|  **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **12-1-2017** | **15-CV-04194** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Elaine Mason v. M3 Financial Services, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by calling persons on their cellular phones using an automatic telephone dialing system or artificial or prerecorded voice, without prior express consent.  | **5-10-2018** | **For more inforamtion write or e-mail:****Ronald A. Marron****Alexis M. Wood****Kas L. Gallucci****The Law Offices of**  **Ronald A. Marron****651 Arroyo Drive****San Diego, California 92108****Admin@ConsumersAdvocates.com** |
| **12-1-2017** | **11-CV-29** | **(E.D. Okla.)** | **Chieftain Royalty Co. v. XTO Energy Inc.**Chieftain Royalty Company ("Plaintiff") filed a class action lawsuit alleging that XTO Energy Inc. (“Defendant”) underpaid royalties to royalty owners for natural gas and its constituents on Oklahoma wells where Defendant (or a predecessor or affiliate of Defendant) is or was the operator or, as a non-operator, Defendant separately marketed gas. Claims include, but are not limited to, allegations that Defendant made various deductions and reductions from royalty payments that should not have been made by deducting direct and indirect fees for marketing, gathering, transporting, compression, dehydration, processing, treatment, and other similar services before the gas and its constituents (including helium, residue gas, natural gas liquids, nitrogen, and condensate) was a “marketable product”; did not pay royalty on gas that was used off the lease premises or in the manufacture of products; did not pay royalty on drip condensate that dropped out of the gas stream; and failed to provide to royalty payees all of the information required by statute. | **Not set yet** Prepared by Brenda Berkley | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:****Bradley E. Beckworth****Nix, Patterson & Roach, LLP****3600B N Capital of TX Hwy.****Suite 350****Austin, TX 78746****512 328-5333 (Ph.)****512 328-5335 (Fax)**[**www.chieftain-xto.com**](http://www.chieftain-xto.com) |
| **12-1-2017** | **16-CV-00370** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Rael v. The Children’s Place, Inc. et al.**Plaintiff alleges that The Children’s Place, Inc. engaged in deceptive advertising by advertising purportedly improper discounts on merchandise. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Todd D. Carperter****Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela** **& Carpenter, LLP****1350 Columbia Street****Suite 603****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **12-4-2017** | **15-CV-923** | **(D. Md.)** | **Bond v. Cricket Communications, LLC**Plaintiff alleges that Cricket sold phones that it knew would not be usable on its new network after it merged with AT&T. The Plaintiff alleges that this conduct violated the federal Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, and state warranty law, the Maryland Consumer Protection Act and other state consumer protection laws, and constituted fraudulent concealment, unjust enrichment, negligent misrepresentation, and fraud under state law.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Cory L. Zajdel****Z Law, LLC****2345 York Road****Suite B-13****Timonium, MD 21093** |
| **12-4-2017** | **14-CV-09053** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Montero, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., et al.**In the Lawsuit, Plaintiff asserted claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) and Illinois state laws alleging that Chase and JP Morgan Chase & Co. (collectively, “JPMC”) failed to timely pay overtime wages to Mortgage Bankers and did not properly account for incentive compensation when calculating Mortgage Bankers’ overtime compensation. Plaintiff also alleged that JPMC failed to pay Mortgage Bankers for all hours worked. | **4-25-2018** | **For more information write to:****Caffarelli & Associates Ltd.****224 S. Michigan Avenue****Suite 300****Chicago, IL 60604** |
| **12-5-2017** | **16-CV-03782** | **(E.D. LA.)** | **Fairway Medical Center, LLC v. McGowan Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Acute Care Pharmaceuticals**Plaintiff alleges that McGowan violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by sending, via facsimile transmission, advertisements that did not comply with the TCPA’s opt-out notice requirements. The Faxes promoted products sold, or services provided, by McGowan. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or write:****1 877 982-1290 (Ph.)****George Recile****One Galleria Blvd.****Suite 1100****Metairie, LA 70001** |
