0048
Compriment of the Elistrici of Columbia

Office of the corporation counsel

District Building

Washington, D. C. 20004



May 26, 1977

IN REPLY REFER TO-

L&O:BFG:lls 77-88 CCO 3338 LCD 77-902

Mr. Keith A. Vance Vice Chairman for Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6A 824 12th Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002

Dear Mr. Vance:

This is in response to your recent letter requesting the views of this Office as to the scope of certain notice provisions of D.C. Law 1-58 (Duties and Responsibilities of the Advisory Neighborhood Commissions Act of 1975). Specifically, you wish to know whether section 13(b) of D.C. Law 1-58 applies to proposed governmental actions which have a city-wide impact, and whether notice to the Advisory Neighborhood Commissions by use of the D.C. Register is legally sufficient.

Proposed District Government actions which have a city-wide impact do fall within the scope of section 13 of D.C. Law 1-58. Such proposed actions affect all Advisory Neighborhood Commissions and therefore, after careful study by the joint Executive-Council Task Force on Advisory Neighborhood Commissions, it was determined that the most effective means of notifying the Commissions of such proposed actions was through a notice in the D.C. Register which, pursuant to section 13(b) of D.C. Law 1-58, must be sent to each Commission without cost.

The use of the <u>D.C. Register</u> for notifying Advisory Neighborhood Commissions of proposed government

action is consistent with section 13(b) of D.C. Law 1-58 and is especially appropriate when all Commissions must be notified.

I hope this information will be helpful to you.

Sincerely,

Louis P. Robbins

Principal Deputy Corporation

Counsel, D.C.