff alleges that King & Spalding LLP ("King & Spalding") and Benjamin F. Easterlin IV, a partner in the firm ("Easterlin") (together, the "K&S Defendants") breached their fiduciary duties and committed  malpractice, and otherwise engaged in unlawful conduct, in representing a class of individuals who put earnest money deposits toward the purchase of units in the Cosmopolitan but only received a partial refund of their deposit through the *Watt* litigation. Plaintiff contends that the K&S Defendants acted as attorneys for the class in the *Watt* action. The Court defined the Class for purposes of this settlement only to include all class members *(i.e.,* those individuals who did not opt out) in *Daniel Watt, et al. v. Nevada Property 1, LLC, et al.,* Nevada District Court, Case No.A582541. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call fax or e-mail:**  **IRVINELAWGROUP, LLP**  **S. Ron Alikani**  **7700 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 800**  **Irvine, CA 92618**  **949 653-6153 (Ph.)**  **949 653-1277 (Fax)**  **ralikani@irvinelawgroup.com** |
| **9-21-2015** | **12-CV-00102**  **12-CV-00402** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Wire Harness**  **In re: Heater Control Panels**  Dealership-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of Sumitomo’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, allocate markets and customers for Automotive Wire Harness Systems, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair completion, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws as set forth in Dealership Third Consolidated Class Action Complaint in the Wire Harness Action. The Class Period is from 1-1-1999 to Date of Execution. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Barrett Law Group, P.A.**  **P.O. Box 927**  **404 Court Square**  **Lexington, MS 39095**  **Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca, LLP**  **507 C Street, N.E.**  **Washington, DC 20002**  **Larson King, LLP**  **2800 Wells Fargo Place**  **30 East Seventh Street**  **St. Paul, MN 55101** |
| **9-21-2015** | **14-CV-8004** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Anthony Tari, et al. v. Lions Gate Entertainment Corp., et al.**  Employee-plaintiffs allege that Lions Gate Entertainment Corporation, Lions Gate Films, Inc., Talk WW Production, Inc., Debmar-Mecury, LLC (collectively “Lions Gate”) violated the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) and the New York Labor Law by failing to pay interns minimum wages. In 2015, the action was amended to add similar claims under the California wage and hour laws. The Class Period is from 10-3-2008 to date of Preliminary Approval Order. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or visit:**  **Virginia & Ambinder, LLP**  **40 Broad Street**  **7th Floor**  **New York, NY 10004**  **212 943-9080 (Ph.)**  [**www.vandallp.com**](http://www.vandallp.com) |
| **9-23-2015** | **09-CV-00554** | **(D.R.I.)** | **Medoff v. CVS Caremark Corporation, et al.**  Purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Defendants materially misled the investing public, thereby inflating the price of CVS common stock, by publicly issuing false and misleading statements and omitting to disclose material facts necessary to make Defendants' statements not false and misleading. Said statements and omissions were materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about the Company and its business and operations. The Class Period is from 10-30-2008 to 11-4-2009. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN**  **& DOWD LLP**  **ROBERT M. ROTHMAN**  **58 South Service Road, Suite 200**  **Melville, NY 11747** |
| **9-24-2015** | **14-CV-03035** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Colin Speer v. Whole Foods Market Group, Inc.**  Employee-plaintiff alleges that Defendant’s pre-employment Background Investigation and Authorization form(s), and Defendant’s alleged procurement of consumer reports on the basis of the forms(s), violates the Fair Credit Report Act. The Class Period is from 12-4-2009 to 11-5-2012. | **12-4-2015** | **For more information write or call:**  **Luis A. Cabassa**  **Brandon J. Hill**  **Wenzel Fenton Cabassa, P.A.**  **1110 North Florida Avenue**  **Suite 300**  **Tampa, FL. 33602**  **813 224-0431 (Ph.)** |
| **9-28-2015** | **11-CV-00180** | **(D. Minn.)** | **Khoday v. Symantec Corp. and Digital River, Inc.**  Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated consumer protection laws and the common law – specifically, that Digital River violated the Minnesota Consumer Fraud Act, and that Symantec violated California’s Unfair Competition Law and Consumer Legal Remedies Act, by failing to disclose to class members that free alternative methods to re-download purchased software existed. The Class Period is from 1-24-2005 to 3-10-2011. |  | **For more information write to:**    **COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS &**  **TOLL P.L.L.C.**  **Andrew N. Friedman**  **Douglas J. McNamara**  **1100 New York Avenue, N.W.**  **Suite 500, East Tower**  **Washington, D.C. 20005-3964** |
| **9-30-2015** | **08-CV-122** | **(S.D. Ohio)** | **Arlington Video Productions, Inc. v. Fifth Third Bank**  Business-customer-plaintiff alleges that Defendant did not disclose that it was charging certain fees and/or did not disclose to some business checking account holders that it was increasing the amount of certain fees already being charged. The Class Period is from 12-1-2002 to 2-28-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit or call:**  [**www.avplawsuit.com**](http://www.avplawsuit.com)  **1 866 590-8523 (Ph.)** |