| **12-6-2017** | **13-CV-5795** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: Stericycle, Inc., Sterisafe Contract Litigation**This notice provides updated information relating to the names and estimated numbers of class members as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b)(7)(A)-(B). For more information see CAFA Notice dated 10-25-2017. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Steve W. Berman****Hagens Berman Sobol****Shapiro LLP****1918 Eighth Ave.****Suite 3300****Seattle, WA 98101** |
| **12-7-2017** | **15-CV-06432** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Amanda Dougherty v. QuickSIUS, LLC (d/b/a Quick Search)**Plaintiff alleged that Quick Search violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by furnishing background reports without following reasonable procedures to ensure that the information in the reports was accurate. Class Members are people who were either identified as having a Pennsylvania Summary Offense labeled as a misdemeanor or a more severe offense in their report, and/or people who had the same offense reported in more than one section of a Quick Search report.  | **12-20-2017** | **For more information write to:****E. Michelle Drake** **John G. Albanese****Berger & Montague, P.C.****43 SE Main Street Suite 505****Minneapolis, MN 55414** |
| **12-8-2017** | **16-CV-12336** | **(D. Mass.)** | **Mohanty v. Avid Technology, Inc., et al.****Re Defendants: Mr. Louis Hernandez, Jr., and Mr. Ilan Sidi**Plaintiff alleges that Defendants made public statements during the Class Period that contained untrue statements and omitted facts required to make the statements not misleading. The Complaint asserts claims pursuant to Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Frank J. Johnson****JOHNSON FISTEL, LLP****600 West Broadway****Suite 1540****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **12-8-2017** | **17-CV-80393** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Johnson v. NPAS Solutions, LLC**Plaintiff alleges that NPAS Solutionsviolated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227, when calling consumers on their cellular telephones, via an automatic telephone dialing system, at wrong numbers – in that the subscriber to the phone number called was different from the party that NPAS Solutions was trying to reach. | **5-7-2018** | **For more information write to:****Michael L. Greenwald****Greenwald Davidson Radbil**  **PLLC****5550 Glades Rd., Suite 500****Boca Raton, FL 33431** |
| **12-8-2017** | **13-CV-12544** | **(D. Mass.)** | **In re: ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Securities Litigation****Re Defendants: Harvey J. Berger, Timothy P. Clackson, Edward M. Fitzgerald, and Frank G. Haluska (“Defendants”)**Plaintiff alleges that Defendants misled investors by making materially false and misleading statements and omissions about the safety and efficacy of ARIAD’s development-stage cancer medication, “ponatinib,” and its prospects for approval for front line use by the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) with a “favorable label” for the drug.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Sanford P. Dumain**  **Milberg LLP****One Penn Plaza****50th Floor****New York, NY 10119-0165** |
| **12-8-2017** | **14-CV-02011** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Callaway v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC**This notice provides updated information relating to the scheduling of the Fairness Hearing. For more information see CAFA Notice Dated 8-31-2017. | **3-5-2018** | **For more information write, call or fax:****FRANK SIMS & STOLPER LLP****Jason M. Frank****Scott H. Sims****19800 MacArthur Blvd.****Suite 855****Irvine, California 92612****949 201-2400 (Ph.)****949 201-2405 (Fax)** |
| **12-8-2017** | **10-CV-1959** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Schueneman v. Arena Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.**Lead Plaintiff alleges that Defendants misled Arena investors about Arena’s development of a new drug for weight loss, lorcaserin, by failing to disclose that a mandatory long-term animal carcinogenicity study suggested that lorcaserin caused cancer in rats. | **4-12-2018** | **For more information write or call:****Jeffrey P. Campisi KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP****850 Third Avenue****14th Floor****New York, NY 10022** **212 687-1980 (Ph.)****800 290-1952 (Ph.)** |
| **12-11-2017** | **16-CV-02868** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **Rachel Lieber v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.**Plaintiff alleges that, in serving mortgage loans, Wells Fargo violated the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (“RESPA”) by failing to properly respond to qualified written requests, for information, and/or notices of error due to active litigation, active mediation, or active bankruptcy. Wells Fargo contends that its current corporate policy is to comply with all of the provisions of RESPA, and that it properly coordinates its responses to qualified written requests, requests for information, and notices of error with its litigation counsel.  | **4-26-2018** | **For more information write to:****Marc E. Dann****Brian D. Flick****The Dann Law Firm****P.O. Box 6031040****Cleveland, Ohio 44103** |
| **12-11-2017** | **17-CV-21344****17-CV-23111** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Rattner v. Tribe App, Inc.****Horsley v. Tribe App. Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Tribe sent text messages to wireless telephone numbers in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (“TCPA”); and seeks statutory damages under the TCPA on behalf of the named Plaintiffs and a proposed class of all individuals in the United States who received: (i) one or more text message; (ii) sent by or on behalf of Defendant; (iii) without a user of the Tribe App. manually selecting that Person’s name through the Tribe App., and; (iv) that contained a link to download the Tribe App between 4-10-2013 and [the date of preliminary approval].  | **4-27-2017** | **For more information write to:****Hiraldo P.A.****Attn: Manuel S. Hiraldo****401 E. Las Olas Blvd. Ste. 1400****Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301** |
| **12-11-2017** | **13-CV-00686** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Falco, et al. v. Nissan North America, Inc., et al.**Plaintiffs allege that the Class Vehicles contain a timing chain defect causing certain economic damages. The Plaintiffs brought claims against Nissan for breach of express warranty, breach of implied warranty, unjust enrichment, fraudulent concealment and violation of various State consumer protection statutes. They also sought various injunctive remedies and damages. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Roland Tellis****Mark Pifko****David Fernandes****Baron & Budd, PC****15910 Ventura Boulevard****Suite 1600****Encino, CA 91439** |
| **12-11-2017** | **17-CV-00134** | **(W.D. Okla.)** | **Bollenbach Enterprises Limited Partnership v.****Oklahoma Energy Acquisition LP, et al.****Re Defendant: Alta Mesa Holdings, LP** Plaintiff alleges that Defendants underpaid royalties on gas and its constituents (such as residue gas, natural gas liquids, helium, nitrogen, or drip condensate) produced from Oklahoma wells that Defendants and their affiliated predecessors and successors operated or, as a working interest owner, marketed their share of gas and directly paid royalty owners. Plaintiff asserts that from 10-1-2014 through 6-30-2017, Defendants took deductions for fees and expenses related to the midstream post-production costs of gathering, compression, dehydration, treatment, processing, and marketing. | **3-12-2018** | **For more information visit, write or call:**[**www.altamesasettlement.com**](http://www.altamesasettlement.com)**ReaganE. Badford****W. Mark Lanier****The Lanier Law Firm****Houston Office****6810 FM 1960 West****Houston, TX 77069****713 659-5200 (Ph.)** |
| **12-11-2017** | **16-CV-03802** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****(Automobile Dealership Plaintiffs)****Re Defendants: NGK Insulators, Ltd. and NGK Automotive Ceramics USA, Inc. (together, “NGK”)**Plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of NGK’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Ceramic Substrates in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair competition, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws as set forth in Automobile Dealership Plaintiffs’ Amended Class Action Complaint. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:****Brian Herrington****Herrington Law, PA****P.O. Box 3260****Ridgeland, MS 39158****601 376-9331 (Ph.)****brian@herringtonlawpa.com** |
| **12-11-2017** | **16-CV-03803** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****(End Payor Plaintiffs)****Re Defendants: NGK Insulators, Ltd. and NGK Automotive Ceramics USA, Inc. (together, “NGK”)**See CAFA above for more information. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Steven N. Williams****Elizabeth Tran****Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy,** **LLP****San Francisco Airport Office** **Center****840 Malcolm Road****Suite 200****Burlingame, CA 94010****650 697-6000 (Ph.)** |
| **12-13-2017** | **16-CV-01138** | **(E.D. Mo.)** | **Marilynn Martinez, et al. v. Medicredit, Inc., et al.****Re Defendants: HCA Health Services of Florida, Inc., d/b/a Osceola Reginal Medical Center, and Todd Hornberger and Eric Johnson,** Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. (the “TCPA”) by making automated and/or prerecorded collection calls to cell phones without the prior express consent of Plaintiffs or the putative class members. | **5-15-2018** | **For more information visit:**[**www.medicredittcpasettlement.com**](http://www.medicredittcpasettlement.com) |
| **12-13-2017** | **12-CV-711** | **(D.N.J.)** | **In re: Ductile Iron Pipe Fittings (“DIPE”) Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation**The lawsuit alleges that: (i) defendants entered into price-fixing agreements in the alleged market for Open-Spec Ductile Iron Pipe Fittings (“DIPE”) in the United States in violation of the antitrust laws; (ii) McWane monopolized the alleged market for Domestic DIPF in the United States in violation of the antitrust laws; and (iii) SIGMA and McWane conspired to restrain trade and to monopolize the alleged market for Domestic DIPF in the United States in violation of the antitrust laws. The lawsuit claims that, as a result, plaintiffs paid more for Open-Spec DIPF and Domestic DIPF purchased from defendants than they otherwise would have paid. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Kit A PPierson****Cohen ilstein Sellers & Toll** **PLLC****1100 New York Avenue, NW****Suite 500 West****Washington, DC 20005** |
| **12-14-2017** | **16-CV-3402****16-CV-3403** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Auto Parts Antitrust Litigation (Body Sealing Products)****Re Defendants: Nishikawa Rubber Co., Ltd. (“NRC”), Nishikawa Cooper LLC (“NISCO”) and Nishikawa of America, Inc. (“NOA”) (collectively, “Nishikawa”)**Plaintiffs allege that numerous federal and state law claims based on the alleged bid-rigging, price fixing and market allocation of the automotive rubber body sealing products industry. Plaintiffs consist of both Auto Dealer Plaintiffs (“ADPs’) and End-Payor Plaintiffs (“EPPs”). | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:****Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy** **LLP****San Francisco Airport Office** **Center****840 Malcolm Road****Suite 200****Burlingame, CA 94010****Robins Kaplan LLP****399 Park Avenue****Suite 3600****New York, NY 10022** |
| **12-15-2017** | **16-CV-03698** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Johnson, et al. v. Fujitsu Technology and Business of America, Inc., et al.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants failed to prudently control Retirement Plan costs and failed prudently to manage the Retirement Plan’s investments in the best interests of Retirement Plan participants and beneficiaries, and thereby breached fiduciary duties to the Retirement Plan and its participants and beneficiaries under Subchapter I, Subtitle B, and Part 4 of ERISA. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Nichols Kaster PLLP****Attn: Fujitsu 401(k) Plan Settlement****4600 IDS Center****80 S. 8th Street****Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **12-17-2017** | **14-CV-01289** | **(D.D.C.)** | **Little, et al. v. Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (“WMATA”), et al.**The Lawsuit claims that WMATA’s criminal background screening policy (the “Background Screening Policy”) violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 because it allegedly adversely and disproportionately impacted African Americans who applied for employment with WMATA or WMATA contractors or were suspended or terminated by WMATA or a WMATA contractor as a result of the application of the Background Screening Policy. | **4-18-2018** | **For more information write to:****John A. Freedman****ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER** **LLP****601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW****Washington, D.C. 20001** |
| **12-17-2017** | **12-CV-01353** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: SAIC, Inc. Securities Litigation****Re Defendant: Leidos, Inc., previously known as SAIC, Inc., (“Leidos” or “Defendant”**Plaintiffs allege that SAIC and the Former Defendants violated §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by, inter alia, issuing false and misleading statements and failing to disclose loss contingencies and uncertainties associated with an overbilling scheme, perpetrated by two former employees and others, that defrauded New York City out of hundreds of millions of dollars in connection with the CityTime Project over a multi-year period. The Complaint further alleged that as a result of their material misrepresentations and omissions, SAIC common stock traded at artificially inflated prices. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN** **& DOWD LLP****Ellen Gusikoff Stewart****655 West Broadway****Suite 1900****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **12-19-2017** | **15-CV-386****12-CV-97** | **(E.D. Va.)** | **Carolyn Witt v. CoreLogic National Background Data, LLC****Tyrone Henderson and James Hines v. CoreLogic National Background Data, LLC****Re Defendants: CoreLogic National Background Data, LLC and CoreLogic SafeRent, LLC**This letter serves to supplement Defendants’ prior correspondence dated 9-15-2017 regarding putative class actions captioned Carolyn Witt v. CoreLogic National Background Data, LLC, et al. (“Witt”), Civil Action No. 3:15-CV-386-RFP, and captioned Tyrone Henderson and James Hines v. CoreLogic National Background Data, LLC (“Henderson”), Civil Action No. 3:12-CV-97-REP, both of which are pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Richmond Division. Since that original correspondence of 9-15-2017, the Court has continued the Final Fairness Hearings for all three settlements. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 9-7-2017.  | **3-20-2018** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Kristi C. Kelly****Andrew Guzzo****Kelly & Crandall, PLC****3925 Chain Bridge Road****Suite 202****Fairfax, VA 22030****703 424-7576 (Ph.)****703 591-0167 (Fax)**  |
| **12-20-2017**  | **15-CV-2514** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: iDreamSky (“IDS”) Technology Limited Securities Litigation****Re Defendants: Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Stifel, Nicolaus & Co., and Piper Jaffray & Co. (collectively “Underwriter Defendants”)**The Action alleges that Defendants committed violations of the Federal Securities Laws, specifically Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. §78j(b) and 78(t)(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5) and Sections 11, 12(a)(1), 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. §§77k, 77l(a)(1), 77l(a)(2), and 77o). The allegations in the Complaint relate to American Depositary Shares (“ADSs”) issued by IDS in an initial public offering (“IPO”) on 8-7-2014. Plaintiffs allege that IDS failed to disclose in its SEC filings and press release in connection with its IPO the adverse financial impact of delays in its release of the mobile game Cookie Run in China and its inadequate third-party billing platform. The Complaint asserts that the alleged misstatements or omissions artificially inflated the price of IDS’ ADSs and that when the truth was revealed, the ADS prices dropped, thereby causing investors to suffer injury. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Jacob A. Goldberg** **Keith Lorenze.** **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.** **101 Greenwood Avenue Suite 440** **Jenkintown, PA 19046****Joshua L. Crowell** **GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY** **LLP** **1925 Century Park East Suite 2100** **Los Angeles, CA 90067**  |
| **12-21-2017** | **12-MD-02311****12-CV-11082****16-CV-03703** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, Exhaust Systems (End-Payor Plaintiffs)****Re Defendants: Faurecia Abgastechnik GmbH, Faurecia Systems d’Échappement, Faurecia Emissions Control Technologies, USA, LLC, and Faurecia Emissions Control Systems, N.A. LLC f/k/a Faurecia Exhaust Systems, Inc. (collectively, “Faurecia”)**End-Payor Plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of Faurecia’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Exhaust Systems in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair competition, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws as set forth in End-Payor Plaintiffs’ Amended Class Action Complaint (Case No. 2:16-cv-03703, Doc. No. 70). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Cotchett, Pitre, &**  **McCarthy LLP****San Francisco Airport** **Office Center****840 Malcolm Road****Suite 200****Burlingame, CA 94010****Robins Kaplan LLP****399 Park Avenue****Suite 3600****New York, NY 10022****Susman Godfrey L.L.P.****1901 Avenue of the Stars Suite 950****Los Angeles, CA 90067** |
| **12-21-2017** | **14-CV-03264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Capacitors Antitrust Litigation – Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs****Re Defendants: Soshin Electric Co., Ltd. and Soshin Electronics of America, Inc. (together “Soshin”).**Plaintiffs allege that Soshin and others unlawfully conspired to fix prices for capacitors in violation of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, and state antitrust, unfair completion, and consumer protection laws.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Steven N. Williams****Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy****San Francisco Airport Office** **Center****840 Malcolm Road****Suite 200****Burlingame, CA 94010****650 697-6000 (Ph.)** |
| **12-22-2017** | **17-CV-22967** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Seth F. Masson v. Tallahassee Dodge Chrysler Jeep, LLC (“TDCJ”)**Plaintiff alleges that TDJC sent text messages to Plaintiff’s wireless telephone number without prior express written consent in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 (“TCPA”) and seeks actual and statutory damages under the TCPA on behalf of the named Plaintiff and a class of all individuals in the United States. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Jeff M. Ostrow****Avi R. Kaufman****Scott Edelsberg****KOPELOWITZ OSTROW****FERGUSON WEISELBERG GILBERT****1 West Las Olas Blvd.****Suite 500****Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301** |
| **12-22-2017** | **17-CV-1469** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Sackin, et al. v. TransPerfect Global, Inc.**Plaintiffs in this matter claim that on or about January 17, 2017, TransPerfect disclosed that it was the victim of a phishing attack resulting in the disclosure of Form W-2 data and payroll information (“Personal Data”) concerning individuals who work for or had worked for TransPerfect and certain corporate affiliates. | **1-18-2018** | **For more information write or e-mail:****Jeremiah Frei-Pearson****Chantal Khalil****Finkelstein, Blankinship, Frei-Pearson & Garber, LLP****445 Hamilton Avenue****Suite 605****White Plains, NY 10601****jfrei-pearson@fbfglaw.com****ckhalil@fbfglaw.com** |
| **12-22-2017** | **16-CV-21212** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Paneque v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.**Plaintiff alleges that the Class received, either directly or indirectly through an agent, attorney, or other person or entity acting or purporting to act on the borrower’s behalf, a reinstatement quote from one of the Defendants. Plaintiff further alleges that the reinstatement quotes violated the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, 12 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq. (“RESPA”), the Florida Consumer Collection Practices Act § 559.55 et seq. (“FCCPA”) and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (“FDCPA”).  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:****Christopher W. Legg****CHRISTOPHER W. LEGG, P.A.****3837 Hollywood Blvd.****Suite B****Hollywood, FL 33021****954 235-3706 (Ph.)****ChrisLeggLaw@gmail.com** |
| **12-22-2017** | **16-CV-02843** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Alyssa Burnthorn-Martinez v. Sephora USA, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that the pre-employment background check that Sephora requested violated the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C §§ 1681 et seq., and California state law because Plaintiff allegedly did not receive adequate pre-screening disclosures.  | **4-24-2018** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Shaun Setareh****Law Office of Shaun Setareh****9454 Wilshire Boulevard****Suite 711****Beverly Hills, CA 90212****310 888-7771 (Ph.)****310 888-0109 (Fax)** |
| **12-22-2017** | **17-CV-20459** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Marengo v. Miami Research Associates, LLC (“MRA”)**Plaintiff alleges that MRA violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act by sending text messages to persons without their prior express consent or express written consent. | **3-27-2018** | **For more information write to:****Manuel S. Hiraldo****Hiraldo P.A.****401 E. Las Olas Boulevard****Suite 1400****Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301** |
| **12-22-2017** | **16-CV-3340** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Morrow, et al. v. ANN INC. (“Ann”)**This lawsuit is about an alleged deceptive pricing scheme at Ann Taylor Factory and LOFT Outlet stores. Plaintiffs allege ANN misled customers about the quality and price of its outlet store merchandise by leading them to believe outlet merchandise was once sold in its retail stores when it was actually manufactured for exclusive sale in outlet stores, and by advertising discounts based on fictitious original or regular prices. Plaintiffs’ lawsuit alleges that ANN violated various federal and state consumer protection and false advertising laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Joseph P. Guglielmo** **Erin Green Comite** **Scott+Scott, Attorneys at** **Law, LLP** **The Helmsley Building** **230 Park Avenue****17th Floor** **New York, NY 10169**  |
| **12-26-2017** | **16-CV-21212** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Paneque v. Frenkel Lambert Weiss Weisman & Gordon, LLP**Plaintiff alleges that the reinstatement quotes violated the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, 12 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq.(“RESPA”), the Florida Consumer Collection Practices Act § 559.55 et seq. (“FCCPA”) and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (“FDCPA”). For these alleged violations, Plaintiff seeks to recover statutory damages, attorneys’ fees, and litigations costs from the Defendants in the lawsuit. | **4-20-2018** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:****Christopher W. Legg****CHRISTOPHER W. LEGG, P.A.****3837 Hollywood Blvd.****Suite B****Hollywood, FL 33021****954 235-3706 (Ph.)****ChrisLeggLaw@gmail.com** |
| **12-26-2017** | **12-MD-02311****12-CV-02703****13-CV-02702** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****In re: Air Conditioning Systems (End Payor)****Re Defendants: MAHLE Behr GmbH & Co. KG and MAHLE Behr USA Inc. (together, “MAHLE Behr”)**Plaintiff alleges that MAHLE Behrconspired to rig bids, allocate markets and fix prices for Air Conditioning Systems, and MAHLE Behr, having denied Plaintiffs’ allegations and represented it would assert defenses thereto, have entered into the Agreement to settle the Action with respect to Air Conditioning Systems to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, to obtain the releases, orders, and judgment contemplated by the Agreement, and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted against MAHLE Behr Releasees with respect to Air Conditioning Systems. Pursuant to the Agreement, MAHLE Behr has agreed to provide specified monetary compensation to Plaintiffs, and to cooperate with Plaintiffs in connection with the continued prosecution of the Action. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Cotchett, Pitre, &**  **McCarthy LLP****San Francisco Airport** **Office Center****840 Malcolm Road****Suite 200****Burlingame, CA 94010****Robins Kaplan LLP****399 Park Avenue****Suite 3600****New York, NY 10022** |
| **12-27-2017** | **14-CV-03264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Capacitors Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: Soshin Electric Co., Ltd. and Soshin Electronics of America, Inc. (together, “Soshin” or Defendants)**The lawsuit claims that Defendants entered into agreements to artificially raise, fix, or stabilize the prices of aluminum, tantalum, and film capacitors in violation of federal antitrust law. Each of the Defendants, including the Settling Defendants, expressly denies that it violated any laws or engaged in any wrongdoing, except that: (a) on 1-21-2016, NEC TOKIN Corporation pleaded guilty to participating in a conspiracy to fix prices of certain electrolytic capacitors; (b) on 6-9- 2016, Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd. pleaded guilty to participating in a conspiracy to fix prices of certain electrolytic capacitors; (c) on 10-11-2017, ELNA Co., Ltd and Holystone pleaded guilty to participating in a conspiracy to fix prices of certain electrolytic capacitors; and (d) on 10-25-2017, Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd. pleaded guilty to participating in a conspiracy to fix prices of certain electrolytic capacitors. | **6-7-2018** | **For more information visit:**[**www.CapacitorsAntitrustSettlement.com**](http://www.CapacitorsAntitrustSettlement.com) |
| **12-27-2017** | **15-CV-05447** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Luna v. Marvell Technology Group, Ltd. (“Marvell”), and Sehat Sutardja**Lead Plaintiff alleged that Defendants and the Former Defendants made materially false and misleading statements and/or failed to disclose adverse information regarding Marvell’s business, operations, and prospects, including, among other things, that Marvell reported revenue and earnings during the Class Period that were misleading as a result of undisclosed pull-in sales, and which caused the price of Marvel common stock to be artificially inflated. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP****Scott H. Saham****655 West Broadway****Suite 1900****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **12-28-2017** | **16-CV-06259** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Bryant Montalvo, et al. v. Flywheel Sports, Inc.**Plaintiffs alleged that Defendant violated the minimum wage and overtime provisions of the FLSA and the New York State Labor Law (“NYLL”), as well the provisions of the NYLL related to wage notices, wage statements, and wage deductions. Specifically, Plaintiff Montalvo challenges: (1) Flywheel’s use of a piece-rate scheme to compensate instructors (i.e., paying instructors per class, regardless of how many hours they actually work per week outside of teaching classes); (2) Flywheel’s prior practice of failing to compensate trainees (outside of California) for time spent training to be instructors; and(3) Flywheel’s failure to reimburse instructors for required purchases of athletic clothing and music. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:****Zachary J. Liszka****Carl J. Mayer****Liszka and Gray, LLC****Mayer Law Group LLC****1180 Avenue of the Americas****Suite 800****New York, New York 10036****347 762-5131 (Ph.)****z@lglaw.nyc****carlmayer@aol.com** |
| **12-28-2017** | **16-MD-02688** | **(E.D. Wisc.)** | **In re: Windsor Window Company and Woodgrain Millwork, Inc.**Plaintiffs claim that certain windows manufactured and/or sold by the Defendants contain manufacturing and/or design defects that they claim have caused damage to windows, window finishing, homes and other structures containing windows, and/or personal property allegedly resulting from water-related intrusion. The Plaintiffs ask for money and other benefits for people and entities that own homes, buildings and structures that contain the Pinnacle and Legend Series windows that are now defined as Qualifying Windows. |  | **For more information call or visit:****1 888 530-6598 (Ph.)**[**WWW.WINDOWSETTLEMENTS.COM**](http://WWW.WINDOWSETTLEMENTS.COM) |
| **12-28-2017** | **11-CV-00226** | **(S.D. Ohio)** | **Dino Rikos, et al. v. The Procter & Gamble Company**This lawsuit claims that Defendant falsely advertised the digestive health benefits of its Align® probiotic supplements. | **4-16-2018** | **For more information write to:****Timothy G. Blood** **Thomas J. O’Reardon II** **Blood Hurst & O’Reardon, LLP** **701 B Street****Suite 1700** **San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **12-29-2017** | **15-CV-08395** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Rito, et al. v. Castella Imports, Inc. and Castella Imports II, LLC**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Castella Imports, Inc. marketed substandard or adulterated extra virgin olive oil under various brand names and/or provided insufficient or misleading storage instructions and information. | **Not set yet** | **`****For more information write to:****Randee Matloff** **Nagel Rice LLP****103 Eisenhower Parkway****Roseland, NJ 07068** |
| **12-29-2017** | **16-CV-04634** | **(N.D. Ga.)** | **Jennifer Liotta v. Wolford Boutiques LLC****Re Defendants: Wolford America Inc., f.k.a. Wolford Boutiques LLC (“Wolford”)**Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the federal statute, known as the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 USCS § 227. Plaintiff claims, that the text message(s) failed to include proper description of the sender of the text and failed to include a proper opt-out mechanism. | **3-29-2018** | **For more information write to:****Scott L. Bonder****Joseph A. White** **Fried & Bonder, LLC** **1170 Howell Mill Road, NW****Suite 305****Atlanta, GA 30318** |
| **12-29-2017** | **14-CV-03264** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Capacitors Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd., Hitachi AIC Inc., and Hitachi Chemical Co. America, Ltd. (collectively, “Hitachi Chemical”)**The lawsuit alleges that certain defendants engaged in an unlawful conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of aluminum, tantalum, or film capacitors (“Capacitors”). Plaintiffs allege that, as a result of the unlawful conspiracy involving Capacitors, they and other direct purchasers paid more for Capacitors than they would have absent the alleged conspiracy. | **6-7-2018** | **For more inforamtion visit or call:**[**www.CapacitorsAntitrustSettlement.com**](http://www.CapacitorsAntitrustSettlement.com)**1 866 903-1223 (Ph.)** |